# D. Guicking

# Patents on Active Control of Sound and Vibration – an Overview –

Second revised and enlarged edition

May 2009

Contact:

Dr. Dieter Guicking Schlözerweg 6 37085 Göttingen Germany Tel.: +49-551-4 61 06 E-Mail: Dieter.Guicking(at)phys.uni-goettingen.de Homepage: http://www.guicking.de/dieter

Emeritus member of: Drittes Physikalisches Institut Universität Göttingen Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1 37077 Göttingen Germany

© Dr. Dieter Guicking 2009

# PREFACE

Patent titles and patent abstracts often are not really informative. During my work on a patent bibliography it appeared to me therefore reasonable to write this text. Based on about 2060 patent families out of which about 1740 have been selected (removing redundancies and less important ones), this text gives an overview of old and new patents on Active Noise and Vibration Control (ANVC), including related fields such as algorithms, sound design, active flow control, transducers, etc. The patents are grouped in 17 sections which are subdivided into subsections if appropriate. Some emphasis is laid upon patents on algorithms (Section 2).

The References in Section 18 are given in short form; concerning the citation style, see [1].

This text is the second revised and enlarged edition of the first version, published in January 2001 [2, 3].

Although I have done my best to deliver a reliable and useful document, I cannot take any responsibility for the correctness and completeness of the data given.

Göttingen, April 2009

Dieter Guicking

# CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	5
2.	Algorithms	5
3.	ANC in Ducts and Mufflers	14
	3.1. Ducts	14
	3.2. Mufflers	15
4.	ANC in Interior Spaces	17
	4.1. Room Acoustics	17
	4.2. Local Control in Rooms	18
	4.3. Audio Reproduction in Rooms	19
	4.4. Propeller Aircraft and Helicopter Cabins	20
	4.5. Jet Aircraft Cabins	21
	4.6. In-Vehicle Sound Field Control	21
	4.7. Miscellaneous	24
5.	ANC in Communication (except Headsets)	25
	5.1. ANC in Radio Links	25
	5.2. ANC in Cable Links	25
	5.3. Acoustic Echo Cancellation in Communication Links	26
6.	ANC for Personal Noise Protection	30
	6.1. Hearing Protectors and Earplugs with ANC	30
	6.2. Communication Headsets with ANC	31
	6.3. Hearing Aids with ANC	32
7.	ANC for Domestic Appliances	32
8.	ANC for Exterior Noise	33
	8.1. ANC for Propeller Aircraft and Helicopter Noise	33
	8.2. ANC for Jet Aircraft, Gas Turbine and Fan Noise	33
	8.3. ANC for Road Traffic Noise	34
	8.4. Miscellaneous	34
9.	Sound Radiation and Transmission Control, ASAC	35
10.	Other ANC Applications	36
11.	Active Flow Control	37
12.	AVC for Beams, Plates and Structures	38
13.	AVC for Buildings	40
14.	AVC for Rotating Machinery	40
15.	Active Vibration Isolation	42
16.	Various AVC Applications	44
17.	Transducers	47
18.	References	51

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Unlike most other fields in physics, noise and vibration control is a subject where many researchers apply for patents before publishing their results in the open literature. This is even true for fundamental ideas. Early patents on basic principles of active noise control are briefly summarized in the following paragraph.

A fundamental concept in both active noise control (ANC) and active vibration control (AVC) is *feedback*. As a seminal patent on negative feedback systems is considered [4]. The first patents on the idea of active noise control by interference with an anti phase sound wave have been granted to Henri Coanda [5, 6], although his arguments are acoustically incorrect. Widely known are Paul Lueg's German and US patents [7] where the basic idea of active cancellation by superposition with a phase-inverted replica is correctly described for one- and three-dimensional sound fields; the proposed experimental set-ups were, however, far from being applicable to practical situations. First experimental demonstrations were given by H. F. Olson in the 50s, based on two patents [8, 9]: the first one describes a loudspeaker with a microphone just in front, with feedback control to serve as a local sound absorber, the second one is related to active vibration isolation. Various fields of possible applications are listed in both patents. Two further early patents are those granted to M. Brute de Remur on the electroacoustic cancellation of a sound wave passing through an orifice in a baffle [10], and to M. Jessel on the cancellation of sound waves radiated from a given source distribution by an array of Huygens sources (monopoles plus dipoles) distributed along a closed shell surrounding the primary sources [11], the now so-called JMC theory (after Jessel, Mangiante and Canévet). A more recent patent applying this method is [12]. A simple feedback system for (local) free-field cancellation of machine noise is disclosed in [13], employing a conventional loudspeaker or a "stentorphone" where the sound is produced by a modulated air flow. Three patents have been applied for by the German acoustician O. Bschorr in 1969: one describing the basic principle and listing a variety of unconventional sound sources [14] (see also Section 17), the next one applying to noise shielding by a grid of anti sources [15], and the third one to reduction of sound radiation from vibrating panels by distributed or point force input [16], a technology which has later been termed ASAC (an acronym for Active Structural Acoustic Control, see Section 9). All these patents have in common that they have never been exploited commercially.

### 2. ALGORITHMS

Practical applications of active noise control (ANC) and also of many aspects of active vibration control (AVC) became possible only with modern electronics, in particular adaptive digital signal processing. The fact that algorithms are essentially mathematics, and mathematics cannot be patented, can be circumvented by describing the algorithms as block diagrams so that the patents are granted for an assembly of signal processing units. Algorithm patents in this sense will be summarized below in chronological order of first submission.

**Before 1970:** An early application of active sound cancellation is the "Apparent sound translator" of Atal and Schroeder [17] which applies head-related *crosstalk cancellation* to a stereo set-up with two loudspeakers. Provided that the left loudspeaker is fed by a signal recorded with the left channel of a stereo microphone, the sound from this left loudspeaker should only reach the left ear of the listener. The component yet arriving at the right ear is cancelled by a compensation signal superimposed to the right loudspeaker, and vice versa. The compensation signals are obtained from the loudspeaker input signals by filtering with transfer functions derived from the transfer functions from the loudspeakers to the ears (*head-related transfer functions, HRTF*). More patents based on this method are discussed in Section 4.3. A method of howling prevention in public address systems is disclosed in

[18]: the microphone signal is frequency-shifted by a few Hertz so that positive acoustic feedback is prevented; furthermore, a suppressor is introduced eliminating weak spectral components which are supposed to result from multiply reflected and thereby frequency-shifted reverberant sound. This acoustic feedback stabilization by frequency shift has been re-invented repeatedly [19, 20, 21]. A method of speeding up the convergence of an adaptive line echo canceler is disclosed in [22].

**1970–1980:** Automatic amplifier gain control is often necessary to obtain a good signal-to-noise ratio, for overload prevention, and to ensure stability. An early patent on this problem is [23], offering a digital feedback controller which provides dynamic level compression of time-varying signals essentially without nonlinear distortion. An important concept in many fields of ANC is *adaptive noise canceling* which became widely known since 1975 by B. Widrow et al.'s seminal Journal paper [24]: A "primary" sensor picks up a desired signal which is corrupted by additive noise, its output being  $s_p$ , and one or more "reference" sensors are placed such that their output  $s_r$  is correlated (in some unknown way) with the primary noise, but does essentially not contain the desired signal. Then,  $s_r$  is adaptively filtered and subtracted from  $s_p$  to obtain a signal estimate with improved signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). This concept, realized by a linear predictive filter employing the least mean squares (LMS) algorithm, has been patented in [25] and since then has proved to be a valuable tool for many fields.

A nonlinear signal processing strategy of noise reduction from two essentially equal signals with uncoherent noisy distortion (such as in the cocktail-party effect) is outlined in [26]. The task of measuring transfer functions of time-varying systems can be solved by pseudo-random time series as test signals [27].

G. B. B. Chaplin (England) and his coworkers applied for many patents on ANC, starting with a description of non-adaptive and adaptive feedforward systems for active fan noise cancellation in ducts, including feedback cancellation, directional microphones and loudspeaker arrays, and adjustable time-delay units in analog electronics [28]. While in this patent no specific adaptation algorithm is presented, another patent followed on the quasi-adaptive technology of *waveform synthesis*, applicable to essentially repetitive noise and vibration; a trigger signal is required to provide the fundamental period, and the adaptation is performed by a trial-and-error method in the time domain, eventually minimizing an error signal [29]. Two more patents followed on feedforward control with fixed filters, including feedback cancellation [30, 31].

The application of an adaptive filter to another aspect of signal processing, the improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio for narrowband spectra in braodband noise by the LMS algorithm, is the subject of a patent on Widrow's *Adaptive Line Enhancer*, which eliminates uncorrelated signal portions [32].

Adaptive filters tend to go unstable with input signals having a partial frequency band spectrum; they can be stabilized by applying a leaky coefficient update algorithm [33].

Chaplin improved the convergence behavior of his original algorithm [29] by making use of previous error signals [34]. He also applied feedback control for local sound field cancellation [35]. A trial-and-error method, but by digital control in the frequency domain, has been proposed to reduce discrete frequencies from transformer noise and the like [36].

A method for determining the optimum FIR (*finite impulse response*) filter coefficients for a onedimensional ANC system employing two loudspeakers and two microphones is outlined in [37]. An adaptive correlator for application to, e.g., sonar systems comprises adaptive linear predictors for a plurality of sensor signals and gives estimates of the coherence between one signal and a timedelayed, frequency-shifted version of a second signal [38]. A device for deriving the cancellation signal with appropriate time delay by a shift register is disclosed in [39]. The application of truly adaptive feedforward control for broadband noise reduction in one-dimensional problems employing the LMS algorithm has been proposed in [40]; to avoid feedback instability, the use of directional microphones or electronic feedback cancellation is suggested. **1981–1984:** Extensions to [35] are given in [41, 42], and to [40] in [43]. In order to get faster adaptation of the waveform synthesis algorithm, it can be done in the frequency instead of the time domain [44]. When an external synchronization signal is not available, it can be obtained from the error sensor via a filter or a phase-locked loop [45]. A modified adaptive noise canceler with a feedforward frequency domain algorithm and parallel narrowband correlation filters is discussed in [46, 47]. Adaptive filters for echo cancelers often suffer from an inherent nonlinearity in the CODEC; impulse response estimation is improved by inserting a substantially identical nonlinearity in the echo cancellation filter [48].

**1985–1990:** The fundamentals of adaptive signal processing laid in [24] and [32] have been extended in [49] by applying adaptive line enhancing, adaptive noise canceling and deconvolution for speech improvement and acoustic machine health monitoring by a frequency domain LMS algorithm. While this concept applies primarily to feedforward systems, an extension to feedback systems has been disclosed in [50]. A detailed description of the waveform synthesis method in the frequency domain is given in [51, 52]. Voice communication from emergency vehicles is improved by a digital adaptive comb filter which notches out the siren noise [53].

In 1985 started the patent activities of Nelson Industries/Digisonix Division (USA), beginning with two frequently referenced fundamental patents on adaptive feedforward control with electronic feedback cancellation, without separate feedback path modelling, employing an ARMA (*autoregressive moving average*) filter with the LMS algorithm and some pre-training [54], or without pre-training, but permanent on-line modelling of error and feedback path with the help of a low-level auxiliary noise signal [55]. Another fundamental patent (but not from Nelson Industries) is related to the tracking control of periodic noise in enclosures such as vehicle cabins: A synchronization signal is taken from the engine, a multichannel LMS algorithm is applied to achieve good performance in the whole interior space, and various methods of stabilization are proposed [56].

Stable operation of the LMS algorithm, when applied to input signals with large spectral variations, is obtained by normalization of each frequency bin by use of an input power estimate [57].

A frequency-domain block-adaptive FIR filter has been developed for echo cancellation in speech and data transmission with correlated inputs [58]. A multichannel adaptive frequency domain feedforward algorithm with transfer matrix operations is outlined in [59], for possible applications in unconfined three-dimensional space. In order to increase the dynamic range of the controller without readjustment by the user, a system with automatic gain control has been proposed [60]. A speech detector for a voice-controlled switch operating in a noisy environment is described in [61]; this patent is not directly related to ANC, but speech detection is also important for noise suppression from distorted speech signals.

A method to speed up the convergence of an LMS filter for non-white input signals by orthogonalization is disclosed in [62], [63] and [64].

A device for monitoring the performance of an ANC or AVC system is described in [65] which also permits testing and operator controlling of the system. The frequency range of stable operation can be extended by narrowing the error signal spectrum [66]. Tracking control with a tachometer signal in a multichannel system can be simplified by an orthogonal transform which reduces the cross linked multichannel system to a series of independent single-channel systems [67], [68]. If a desired harmonic signal with accessible source is superimposed by a harmonic disturbance of similar frequency, the resulting beat can be used to eliminate the disturbance by averaging over exactly one period of the disturbance [69].

A comparatively inexpensive digital adaptive tracking control system for the active cancellation of repetitive signals is outlined in [70], the processor receiving synchronization and error signals, and comprising a delay estimator to keep the cancellation signals in a stable phase interval. The standard one-dimensional adaptive feedforward control with an FIR filter, the filtered-x LMS algorithm and feedback cancellation with a separate FIR filter, utilizing a pseudo-random auxiliary signal for error path and feedback path modelling is also described in [71] which is essentially a re-invention of [54].

A detailed description of a multichannel tracking control system for repetitive noise or vibration is given in [72] where, for each frequency component, sine and cosine canceling signals are generated from the synchronization pulses, the weighting coefficients being controlled adaptively with a leaky, variable step size, least squares algorithm. Many systems are typically applied to one-dimensional sound propagation in ventilation ducts. Faster convergence can be achieved by selecting filter coefficients close to the final ones in response to measured input data [73]. An adaptive line enhancer using adaptive noise canceling and variable step size in the update circuit is disclosed in [74]. A multichannel adaptive tracking algorithm for repetitive noise with known fundamental frequency is outlined in [75], utilizing an auxiliary test signal for determining the transfer function matrix between the actuator and sensor arrays. The application of the multichannel filtered-x LMS algorithm to three-dimensional sound fields including crosstalk cancellation is the subject of [76].

Without auxiliary test signal for the transmission path modelling works the principle of *overall modelling* [77]. A combined feedforward and feedback control scheme as opposed to adaptive feedforward control has been proposed for underwater and structure-borne sound [78]. ANC and AVC by multichannel systems with correlated inputs is possible by special signal processing [79]. If complete cancellation of the noise is not wanted, adaptation to a desired sound ("noise shaping") is possible by some modification of the algorithm [80]. A system similar to that of [55], but with noise shaping to get a desired residual signal rather than complete cancellation is described in [81]. The filtered-x LMS algorithm for adaptive feedforward systems can be simplified by replacing the prefilter in the update path with a simple delay which approximates the delay in the combined loudspeaker–error microphone transfer function [82]; this "delayed-x LMS algorithm" requires less hardware and performs nearly as well as the filtered-x algorithm.

An ANC system, applicable to electroacoustic communication etc., cancels repetitive disturbances "in-wire," i. e., electronically by sort of adaptive noise canceling [83]. A digital adaptive feedback ANC system which is robust against phase shift by changing sound propagation conditions is disclosed in [84].

**1991:** The convergence of an adaptive ANC algorithm is speeded up by the *estimate-maximize algorithm* where after initialization with the complete input data set, an incomplete data set with off-line predictive control is employed [85]. Multichannel adaptive feedforward ANC with crosstalk cancellation is the subject of [86]. Speed-up of the multichannel LMS algorithm by a coordinate transform into modal coordinates separates the multichannel system into a number of uncoupled systems [87]. A feedback control system with the filtered-x LMS for quasi-periodic noise is outlined in [88] including desired signal recovery and a period detection unit to enable rapid tracking of changes in the primary noise frequency.

Typical problems occurring with the filtered-x LMS algorithms (such as poor convergence with colored spectra, lacking observability and controllability in case of low coherence of input and error signal) are promised to be solved by a correlation or coherence or whitening filter in the error path, instability being avoided by inputting only well-behaved signal components to the adaptive filter update section [89, 90].

An adaptive feedforward control system with frequency band splitting and different sampling periods in the parallel channels is proposed for the application of ANC and AVC in prime movers [91]. ANC for tracking feedback control of repetitive sound with individual filters (e.g., switched capacitor filters) for each harmonic is proposed in [92], [93]. A filtered-x LMS algorithm for adaptive cancellation of repetitive noise, echoes, etc. is outlined in [94] where the speed of computation is enhanced by sampling with fewer values per period for the higher-frequency components. Instabilities caused thereby are avoided by coefficient limitation to a prescribed maximum value. The filter coefficient update is performed in a subfilter by a feedback loop, the coefficients being copied to the main

filter. A multichannel adaptive feedback ANC or AVC system for correlated noise (periodic, bandlimited or otherwise having some predictability) with crosstalk cancellation is described in [95]. The feedback problem of common filtered-x LMS systems is promised to be solved by a pure feedback controller with a predictive estimator. This system is proposed for hearing protectors of air base personnel; good simulation results are obtained for prediction times of about 200  $\mu$ s [96]. Fault detection of machinery etc. by acoustic signature analysis suffers often from strong background noise; [97] proposes multichannel adaptive noise canceling, applying a pipelined approach to speed up the adaptation.

**1992:** A filtered-x LMS system for repetitive noise where the prefilter transfer function is selected in dependence upon the fundamental frequency of the noise is described in [98]. A new system identification algorithm (the "MX filter") has been outlined in [99]; it is easy to implement, has low computational complexity, and is well-suited for the on-line modelling of dynamic AVC systems and processes. For ANC of noise with inherent predictability (correlated noise) a feedback control system with an auxiliary test signal is disclosed in [100]. Multichannel adaptive feedforward control with on-line adaptation is the subject of [101].

An unrealistic method of noise suppression is the subject of [102, 103] where the inventors optimistically write that traffic noise etc. can be cancelled by subtracting a signal read from a library where noises recorded from cars, aircraft etc. have been stored.

A frequency domain adaptive ANC or AVC system with sliding FFT instead of block processing is disclosed in [104], with preferred application to internal combustion engine repetitive noise and vibrations, applying tracking control with a synchronization signal taken from the engine.

In [105] special emphasis is laid on the initialization process (system identification with an auxiliary white noise signal) in an adaptive feedforward system for broadband noise cancellation in vehicle compartments. Tracking control of harmonic signals with slowly varying frequency is possible by harmonic filters as outlined in [106]. According to [107], the computational effort of adaptation can be avoided in ANC for periodic noise by employing a time delay unit and inverse filtering. An adaptive feedforward control system with ARMA filter, where the transversal and recursive coefficients are separately updated is proposed in [108].

Tracking control of repetitive signals with widely varying fundamental frequencies by digital signal processing becomes more efficient with a variable sampling frequency [109].

A system with noise shaping to get a desired residual signal rather than complete cancellation, combined with overload prevention of the secondary loudspeaker by power limiting is disclosed in [110]. Indirect error sensing is the subject of [111] where the filtered-x LMS algorithm is applied to local sound field control around the head position of a person (however, it seems to be able to work only for an invariant transfer function from the real to the notional error microphone position).

To circumvent the often problematic time delay caused by the application of adaptive filters in ANC applications, a combination of a low-delay filter with one or more longer-delay filters is discussed in [112]. Improved noise suppression in feedforward and feedback adaptive filters is outlined in [113]. Correlated noise can be cancelled by feedback control (i. e., without input sensor) not only via desired signal restoration from the filter output, but also from the filter input [114]. A multichannel neural network controller to cancel aircraft gas turbine noise is proposed in [115]. An adaptive feedforward control system with on-line error path modelling and fault detection employing an auxiliary noise signal is disclosed in [116].

The filtered-x LMS algorithm in the frequency domain, utilizing in the update branch the cross spectrum of input signal and error signal, is proposed in [117]. An adaptive feedforward control system with on-line error path modelling in the frequency domain, requiring an auxiliary noise signal is described in [118]. A filtered-x LMS system for broadband feedforward control in a duct with prevention of low-frequency overload of the loudspeaker by a high-pass filter is outlined in [119].

**1993:** An improved method of on-line system identification with a fixed rather than a stochastic test signal is proposed in [120]; this reduces the computational complexity, and avoids coefficient jitter by subtracting from the error signal the component caused by the test signal. The aspect of noise shaping dominates [121] where adaptive feedforward control of sound or vibration is performed such that a desired time or frequency response is obtained, by adapting to a selected or programmed model reference system. Adaptive feedforward control of a multi frequency disturbance by a multichannel system with one adaptive filter for each frequency component outlined in [122].

A method for removing impulsive disturbances from a band-limited desired signal is disclosed in [123], utilizing the fact that impulsive noise has spectral components also in frequency bands adjacent to the signal frequency band, and that a coherent noise canceling signal can be generated from this noise information. A further improvement of the multichannel waveform synthesis algorithm applies a perturbation method to spectral parameters (rather than a trial-and-error procedure), aiming at faster convergence by including correlations or previous data [124].

The realization of a combined feedforward and feedback control algorithm without error path modelling and adaptation in the frequency domain is described in [125], and additionally with non-integer sample delays in [126]. Most LMS applications, however, use an error path model. Its adaptation can also be performed with an auxiliary chirp signal [127].

A digital multichannel ANC or AVC frequency-domain LMS algorithm with block adaptation, variable step size and zero padding is outlined in [128].

ANC or AVC multichannel systems with multiple (partially) correlated sources of repetitive disturbances rely on the cross-spectral density matrices between the reference and residual sensors, providing convergence also for ill-conditioned matrices [129]. An adaptive feedforward ANC system for ventilation ducts with feedback cancellation and explicit system identification (transfer function determination) is described in [130]. ANC with noise shaping, e.g., for automobile mufflers, can be realized by model reference control in the frequency domain [131].

An analog noise cancellation system with digital control of the filter parameters, either in feedback or feedforward mode with virtual earth, is claimed in [132].

The convergence behavior of the filtered-x LMS algorithm for the cancellation of broadband noise can be improved by inserting a whitening filter after the reference sensor, in particular realized by the inverse autocorrelation function of the reference signal [133]. Adaptive feedforward control can also be realized by a multistage lattice filter [134]. The stability of tonal (e.g., engine related) noise cancellation in a vehicle cabin can be enhanced by a phase correction unit accounting for sudden changes of the excitation [135]. Convergence speed and stability of a combined feedforward and feedback adaptive system can be improved by including an error prediction filter and utilizing its output for the filter coefficient update [136]. Speeding up the convergence of the filtered-x LMS can also be obtained by the projection algorithm [137].

Broadband adaptive cancellation, except for spectral bands where compensation is not desired (i. e., feedforward control with a spectral leak), is accomplished by a second error input which selectively drives the measured error to zero [138]. System identification by an adaptive filter, where the output signal is corrupted by uncorrelated noise, is the subject of [139]; an improved coefficient update is provided by adjusting the adaptation step size according to an estimation of the reference (input) signal.

A noise suppression system for speech transmission from a noisy environment is disclosed in [140], applying a frequency domain algorithm with notch filters.

Digital howling prevention for public address systems is disclosed in [141], applying a cascade structure of notch filters and automatic gain control.

**1994:** An adaptive feedforward control system with a leaky algorithm provides parameter constraints, e.g., output power limitation (to prevent loudspeaker damage) by controlling the leakage coefficient in response to the output signal [142, 143]. To improve speech communication of emer-

gency vehicle crews, a notch (or, comb) filter is proposed to cancel the siren noise [144] (see also [53]). A combination of feedforward and feedback control improves the performance of an ANC system with a leaky filtered-x LMS algorithm [145].

As an alternative to the multichannel filtered-x algorithm, an algorithm is presented in [146] which restores the coherence of input and error signal by filtering the error signal with the timeinversed (and accordingly delayed) plant transfer function, thereby reducing the computational complexity by nearly one order of magnitude. An improved filtered-x algorithm for repetitive noise is disclosed in [147], applying a "complex generator," i.e., a circuit producing in-phase and quadrature components for the sinusoidal noise components and calculating the adaptive filter coefficients therewith.

An alternative cost reducing concept for adaptive feedforward control of periodic noise is presented in [148] where the in-line control filter is realized in analog circuitry, and only the coefficient adaptation is performed digitally. A waveform synthesizer with phase-locked loop and switched capacitor filter is disclosed in [149], providing multiple waves synchronized with the tracking signal, but at different phase shifts. Adaptive feedforward control is improved by coherence optimal filtering so that only such noise components are processed which in principle can be cancelled [150]. A SIMO (*single input, multiple output*) system with a single reference transducer, but multiple output and error channels, including decoupling of the output channels is described in [151].

A multiple error, multichannel recursive algorithm for the cancellation of road noise in a vehicle cabin is presented in [152], with a detailed mathematical description.

Synchronization problems in adaptive feedforward control in the frequency domain can be overcome by a correlation technique [153]. Active vibration control by a combination of feedback and feedforward control is achieved with a neural network that models the structural dynamics by following the state variables [154]. The numerical complexity of the error path modelling ("C modelling") in multichannel systems using the filtered-x LMS algorithm can be dramatically reduced by replacing the C model with a simple delay and a delayed Hermitian transpose of the C model introduced in the error path [155].

An adaptive feedforward single channel ANC system with feedback cancellation and online modelling of both the error path and the feedback path is described in [156].

A method of radio transmission path analysis for telephone lines is disclosed in [157], in particular for recognizing line echoes; it helps to decide whether or not correction means (echo cancelers etc.) have to be applied; the algorithm is based upon a frequency-domain adaptive LMS filter.

The spatial and spectral discrimination of two speech sources is possible by a crosscorrelation technique applied to the output signals of two microphones, and adaptive filtering such as to minimize the discrimination function [158, 159]. A PC-oriented versatile ANC and AVC unit with graphical user interface is presented in [160] which provides application-specific solutions (feedforward, feedback, filtered-U algorithms) without writing program codes.

An adaptive multichannel acoustic echo canceler for telephone conference and hands-free telephone systems is disclosed in [161] and [162], applying cross-correlation techniques.

The problem of slow asymptotic convergence of the LMS algorithm, i. e. the slowing improvement of filter performance near the optimum, is addressed in [163]; since theoretical and experimental evidence has been found that the slowing is associated with concentration of error energy at frequencies near the band edges of the filter, narrowing of the filter bandwidth could be shown to speed up the filter convergence.

In [164] a method is described of speeding up the performance of an adaptive controller by shortening the filter length. The implementation of a multichannel MIMO system for complex sound fields with multiple digital signal processors and a bus or ring system is outlined in [165]. The permanent error path modelling does not necessarily require an external test signal: in [166] a technique is presented by which the test signal can be obtained from the error signal by phase decorrelation. A transform domain multichannel system with fixed prefilters and provisions for constraining the output in certain frequency bands is disclosed in [167].

A combined frequency and time domain algorithm for simultaneous background noise reduction and echo cancellation is presented in [168], in particular for improved speech transmission with hands-free telephones.

A rather theoretical patent [169] deals with the problem of generalized noise cancellation in a communication channel where different noise components are correlated.

**1995:** A multichannel adaptive canceler for tonal noise which avoids overparameterization and accounts for phase shifts or delays is described in [170]. A closely related patent presents an adaptive method of limiting the actuator output to the linear range by a back-projection technique [171]. Since the convergence of the LMS algorithm is often slow, the application of a Newton/LMS algorithm is suggested in [172], implementing a fast inversion of the input signal autocorrelation matrix. Adaptive filters with very fast-converging algorithms cannot be assumed time-invariant and therefore violate the LTI assumption of linear system theory. As a consequence, a fast adaptive filter is not interchangeable with the error path model, precluding the application of the conventional filtered-x structure which would lead to serious stability problems. A solution is the separation of filter function and filter update, a concept termed FASPIS (fast adaptive secondary path integration scheme) in a journal publication [173]; this concept has been reinvented in [174]. Noise suppression in communication channels by applying a Wiener filter often suffers from "musical noise" which can be avoided by a spectral estimation procedure as described in [175].

The patent application [176] repeats, in a simplified form, the former patent [54], an adaptive feedforward controller with explicit feedback cancellation and an IIR main canceling filter, however including a feedback version and a two-channel system. The same inventors propose the insertion of an equalizing filter, realized as linear predictor [177]. Also, [178] is essentially a re-invention of [54], including on-line modelling of feedback path and error path. Adaptive feedforward control with the filtered-x LMS algorithm including gain control, interface delay and decimation or interpolation filters is the subject of [179]. A pipelined IIR filter, consisting of a non-adaptive and an adaptive section is presented in [180], including a thorough mathematical treatment.

Overload prevention of the control loudspeaker of an ANC system can be optimized by spectrally shaped power output limitation; in [181] is described how this is achieved with a "spectral leak," i.e., a frequency-dependent leakage factor. A similar concept is outlined in [182]. Multiple source separation from an equal number of sensor signals with unknown contributions of all sources ("blind separation") is possible by adaptive nonlinear processing algorithms [183], [184].

Principles of adaptive interference canceling in the case of highly nonstationary disturbances are outlined in [185], applied to radio transmission systems with rapid tracking control of center frequency and bandwidth of the interfering signal.

An improved algorithm for adaptive noise canceling is disclosed in a series of patents [186]–[189], providing two adaptive filters and variable step size to reduce both convergence time and final residual error.

A frequency-domain algorithm for multichannel ANC and AVC is disclosed in [190], calculating the filter coefficients from cross-spectral density matrices, the estimated plant transfer function, and singular value decomposition to account for possible ill-conditioning.

**1996:** The problem of channel decoupling in multichannel feedback control of repetitive noise has been solved by a matrix operation so that a set of single channel controllers remains [191]. Enhancing the reference signal in a feedforward ANC or AVC system by an adaptive line enhancer is the subject of [192]. A modified multichannel adaptive filtered-x LMS algorithm is outlined in [193]; by inserting a filter in the update input differing from the error path transfer function, the system is said to reduce complexity for, e.g., ANC in three-dimensional enclosed spaces.

Noise reduction for speech or music signals can be time- and cost-effectively improved by sepa-

ration into the predictable part and the prediction error, both parts being adaptively gain-controlled and then combined in the improved output signal [194].

In order to reduce the computational power requirement, a non-adaptive feedback controller with fixed-filter model reference control is proposed in [195] for ANC systems in enclosures. The stability problem occurring from the dead time in the room transfer function is solved by a Padé approximation, and robustness is achieved by adjusting a stability factor. Variations of the transfer function are accounted for by a preregistered perturbation function.

**1997:** Apparently a re-invention of [118] and [146] is [196], presenting error signal filtering as an alternative to the reference signal filtering of the filtered-x LMS algorithm, providing reduced numerical complexity. Convergence problems of the filtered-x and delayed-x LMS algorithms can occur when the system to be controlled exhibits sharp resonances and the input signal is periodic with rapid frequency sweep; a stabilizing algorithm modification is presented in [197]. In order to improve automatic speech recognition, in [198] a method is suggested to remove convolution noise components, such as introduced by microphone characteristics, applying a cepstrum filtering process. A modified LMS algorithm/adaptive FIR filter device for ANC in hands-free telephones is suggested in [199], resulting in power saving control as compared to previous systems; the cancellation concentrates on frequencies where the noise is particularly disturbing.

**1998:** An acoustic and line echo cancellation and noise suppression device is described in [200], providing speech enhancement for teleconference set-ups etc., adapting simultaneously for both transmit and receive path. A combined feedforward/feedback adaptive ANC system with three digital filters and two coefficient update units is disclosed in [201, 202]. A method for acoustic system identification in a noisy environment is disclosed in [203]; in order to make the broadband test signal loud enough and yet inaudible, spectral shaping is applied utilizing the psychoacoustic phenomenon of masking.

**1999:** Supplementing a stereo loudspeaker set-up by a third loudspeaker in front of and nearer to the listener, crosstalk cancellation with delay lines, high-pass and low-pass filters widens the range of permitted head movement without destroying the effect of virtual sound source localization [204]. The convergence speed of, e.g., an echo canceler is higher with subband filtering than with the LMS or normalized LMS algorithm; in [205] it is claimed that a 3 dB overlap of adjacent bands reduces aliasing effects which otherwise also slow down convergence. Overall modelling of a single channel or multichannel adaptive feedforward ANC system is disclosed in [206] and [207], using the filtered-x or filtered-U LMS algorithms and initial system identification with two different test control models; continuous error path modelling can be replaced with occasional updates if the error path changes only slowly.

A digital modulation technique for canceling acoustic feedback in public address systems, handsfree telephones etc. is presented in [208]: the sound reaching the microphone is converted into an inaudible, digitally modulated signal which is combined with the traditional microphone signal; in case of acoustic feedback, the microphone receives the loudspeaker signal containing the inaudible component which now can be used to subtract the feedback signal from the microphone signal. This is a digital analogue to former patents applying analog modulation [209], [210].

**2000:** The patent application [211] claims to present a novel ANC system avoiding the stability problems of feedback controllers and the convergence problems of adaptive feedforward control by application of a fixed digital filter feedforward system to generate the cancellation signal, however ignoring the problems of time-varying parameters. A controller providing ANC or AVC for complex nonlinear multi component systems (aircraft, helicopters, ships) is presented in [212] and [213], using a neural network to model the systems and another neural net to calculate the control signal.

**2001:** The time delay in digital signal processing often presents problems. It can be reduced by applying a sigma-delta converter instead of the usual digital-to-analog converter with the necessarily delaying antialiasing filter [214].

# 3. ANC IN DUCTS AND MUFFLERS

#### **3.1. Ducts**

The active cancellation of one-dimensional sound fields in ducts has for the first time been proposed by Lueg [7]. More detailed, still rather early patents are those of Parramore (1970) [215], Swinbanks [216] and Wanke [217], both in 1972, and in 1974, of Lawson-Tancred [218] and Walker [219]. The Jessel group has applied for a patent [220], describing an adaptive feedforward system with a tripole as secondary source to avoid acoustic feedback and standing waves in the "upstream" direction. Similarly, Coxon and Leventhall have described in 1976 the "Chelsea Dipole," a means of feedback cancellation to prevent howling in a feedforward control set-up [221]. Swinbanks has proposed the application of phased loudspeaker arrays as unidirectional transmitters [222], and a filter bank system for multi frequency signals, including adaptive control with analog or digital electronics [223]. Bose has described a feedback set-up for low-frequency ANC in exhaust ducts [224]; a modern version is disclosed in [225], including several modifications (see Section 4, first paragraph). An active silencer duct with octagonal instead of circular cross-section is proposed in [226], for ease of loudspeaker attachment.

Ross [227] and Swinbanks [228] have described adaptive active duct silencers with digital control in a system identification configuration. In [229] an electroacoustical system is outlined which can either compensate for a (reverberant) room response in high quality sound field reproduction (by prefiltering with the inverse room transfer function), or serve as an adaptive feedforward ANC system for ducts with a two-loudspeaker dipole source, thereby avoiding acoustic feedback. Broadband "downstream" cancellation without "upstream" reflection is obtained by either providing the feedforward analog amplifier with a digital negative feedback loop [230], or a cascade structure of secondary sources as outlined in [231]. Also described in [230] is a demonstration installation of the ANC system, with 72 large loudspeakers around the upper end of the 3.25-m diameter chimney stack of a gas turbine, canceling the narrowband rumbling noise between 20 and 50 Hz. A purely mechanical noise canceling device for exhaust ducts by counter-oscillating disks in the main duct and a parallel cancellation duct is presented in [232], similar in principle to those of Coanda [5], [6], with doubtful effect since no precautions are taken to guarantee amplitude and phase match to the primary sound. A feedback ANC system in analog electronics with howling prevention by gain control is described in [233].

Technical applications of the cancellation of *broadband* duct noise became possible after the introduction of digital adaptive signal processing, including adaptive feedback cancellation. Some of the "algorithm" patents cited in Section 2 are related to duct silencers: [28, 30, 31, 35, 37, 40, 43, 54, 55, 71, 72, 77, 80, 81, 100, 108, 110, 119, 130, 131, 145, 181, 182]. Active duct silencers with digital control are also proposed in the Japanese patents [234] and [235]. In order to reduce noise pick-up by the wiring between ANC components, the insertion of optical modems and optical fibers between the microphones, loudspeaker amplifiers and the signal processor is proposed in [236]. Hybrid (passive and active) systems are disclosed in [237, 238], and an adaptive feedforward active duct silencer employing the filtered-x LMS algorithm with feedback compensation and an auxiliary noise source for feedback and error path modelling in [239].

An adaptive feedforward ANC system where the active elements are arranged in a cigar-shaped central piece in a circular ventilation duct is described in [240]. Another adaptive feedforward ANC system for duct noise is disclosed in [241] where a two-stage adaptation procedure eliminates possible distortion by noise entering the duct from the downstream side. An improved version of the

Swinbanks dipole system for ANC in ducts is presented in [242]. A hybrid sound attenuator is described in [243], comprising resonance absorbers (possibly with active tuning), porous absorbers (possibly with active backing) for the high-frequency range, and an adaptive ANC system with reference microphone(s), canceling loudspeaker(s) and error microphone(s) for the low-frequency range. An adaptive feedforward noise control system for liquid-filled pipes (where the sound propagation velocity depends on the stiffness of the pipe wall) is described in [244]. Further feedforward systems for canceling duct noise in air conditioners are disclosed in [245], [246], [247] and [248]. An actively controlled resonance absorber for automobile exhaust mufflers is described in [249].

An active noise canceling device for application to one-dimensional sound propagating in a duct consists of an array of resonance absorbers, driven as a phased array from a single loudspeaker through delay lines of different lengths [250].

A system for collocated feedback control for ANC or AVC in one-dimensional systems is presented in [251] where particularly the phase shift problem is addressed and solved by adaptive digital nonlinear filtering with a reset logic.

The problems of canceling *higher-order modes* in ducts above the cut-on frequency of the first transverse mode are addressed in [252]–[256]. These problems can be avoided by lengthwise partitioning of the duct and providing separate ANC systems for each cell [257]–[260]. To save installation space in a lengthwise partitioned flow duct, it is proposed in [261] to use porous absorber plates as partition walls and to insert the microphones and loudspeakers for an adaptive feedforward ANC system flush into these partitions.

Microperforated plates are proposed as passive sound absorbers in flow ducts; they can, in particular, attenuate cross modes which otherwise impair the performance of active absorbers mounted at a side wall [262]. Fluid-borne sound in pipes (hydraulics etc.) can be cancelled by feedback controlled piezoelectric actuators mounted at the pipe wall [263].

#### 3.2. Mufflers

Patents on the application of ANC to mufflers of internal combustion engines have been applied for since many years. Loudspeakers mounted to the exhaust tail pipe are proposed in [264], but with only vague ideas of how to feed them. A combined electronic phase inversion and geometrical adjustment of the cancellation loudspeaker in a side branch is proposed in [265]. Chaplin has proposed to install, near the tailpipe orifice, a feedback-controlled microphone/loudspeaker system which acts as a local active sound absorber [35]. A feedback-controlled loudspeaker attached to the side wall of an air intake or exhaust pipe is disclosed in [266]. A valve-controlled bypass, splitting the gas flow in order to provide noise canceling by interference, is disclosed in [267] and [268]. A typical control strategy uses synchronization signals from the engine, forms the canceling signal by waveform synthesis, and radiates the canceling sound from one or more loudspeakers which are mounted near the tail pipe [269]–[273]. A divergence monitoring device is disclosed in [274] and [275], securing stable operation of an active muffler. Adaptive feedforward control with the error microphone and the loudspeaker in a silencer space, including a time delay unit to compensate for the propagation path between error microphone and loudspeaker is proposed in [276]. A similar device with two microphones in the silencer space is disclosed in [277], and with two loudspeakers in [278]. A passive muffler for vehicle exhausts is disclosed in [279], diverting the sound wave into a multitude of partially reflected waves which destructively interfere with each other. Further patents on mufflers with adaptive feedforward control are [280]–[289]. Feedback active mufflers are disclosed in [290] and [291], the last one presenting also detailed descriptions of the mechanical design.

Emphasis on the optimization of engine performance by active control of inlet and/or outlet pressure fluctuations is laid in [292]. Mufflers combining tuned passive resonators and active cancellation by loudspeakers in feedback configuration are disclosed in [293]. A two-stage active muffler is presented in [294]: the crest factor of the pressure pulses is reduced by a pneumatic control device, e.g., a valve-controlled conduit from the exhaust pipe to the intake manifold so that the pressure

peaks in the pipe are sucked away by the intake underpressure; the remaining low-amplitude noise is cancelled by a common ANC system with a downsized amplifier and loudspeaker.

The technology of generating air pressure pulses in synchronism with the firing frequency of internal combustion engines is also applied for by conveying charge air to the intake manifold, enabling active engine tuning by variation of pulse frequency, amplitude and phase in order to adapt the engine power to the momentary demand [295]. A further development provides two loudspeakers, one near the plenum to serve as an adjustable impedance for the pulse reflections, the other one near the air intake to reduce the impulsive noise radiated to the outside [296]. An adaptive-passive reactive muffler with feedback position control of an internal plate with attached pipe, dividing the muffler chamber into two chambers, is proposed in [297].

Many ideas have been disclosed on the problem of heat protection for the loudspeakers and on special loudspeaker arrangements for exhaust pipes [298]–[335]. Actively tuned Helmholtz resonators for noise reduction in ducts and mufflers are the subject of [336]–[341]. In [342] an active absorber in a side branch to an exhaust duct is proposed, realized as a feedback-controlled loudspeaker so as to optimally remove sound energy from the duct by impedance matching. Variable muffler geometry providing optimum engine efficiency (by backpressure reduction) is the subject of [343].

Other actuators than loudspeakers have also been proposed for active duct noise control and mufflers: hollow piezoceramic cylinders mounted at the inner duct wall [344] or pipes carrying flow with active sections made of piezoelectric films [345], and oscillating flaps or valves which modulate the gas flow in the exhaust pipe [346]–[350] (the last one with a Venturi tube in order to alleviate the flap design). Related is a patent on backpressure braking control by an oscillating valve for commercial diesel engine vehicles [351], and also a construction where the exhaust gas flows through an expansion chamber in which a baffle plate is vibrated opposite to the primary tube end in synchronism with the flow pulsations, thus smoothing the gas flow [352]. Injection of small amounts of water which evaporates in the hot gas and so causes smoother flow has also been proposed [353].

A series of General Motors patents aim at a combination of active control of intake and exhaust noise with active engine mounts [354]–[357]; [354] describes a method of overload prevention of the adaptive filters. A physically sophisticated approach is outlined in [358], a hybrid silencer for ventilation ducts realizing a theoretical optimum of the acoustic wall impedance (which is modified by the gas flow); the technical realization of this concept is lacking, however, from causality constraints. A more realistic version is presented in [225]. An exhaust muffler with feedback control of loudspeakers to provide an acoustic short circuit near the tail pipe is described in [359]. A muffler with tracking control and desired-signal recovery from the error signal and adaptation by the LMS algorithm is disclosed in [360, 361]. Active duct silencers with the control parameters taken from a look-up table are outlined in [362]–[365].

An active muffler with adaptive feedback control in cascade structure is presented in [366], and one with tracking control and provisions to prevent loudspeaker overload in [367]. Duct silencers with feedforward control and microphone pairs as directional input sensors to avoid feedback instability are outlined in [368] and [369], both obviously being re-inventions of [370]. An adaptive feedforward ANC vehicle exhaust system can be combined with an engine diagnostic system, monitoring misfires etc., which employs a neural network pattern classifier [371]. An exhaust muffler with indirect error sensing by error microphones placed in the primary and secondary sound wave guides is presented in [372]. An exhaust silencer with sound quality design to meet subjective preference is proposed in [131].

In order to reduce the exhaust noise of aircraft jet engines during ground testing, the exhaust flow can be guided through a diverging conduit which is lined with a passive damper for the high-frequency noise, while low-frequency components are cancelled by a multichannel ANC system with, e.g., eight loudspeakers [373]. The problem of turbulence noise in ducts with strong air flow is addressed in [374]; the coherence between reference and error microphone responses in a common

adaptive feedforward controller is improved by passive flow straightening with porous plates or the like.

ANC for canceling air intake noise in vehicle interior space is disclosed in [375], the canceling signal being synthesized from engine control parameters. In a further development [376], an active silencer to reduce the engine air intake noise of a vehicle is provided with a divergence monitor to switch off the canceling signal in case of howling onset. A similar system is disclosed in [377], with the additional option of creating a sound indicative of the engine's operational state; thus the vehicle compartment can either be silenced or provided with a desired sound.

To reduce aircraft engine inlet noise, flush mounted panels in the nacelle wall are driven piezoelectrically in an adaptive feedforward system to cancel the blade passage frequency and first harmonics [378]; the efficiency of the radiators is increased by tuning the actuators to resonance at the desired frequencies, employing stiffness control by pressurizing the actuator housing. Active wall treatments are also suggested in [379] and [380] where the actuators are driven so that their acoustic input impedance matches the optimum.

Axial fans in wide ducts generate rotating sound fields ("spinning modes"). Their cancellation is possible by peripheral annular loudspeaker arrays which are fed in synchronism with the propeller tip rotation [381]. According to [382] the tonal noise radiated from a jet engine, due to circumferential modes in the duct, can be sensed by a circumferential microphone array and cancelled by controlling flow distortions, either the orifices of an array of nozzles, or the protrusion amplitude of rods pushed into the gas stream. In [383] several rings of microphone and loudspeaker arrays in the circular outlet flow ducts of turbomachines are proposed for the active cancellation of circumferential (spinning) acoustic modes, utilizing the results of a thorough analytical and numerical analysis of the noise and antinoise generating mechanisms.

In [384] and [385] are disclosed feedback and adaptive feedforward ANC system for the air intake noise of an internal combustion engine, being placed centrally in a widened section of the intake duct. A particularly energy-saving ANC muffler for vehicles with internal-combustion engines is described in [386].

As a passive alternative to ANC mufflers, cross passages between regions of the exhaust and intake manifolds provide noise cancellation by interference [387].

The cancellation of higher-order modes in industrial exhaust stacks is possible, according to [388], by a multichannel adaptive feedforward ANC system.

# 4. ANC IN INTERIOR SPACES

#### 4.1. Room Acoustics

Many patents are related to local or global control of sound in interior spaces such as vehicles and aircraft, some also to room acoustics in general. Olson [8] was the first to construct a loudspeaker with a microphone in front and feedback control to provide an active absorber. He suggested applications as local silencer or to suppress room resonances. Modern versions of this concept are given in [389] with adaptive digital control for time-varying room response and multichannel control for large enclosures or high modal densities, in [225] for applications to ventilation ducts etc., and in [390] as a compact unit for both active modal control of room resonances and, by an additional audio input, for sound reproduction with room-specific prefiltering to avoid distortions like coloration by room resonances.

For the equalization of the room response, active control by loudspeakers with motional feedback for arbitrary and frequency-dependent acoustic input impedance has been proposed [391], and room response control by loudspeakers in the corners [392]. The cancellation of periodic disturbances in the presence of other periodic noise is outlined in [393], the interfering disturbance being eliminated by a second input, based on the principle of adaptive noise canceling. An actively controlled Helmholtz resonator is disclosed in [394] where a PID-controlled loudspeaker is placed in the resonator volume to simulate a larger volume and thereby providing enhanced low-frequency absorption. Uncoherent active sound field control for spatial equalization and reverberation enhancement or adjustment is outlined in [395] and [396].

#### 4.2. Local Control in Rooms

Local noise control for persons seated in a wing chair (sometimes called a *silent seat* or *quiet seat*), with loudspeakers mounted in the wings, has been disclosed in [111], [397]–[400], the last one using loudspeakers which are open-backed, so acting as dipole radiators which serve as noise cancelers in the nearfield without giving rise to enhanced noise in the farfield. Such systems are also the subject of [401], intended for applications to passenger seats in trains etc., employing adaptive feedforward control with the filtered-x algorithm and IIR filters, and crosstalk cancellation to avoid disturbance by the ANC system of the neighboring seat. A similar wing chair with microphones and loudspeakers behind the passenger head in a car or aircraft is disclosed in [402], claiming that the mechanical design is such that the transfer functions are independent of the passenger head position. A quiet seat with hinged wings is disclosed in [403] so that the distance between the loudspeakers and the ears can be varied to adjust the efficiency of the (feedback) ANC system. A personal noise cancellation system with combined feedback and adaptive feedforward control is disclosed in [404], providing good performance for both tonal and broadband sound, creating a zone of silence around the error microphones.

Active local control of machine noise etc. is proposed in [405]–[409], cancellation at a movable point by motion tracking and a device which keeps the distance to the secondary source constant [410], or with ultrasonic ranging in [411, 412, 413], and the active cancellation of sound entering a room through a relatively small area (e.g., a window) in a subspace of the room by a microphone array and a loudspeaker array [414]. Noise cancellation in a "zone of quiet" by placing a loudspeaker array in front of the noise source is proposed in [415]; the adaptive digital feedforward system includes means for equalization, echo cancellation and feedback cancellation. Local silencing in a room by adaptive feedforward control with remote error sensing is proposed in [416]; two phased arrays of error microphones are installed on different walls and steered such as to intersect in the region to be quieted.

The cancellation of disturbing noise in domestic rooms from electroacoustic equipment of neighbors, transmitted through the walls, is addressed in [417], providing an adaptive feedforward multichannel ANC system with wireless reference signal transmission either from the disturbing sound source, or, e. g. in case of loud TV sound, from the own antenna tuned to the same program.

Synchrophasing (see Section 4.4) has been proposed to reduce the (infra)sound emission from groups of vibratory feeders and similar equipment in factory spaces by operating adjacent machines in antiphase [418]. Twin centrifugal fans can similarly be synchronized in antiphase to reduce their noise emission [419].

An application of actively controlled loudspeakers to provide an acoustic shadow [420] is acoustically questionable (an idea similar to that of Coanda [6]). Questionable is also the active cancellation of *snoring noise*, claimed in [421] without accounting for wall reflections (not to mention the installations in immediate neighborhood of the sleeper's head), or [422] where the canceling sound is to be taken from a data storage, assuming exact reproducibility of typical snoring sounds, but no provisions are made for, e. g., phase adjustment. An increasingly unpleasant sound created from the snoring noise by modulation techniques [423] is more likely to be successful (if presented to the snorer only). Active cancellation of snoring noise at the ears of a bed partner is also disclosed in [424], the same technology being proposed for silent seats and noisy hotel rooms.

A different field where the same technology as for local ANC is applied is magnetic field cancellation at the location of sensitive equipment such as a high-resolution electron microscopes [425]; three pairs of Helmholtz coils installed along the room edges are feedback-controlled to compensate for externally generated magnetic fields at a sensor placed near the instrument. A similar patent is [426] according to which both the magnetic and electric component of the electromagnetic power line field in rooms can be cancelled in a feedback control loop, the electric cancellation field being generated by large area electrodes along the walls.

#### 4.3. Audio Reproduction in Rooms

In order to adapt stereo loudspeaker systems to the acoustic conditions in the room where they are installed, an automatic equalization system is presented in [427]. Noise suppression in domestic audio systems by adaptive noise canceling is proposed in [428]. Electroacoustic sound reproduction in a room is also the subject of [429] where low-frequency resonances are actively cancelled as in [8], but early reflections and reverberation are enhanced by an "ambience generator," so providing some sound quality design. Audio recording restoration for, e. g., motion picture film soundtracks by multiband digital filtering with online adaptation of the spectral band gains is disclosed in [430], providing both equalization and noise suppression. Stereo reproduction of (classical) music played by an orchestra with spot microphones (to enhance voice or quiet instruments) often suffers from interference effects due to the different acoustic path lengths to the microphones; an adaptively controlled delay in the spot microphone signal path cares for maximum correlation of the spot microphone and the stereo microphone pair and removes the interference disturbance [431].

Stereophonic sound field reproduction with a wider listening space is generally possible by the two conventional stereo loudspeakers when head-related crosstalk cancellation is applied (see Section 2, 2nd paragraph). Besides the original patent [17], many more inventions are based on this concept, claiming to create virtual sound sources in any direction and at any distance, e.g., [432]–[440]. The same effect is said to be achieved by two full-range loudspeakers in each box, fed with sum and difference signals of the left and right channels [441], or by a method disclosed in [442, 443], involving variable delays, or [444], claiming to reproduce stereophonic recordings with a compact two-loudspeaker source and complementary feeding so that the stereophonic impression is experienced in a wider angular range than usual. Sound field reproduction with stereophonic signals and cross-coupling through filter banks and phase shifters can, according to [445], also produce true three-dimensional illusion. A transportable sound reproduction system with nearfield loudspeakers positioned very near to the listener is said to create, from binaural records, a more realistic three-dimensional illusion than with headphones or conventional stereo loudspeakers [446]. In [447], a system is proposed applying, among others, feedback control.

A sophisticated method for generating virtual (also moving) sound sources from two stereo loudspeakers is disclosed in [448]. Almost an overview article on nearly all aspects of large area stereo audio reproduction with crosstalk cancellation and head-related transfer functions is presented in [449]. A compact two-loudspeaker box for stereophonic multichannel reproduction is provided in [450], suggesting a baffle projecting forward from the box between the two speakers, aiming at prevention of an acoustic short-circuit; sophisticated digital filtering provides interaural crosstalk cancellation and hence large area stereophony. Another method of creating surround sound, again with the two conventionally located stereo loudspeakers only, is described in [451], connecting the loudspeakers by phase-shift networks to function as a gradient source and minimizing the direct sound component at the listener's ears. Enhanced spatial effects can also be created from mono or stereo inputs by a combination of delay and filtered cross-feeding to the stereo loudspeakers [452]. An advanced filter technique for steering perceived sound source locations from stereophonic loudspeaker reproduction into arbitrary directions employs, besides cross-talk cancellation and head-related transfer functions, a new technique for interpolating between head-related transfer functions [453, 454]. Another advanced stereophonic sound image control system is presented in [455]–[457], providing means to move a virtual sound image left/right, near/far, and up/down; this is achieved by digital filtering including delays, gain control, crosstalk cancellation, and generalized head-related transfer functions. A three-loudspeaker system with improved crosstalk cancellation is described in [204], permitting head movement in a wider range of positions than with the usual two-loudspeaker arrangement.

A sound field expansion system with separate bass and treble control is presented in [458]. Stereo image expansion is typically associated with mid-frequency coloration, an effect which is avoided by systems presented in [459] and [460], employing sum and difference signals, a mono/stereo estimator whose output is used to adjust gains of various filters to realize the head-related transfer function and crosstalk cancellation.

Artificial reverberation of a stereophonic sound field reproduction system provides enhanced subjective lateral spread by filtering and cross-feeding (inverted) signals between right and left channels [461]. In [462], the loudspeaker output is monitored by an auxiliary microphone, and an adaptive feedback system controls a precompensation filter to mimic the inverse loudspeaker transfer function, thereby compensating for loudpeaker distortions.

A series of patents [463]–[469] describe an efficient, flexible *surround sound* coding scheme claiming to be superior to former matrix systems; the method makes use of spatial and spectral masking phenomena and requires less transmission bandwidth than former systems. A method for enlarging the sensed sound field and creating a feeling of spaciousness without affecting sound source localization is disclosed in [470], employing multi-loudspeaker reproduction, delay units, crosstalk cancellation, phase control, and reflected sound simulation. A five or more channel system for stereophonic sound field reproduction in rooms is described in [471] where a special method of signal processing provides a much larger space for good listening.

A multichannel surround sound system with five full-range loudspeakers and a subwoofer applies dynamic electronic processing to improve the sound field reproduction by creating a more diffuse sound field [472]. A four-dimensional audio system is outlined in [473], claiming to maximize depth, width, and perceived directionality of the sound field. In the continuation of this patent, a portable collapsible seat is disclosed with integrated five-loudspeaker audio system to create a virtual reality environment [474]. A sequence of patents [475]–[478] address active matrix sound field reproduction, converting stereophony to surround sound. Surround sound generation from two stereo channels is also claimed in [479], cleverly mixing the two primary channels, including crosstalk cancellation, filtering and phase-shifts. Somehow the opposite procedure, namely to condense the, e.g., five surround sound channels into two conventional stereo signals is sometimes desired; a method to achieve this is described in [480, 481].

If the surround sound loudspeakers cannot be placed according to the recommended standard, the patent [482] can help by relocating the perceived sound source direction, applying head-related transfer functions and cross-talk cancellation. Another fairly complex method of multichannel sound field reproduction is disclosed in [483], providing also spatial equalization if the loudspeakers cannot be placed optimally.

An improved audio reproduction method by headphones is described in considerable detail in [484, 485, 486], providing, among others, sensing and compensation for head movements. A "direct" approach to multichannel surround sound reproduction by a headset is claimed in [487]: each earcup carries a plurality of miniature loudspeakers along its hemispherical surface "to simulate the directional orientation of the sound as perceived by the listener."

Stereophonic sound field reproduction in cars where the loudspeaker placement is dictated by constructional requirements can be improved by cross-coupling the left and right channels, providing fluctuating coherence [488]. A novel system for spatial enhancement and improved bass reproduction has been developed for small and closely-spaced stereo loudspeakers (e. g., in portable TVs), applying differential amplification, filtering and equalization [489]. A similar cross-feeding technique is proposed in [490] for the spatial enhancement of stereophonic reproduction.

#### 4.4. Propeller Aircraft and Helicopters Cabins

Interior noise suppression is particularly a problem with propeller aircraft and helicopters. Approaches with multichannel adaptive feedforward control or tracking control (with synchronization input from the engine) and loudspeakers as secondary sources are outlined in [491]–[501], or

with shakers or piezoceramic patches acting on the fuselage (the ASAC technique, see Section 9) in [502, 503]. Synchrophasing of the left and right propeller, so that the vortex threads separating from the propeller blade tips hit the fuselage out of phase, is the subject of [504]–[510]. In-cabin noise in helicopters, caused by vibration input from the main gearbox, can be suppressed by active stiffening of transmission beams, thereby suppressing resonance excitation also at high frequencies [511], or by applying cancellation forces to the struts connecting the gearbox and the helicopter cabin, with a microphone array as error sensors in the cabin [512]. Synchrophasing can be optimized with respect to balancing the cabin noise so that there are no "hot" seats with high noise level [513]. Loudspeakers, shakers and synchrophasing are also suggested in [514] for the cancellation of periodic noise in propeller aircraft and helicopter cabins. Noise and vibration reduction in a propeller aircraft fuselage by active engine mounts and actively controlled dynamic absorbers is outlined in [515], with special emphasis on a pulse modulation technique to reduce heat dissipation in the actuators. A multichannel adaptive control system for local cancellation of repetitive noise at the head positions of aircraft passengers is described in [516], using matrices of transfer functions from the canceling loudspeakers to arrays of reference and error sensors.

#### 4.5. Jet Aircraft Cabins

ANC for repetitive noise in the interior of jet aircraft is the subject of [517] where a multichannel tracking controller with a frequency domain algorithm is applied. Interior noise in jet engine aircraft can be caused by engine imbalance. A method of in-flight condition monitoring and imbalance control is outlined in [518]. Broadband adaptive feedforward control in aircraft and car compartments with multichannel systems is outlined in [153, 519]. The latter patent is accompanied by [520] where shakers acting on the fuselage for low-frequency control are combined with loudspeakers mounted in the headrests for high-frequency noise. Broadband adaptive multichannel feedforward ANC for aircraft cabins can, according to [521], be designed with less complexity due to typical partial channel decoupling. Active mass dampers attached to the structure connecting the aircraft engines to the frame and fuselage are the subject of [522], providing reduction of repetitive vibration and noise in the passenger cabin by a variety of possible structural realizations and control strategies. A different approach to cancel jet engine noise is suggested in [523]: the canceling sound waves are generated in the combustion chamber by exciting plasma oscillations with electric fields applied to electrodes inserted into the plasma.

#### 4.6. In-Vehicle Sound Field Control

Many car manufacturers and associated companies are investigating the possibilities of ANC in vehicle cabins. A technically solved problem is the cancellation of the "boom," an often annoying resonance of the air volume excited by an inherent unbalance of 4-cylinder internal-combustion engines. The Nissan car "Bluebird" has been sold in Japan for some years with an optional active noise control system. Many patents in this field (also by other manufacturers) can be found [56, 67, 88, 496, 497, 116, 117, 118, 135], [524]–[584]. The usual approach is tracking control with the engine rotation frequency as synchronization input, error microphones near the passengers' heads, and using the existing on-board loudspeakers as control sources. Since the engine rotation frequency varies over a large range between idle and full speed, the efficiency of the digital signal processing can be improved by applying a variable sampling frequency [109]. The computational need of tracking control can be reduced by taking the adaptive filter coefficients from a look-up table [585]. Cancellation of engine noise entering the vehicle cabin through the ventilation ducts is the subject of [586] and [587], including stability precautions by switching off the cancellation signal when certain system conditions occur. A special mechanical support for the error microphone next to the ANC loudspeaker is disclosed in [588].

If no synchronization signal can be taken directly from the engine, the alternator's a.c. output will

provide a frequency proportional to the engine rotation frequency [589], [590]. In [526] is suggested a system without error microphones, taking the filter parameters from a look-up table according to the ride status of the car. A similar system is proposed in [591] where the information on the ride status of the car is monitored, not only to select the cancellation signal from the data storage, but also for fault detection. Following [528], the error microphones can be placed in the headrests. Certain problems with seat-mounted microphones can be avoided by a "virtual microphone," realized by the weighted sum of the output signals from two or more microphones placed around the head position [532]. As a further development, [592] combines it with tracking the position of the speech source. A feedback controller with microphones placed in Helmholtz resonators which are tuned to the car resonances is disclosed in [529].

In order to improve the performance of a multichannel ANC system for the interior space of a car, crosstalk cancellation has been proposed in [539]. A combined ANC and AVC system for engine-related disturbances in vehicles applies a two-channel filtered-x LMS algorithm to feed a shaker and a loudspeaker, the balancing of both being adjusted according to many parameters, such as engine or vehicle speed, number of passengers, opening of windows, etc. [561]. The geometry of automobile compartments is such that often the fundamental cavity resonance creates an antinode of the sound field at the rear seats, but a node at the front seats; the patent [593] provides a method to spatially equalize the sound field by driving a central rear loudspeaker with an L + R signal after bandpass filtering and phase-shifting. The cancellation of repetitive engine-related noise in a vehicle interior space by actively vibrating the compartment panels is disclosed in [594] (see also Section 9). Actively vibrating the window panes of a car by piezo actuators is suggested in [595] to reduce noise entering the car cabin from outside. An earlier patent [596] proposes a special design of the vehicle understructure so that the floor panels vibrate less in the front region than in the rear, and the phase relation is such that the radiated sound interferes destructively in the head area of the front passengers.

In [597] an active system is proposed which either acts as an adaptive feedback-controlled multichannel ANC system for a vehicle cabin (with fuzzy control), or as an alarm system responding to unauthorized intrusion into the vehicle, based on ultrasonic surveillance.

The active cancellation of air intake noise of an internal combustion engine is the subject of [598], providing adaptive tracking control of engine-related repetitive noise; a piezoelectric loudspeaker is mounted in a side branch of the air intake pipe, and special attention is paid to the cancellation of half-integer harmonics of the engine rotation speed which are said to be particularly annoying.

A series of Siemens (Canada) patents deal with ANC for engine-related repetitive noise cancellation in the passenger cabins where the loudspeaker is mounted under the engine hood and acts upon the air induction system of the car. An (adaptive) feedforward ANC system is disclosed in [385] where the loudspeaker is mounted in the center of the widened air inlet duct mouth so that the air flows around the loudspeaker (see also Section 3). A curious extra facility of the ANC system is proposed in [599] and [600]: the generation of a horn signal by the ANC loudspeaker when the horn button at the steering wheel is pressed. An optimized loudspeaker membrane shape matched to the non-round air duct mouth is disclosed in [601]. An adaptive tracking controller is outlined in [602], communicating several engine-related data to the signal processor (crank position, fuel injection data, ignition data) without or with hardwire connection and interrupting the active noise control when, due to low engine load and hence low noise, no cancellation is required; the decision may be facilitated by transmitting exhaust air temperature and throttle position data. This strategy has been further developed towards sound design in [603] where the loudspeaker is driven in one of two modes, one applying during normal driving and the other one for sportive driving, the decision again depending on engine speed and engine data, and providing either ANC or a "sportive sound." The low-frequency performance of the ANC loudspeaker can be improved, according to [604]: the centrally mounted loudspeaker in the air inlet duct acts on a resonance chamber in front, which is sealed by a sound radiating membrane in the inlet plane, the resonance frequency thereby being lowered as compared to the loudspeaker alone. Alternatively, a loudspeaker horn can be provided [605]. A similar device is claimed to work without microphone and online adaptation [606], where the cancellation signals shall be stored in a memory file as a function of the engine speed, the output signals being modified according to additional input data of throttle position and temperature. A method of mounting the ANC system so that it is protected from the harsh environment under the engine hood is disclosed in [607]. In [608] the problem is addressed that a too perfect ANC system may confuse the driver by no longer hearing the typical engine sound. The controller therefore creates, depending on the operating conditions, start-up sound, idling sound, or driving sound and radiates it into the cabin at an adjustable level. Several methods of selection of the preferred noise cancellation or engine sound profile are outlined in [609]: by a hand-held device such as a cellular phone, a keypad, or a touch screen. A seemingly consequent further development is the creation of a typical door closing sound to improve the user perception of his or her car [610]. According to [611] the air flow in the air intake can be used to cool the ANC processor board. The signal processing method for adaptive feedforward control with online modelling of the transfer function is described in [612]. The combination of an ANC system in the air intake duct and a Helmholtz resonator tuned to 60...90 Hz allows a smaller ANC loudspeaker and less canceling signal power to be applied [613]. A variety of ANC loudspeaker mounting devices is sketched in [614], and a microphone calibration method in [615]. A method for faster adaptive filter convergence is disclosed in [616] by starting with an initial estimate of the error path transfer function. Adaptive tracking control of repetitive engine noise by a frequency-domain technique is outlined in [617], and by an order analysis in [618]. A space saving housing of the ANC loudspeaker is disclosed in [619], combining it with one or two of the fluid reservoirs placed in the engine compartment. A microphone protection system is developed in [620], and an actively tuned Helmholtz resonator in [621].

A particular noise problem in vehicles is addressed in [622]: the noise of a cooling fan for audio or navigation systems can be reduced by reducing the rotation speed of the fan to the minimum value possible without damage risk of the electronics.

A multichannel system for both noise and vibration control in vehicle cabins etc. performs a modal analysis (applying fuzzy control) and cancels the primary vibratory inputs at the engine and car body mounts [623]. A feedback system with a gain-controlled amplifier to avoid feedback instability is disclosed in [624].

Fewer patents than on the cancellation of engine-related repetitive noise exist on the much more complicated problem of canceling *broadband noise* in a vehicle cabin, the "road noise" (caused by the tires rolling over rough road surfaces), wind noise, etc. [73, 91, 133, 519], [625]–[644]. Most of them apply multichannel adaptive feedforward control with the filtered-x algorithm and, again, the on-board loudspeakers as secondary sources. Alternatively, in [630] actively tuned Helmholtz resonators are proposed as frequency-selective absorbers, in [635] a neural network controller driving piezoelectric actuators both for car body vibration isolation and vibration control of the vehicle compartment hull, and in [636] loudspeakers simultaneously acting as sensors so that no microphones are required. Loudspeaker overload can be prevented by power limitation and an "arbitrator" which reduces, if required, the bass output of the audio entertainment system and the low-frequency ANC signal which are most likely to overdrive an amplifier [645]. In [152] a fast recursive algorithm for the adaptive cancellation of road noise in a vehicle cabin is presented, applying accelerometer inputs and acoustical cancellation sources and error microphones. In [646] it is suggested to reduce sound transmission from the outside through the windows by feedback-controlled transparent piezoelectric sensors and actuators glued onto the window glasses. A system with reduced computational complexity is disclosed in [195] (see Section 2, last paragraph). A method of identifying the different sound and vibration sources contributing to vehicle interior noise at a passenger's head is disclosed in [647]. An adaptive feedback control system particularly for heavy offroad vehicles is described in [648], providing an adjustable level of cancellation to meet the individual preferences of the drivers.

A partly unconventional ANC system for vehicle compartments is presented in [649]: loudspeak-

ers and microphones are either mounted in the headrests and a feedback controller provides the signal processing, with an optical sensor tracking the head position and adapting the cancellation signals accordingly. The unconventional alternative suggests to replace the microphones with sort of laser vibrometers to measure the sound-induced skin vibrations near to the ears, or to apply pressure-sensitive paint to the skin next to the ears.

Several patents are related to *sound design* in the cabins of vehicles with internal combustion engines. In [650] a system is proposed to simulate a "sportive" sound also in an average car by deriving from an engine speed (rpm) signal a typical sound spectrum with a synthesizer and radiating it by the loudspeakers of the entertainment system. [651] proposes a sound enhancement system according to the driver's preference, in particular exaggerating typical acceleration-related sound and also vibration, again using a synthesizer, but combined with active cancellation of unwanted noise, and providing an individually controlled mix of noise response with the in-car entertainment program. This concept is extended in [652] to influence the external sound radiation of the bypassing car, too. A similar system as in [650] is suggested in [653], pointing out the possibility of masking unwanted noise components, thus reducing the amount of passive acoustic treatment. Again a similar system is outlined in [654], with combined parametric control (taking information from a lookup table) and feedback control, also arguing with safety aspects. Another patent [655] proposes to transmit simulated engine noise from the front loudspeakers, and simulated exhaust noise from the rear speakers. In order to give the drivers of very silent cars a feeling for the engine performance, it is suggested in [656] to radiate engine-related sound into the passenger compartment, preferably sensed by a microphone in the air intake or exhaust duct. Some recent Siemens patents on vehicle sound design have been mentioned above: [603, 608, 609, 610].

In a sound field with multiple sources in a car, a system can be installed which enables the user to selectively enhance certain desired signals and cancel others by processing the outputs of several microphones [657]. In order to avoid too successful cancellation of engine-related repetitive noise in the passenger cabin of vehicles (making unpleasant high frequency noise audible which has been masked before), an adaptive tracking control system is proposed with a desired-signal input below which the noise level cannot be reduced [658]. A sound generator for application to car cabins provides either an active noise cancellation signal or, in case of rather silent cars, a sound indicative of engine and car speed and acceleration [659]. In [660] it is explicitly assumed that the loudspeaker transfer functions are considered in the sound spectral design, and a certain degree of reverberation may be included, too. [661] claims to achieve the same effect with simpler equipment by radiating from the in-cabin loudspeakers a sound which is derived from the primary engine noise by suppressing undesired frequency bands. Vehicle interior noise caused by vibrations in the hydraulic system can, more simply than with loudspeakers, be reduced by interference with a canceling vibration which is generated by amplitude or pulse modulated servo valves acting on the same hydraulic system [662].

Systems for the mere creation and radiation of vehicle noise have been patented, too. In [663] a system is described which produces, from an accelerator pedal signal, the exhaust noise of a high-performance car for application in drive simulators and games. Supercharge sound including gear train whine of racing cars can be created from the rpm signal [664] and radiated from an ordinary car (or, similarly, a boat) to give it a more impressive appearance. For the more serious purpose of fault or damage detection in cars and other machines, noise synthesizers have been designed in [665] and [666] which selectably produce sounds indicative of various malfunctions; such systems can be used in training courses for technicians, or they can help identify suspicious noises occasionally heard by customers who bring their car to a repair shop where this noise often does not occur.

#### 4.7. Miscellaneous

Noise shielding engine enclosures often suffer from noise radiation through ventilation openings; in [667] a multichannel adaptive feedback control system is developed to reduce the noise transmission. Particularly for application to *personal watercraft*, a vented box as engine enclosure is proposed in

[668, 669], providing multichannel adaptive feedforward control with microphones and loudspeakers to reduce sound radiation from the enclosure walls and the air outlet.

A sound absorbing wall lining for *water tanks* is disclosed in [670]: multiple layers of piezoelectric (e.g., PVDF) material are shunted by active electrical circuitry such as to compensate for reactive components and providing a broadband impedance matching to the incident water-borne sound, thus providing a thin-layer coating for anechoic tanks.

Active cancellation of environmental noise in open *telephone booths* is described in [671].

A curious application of sound field simulation is presented in [672]: as a remedy against *motion sickness*, e. g., for sailors working below deck; an artificial horizon of sound is created in the irregularly moving enclosure by accordingly feeding an array of loudspeakers in response to measured values of ship roll, pitch and yaw.

For the active cancellation of noise from household and business machines, see Section 7 (ANC for Domestic Appliances).

# 5. ANC IN COMMUNICATION (EXCEPT HEADSETS)

Communication links often suffer from echoes and crosstalk in all domains: in the wireless electromagnetic radio transmission channels, in optical fiber or copper wire connections, and in the acoustic path. To reduce the disturbances, the coherent-active cancellation technology is extensively applied to all three fields. For headsets with ANC see Section 6.1.

#### 5.1. ANC in Radio Links

Some patents are concerned with the cancellation of electromagnetic jamming in multiple subscriber systems. It has been proposed for wireless communication that the desired signal be transmitted, then received by the remote station with transmission path jamming, back-transmitted to the primary station, and – after appropriate filtering and subtraction of the desired signal – be inversely added to the primary signal, thereby (hopefully) providing sort of a disturbance canceling feedback loop or prefilter [673]. Impulsive noise in FM radio receivers in cars which is caused by ignition impulses of the engine can be cancelled by an ANC technology as outlined in [674]. Jamming cancellation in mobile communication links is described in [675], and particularly for radio communication between aircraft and ground station by a feedback control system in [676]. Power line (50 or 60 Hz) interference with correlated audio signals can be removed actively, utilizing the long-term phase stability of the disturbance [677]. The cancellation of co-channel interference in wireless multiple access telephone communication signals by adaptive beamforming of antenna arrays with automatic gain control is disclosed in [679]. A jamming component in a relay station for digital terrestrial broadcasting can be cancelled by adaptive digital signal processing [680].

#### 5.2. ANC in Cable Links

If telephone communication for sparsely populated regions is transmitted via the power line network, employing a frequency division multiplex system, it is necessary to provide a high-impedance bridging to all but the RF signal destined for a respective subscriber; this can favorably be accomplished by a feedback cancellation technique as outlined in [681].

The adaptive cancellation of *transmission line echoes* was first examined in the context of longrange telephone communication when satellite links came into operation and electronic echoes severely degraded speech transmission (due to the long echo return time of almost 0.5 s, via geostationary satellites at 36 000 km height) [22, 33, 48], [682]–[724]. In order to reduce the controller complexity, it is suggested in [725] to use a sigma-delta converter instead of a conventional A/D converter. The stability of an echo canceler in the presence of strong noise can be improved by comparison of a long-time average with a short-time average of an input signal and stopping the filter update when the short-time average falls below the long-time average [726]. Further improvements of line echo cancelers by the same assignee are [727] evaluating non-linear and linear power estimates, [728], [729] including acoustic echo cancellation (see next Section) and [730] with a dual filter method. The adaptation of the echo canceling filter is performed when only a far-end speech signal is detected; according to [731], the adaptive filter performance can be improved by utilizing a near-end speech detector at the echo canceler output (the "E-side") rather than at the input (the "Y-side"). A fast voice/no-voice discriminator is disclosed in [732], based on a time-series histogram to provide faster onset of the echo canceler filter adaptation when voice is detected. In [733] an improvement of the convergence behavior is proposed by applying a low-level auxiliary noise signal which is uncorrelated with the speech signals, thereby speeding up the adaptation process of the filters. Hands-free voice communication with line echo canceler and speech recognition is disclosed in [734], comparing the "words" received by the near-end microphone with those from the far-end echo.

An acousto-optical delay line with active cancellation of acoustic echoes propagating along the optical fiber is disclosed in [735].

Substantial echo cancellation is also required for *digital data transmission* through telephone lines [736]–[740], in particular also for ISDN interfaces [741]. The echo cancellation technology applied to modems allows higher data transmission rates or reduced error rates [742]. Degradation of the echo cancellation by d.c. offset is avoided by a drift compensation circuit as described in [743]. A series of patents deals with modems for combined data/fax/voice communication, emulating a telephone and providing howling and echo cancellation [744]–[747].

#### 5.3. Acoustic Echo Cancellation in Communication Links

While the above cited patents address the cancellation of electromagnetic field interference, some early patents on comparable acoustic problems can be found, too. A pair of patents [748, 749] suggest an arrangement of a microphone pair and a loudspeaker for suppressing or canceling acoustic feedback.

The problem of acoustic echo cancellation or dereverberation became important with hands-free telephoning to improve speech quality, and in teleconferencing etc. also to break the feedback loop (local microphone  $\rightarrow$  remote loudspeaker  $\rightarrow$  remote microphone  $\rightarrow$  local loudspeaker  $\rightarrow$  local microphone) which may cause howling instability. In teleconference or hands-free telephone systems, the reduction of speech distortion by multipath transmission in the transmitter room (i. e., dereverberation) is possible by receiving the signals with a multitude of spatially separated microphones, splitting up each signal in several contiguous frequency bands and subsequent processing, either utilizing the location- and frequency-dependent interference [750], or applying a cepstrum technique [751]. A two-microphone system and signal processing in the frequency domain for dereverberation is disclosed in [752]. Acoustic feedback in hands-free telephone communication can also be avoided by shifting the carrier frequency of the audio signal with the help of an auxiliary modulation [19] (see also Section 2, 2nd paragraph).

A start-up training method for an echo canceler in teleconference rooms is disclosed in [753], applying a test signal for adaptation, and then holding the filters constant during the conference. An echo canceler with permanent adaptation is disclosed in [754], however stopping adaptation during double talk to ensure stable performance. This is also the essential feature of [755] and [756], stopping the adaptation when near-end speech is detected. An improved algorithm guaranteeing stability of the adaptive filters in multichannel digital voice transmission for teleconferencing during double talk is disclosed in [757]. A "double echo canceler," providing adaptive reduction of both line echoes and acoustic echoes in hands-free telephones is presented in [758], including speech and double-talk detectors, near- and far-end power comparators, and variable gain control for both incoming and outgoing speech. An echo canceler for teleconference systems with stereophonic transmission is disclosed in [759, 760] with means to automatically switch between mono and stereo reproduction in order to save the perceived sound image from flickering around in case of double talk.

A method to speed up filter convergence in acoustic echo cancelers employing the LMS algorithm has been described in [163] (see Section 2). An echo suppressor for a hands-free digital radio telephone system employs a speech activity detector which controls a variable gain amplifier [761]. Similar systems for echo cancellation and howling prevention in hands-free telephones are disclosed in [762]–[773]. An acoustic echo canceler for sound field reproduction in rooms records first the room echoes and then superimposes pseudo-echoes to the sound signal which, after transmission from the loudspeaker, cancel the room echoes [774]. A more direct way to eliminate room resonances is outlined in [775], suggesting extra canceling loudspeakers located at the walls from which the primary sound is reflected.

An acoustic echo canceler for teleconference systems effective in both directions is disclosed in [776]. The continuation of this patent includes digital voice enhancement [777]. Feedback cancellation for a hands-free telephone set is achieved in [778] by a second microphone inside the telephone enclosure next to the loudspeaker, and subtracting its output signal from that of the voice microphone. A different method of acoustic echo cancellation for full duplex audio telecommunication is disclosed in [779], discriminating between single and double talk condition by a frequency domain procedure, thereby deciding when to adapt the filter coefficients. The calibration of an adaptive beamformer for acoustic echo cancellation in hands-free telephoning is addressed in [780]. A compact teleconference terminal is disclosed in [781, 782], providing a conventional video monitor with loudspeakers, plus an array of microphones and acoustic echo cancellation electronics.

A microphone/loudspeaker system facilitates speech transmission between front and rear passengers in a car [20]; to prevent howling, a frequency shift by typically 5 Hz is applied (see also Section 2, 2nd paragraph). Similar systems are disclosed in [783], providing a two-microphone/twoloudspeaker system with howling prevention by one or more notch filters tuned to the resonance(s) of the vehicle compartment, and in [21] where feedback instability avoidance by, again, a slight frequency shift is suggested also for speech-operated vehicle controls. A voice enhancement and handsfree telephone system with noise reduction filters and equalization for use in vehicles is disclosed in [784], comprising several microphones and loudspeakers to facilitate conversation between passengers and with telephone partners; a microphone steering switch allows the selection of a specific active microphone. A simplified version of this communication system is described in [785]. A voice enhancement system for passenger compartments in automobiles with a microphone array, adaptive beamforming and speech source tracking is outlined in [786], evaluating the coherence of the microphone signals, also with respect to more reliable recognition of speech commands for vehicle control. An adaptive speech enhancement system with ANC for voice communication among vehicle passengers is disclosed in [787], including a speech detector. Engine-related periodic noise in the communication signal of hands-free telephoning in vehicles can be canceled by a system disclosed in [788].

The speech quality in vehicles often suffers from a loss of low-frequency components; in [789] a method is disclosed for reconstructing them from mid-frequency components by evaluating the residuum from the difference frequencies of higher harmonics. To enhance privacy for persons during hands-free telephone calls from inside a vehicle and to prevent other passengers from unauthorized listening, it is suggested in [790] to play music masking the speech sound and to remove this masking sound from the microphone signal by adaptive noise canceling. A speech reinforcement system for a multi-passenger van is presented in [791], providing – instead of echo cancellation – a microphone array with adaptive beam steering to the momentary speaker and adaptive null steering to the loudspeakers, thereby avoiding acoustic feedback and howling. A similar system with automatic volume control is disclosed in [792].

An acoustic echo canceler for teleconferencing as proposed in [793] estimates the echo by a frequency domain adaptive filter and subtracts the estimate from the near-end microphone signal to provide an echo-reduced communication signal. The amount of computation necessary for the adaptive filter update is reduced by leaving the first filter coefficients constant, corresponding to the initial time delay in the echo path which is estimated from the impulse response [794]. Howling in teleconference systems can also be prevented by placing the microphone at the midpoint between two oppositely phased loudspeakers so that their signals cancel each other out at the microphone [795]. An adaptive noise canceler for full-duplex communication by speakerphones etc. is outlined in [200] (see also Section 2). Both line echo and acoustic echo cancellation is the subject of [796], disclosing means for canceling residual echoes which provide smooth transitions between the amount of cancellation present at near-end speech only and double talk.

Speech transmission from a talker in a noisy environment can be improved by simulating the "cocktail party effect," employing two spatially separated microphones and utilizing the crosscorrelation properties of their output signals [797]. Speech transmission by hands-free telephones from noisy environments where the disturbance is impulsive in nature can be improved with a microphone array and a canceling network comprising delays, adders, subtracters and signal processors [798]. The patent [799] is related to the cancellation of repetitive noise superimposed to low-level speech signals as encountered in firemen's voice communication when they wear a breathing apparatus in hostile environment. A further early patent proposes suppression (but not cancellation) of acoustic echoes in a reverberant room by correlation enhancement of two spatially separated microphones [800].

Multichannel sound field reproduction with inverse filtering to provide dereverberation and echo cancellation in rooms is outlined in [801], the same technology being also applicable to remove multipath ghost images in electromagnetic wave propagation.

The creation of a synthetic spatial auditory environment for teleconferencing systems is outlined in [802], applying binaural sound pick-up.

The problem of *howling prevention* occurs also in conventional electroacoustic *sound reinforcement* or *public address systems* where the microphone should not pick up too much sound energy from the loudspeaker. Compared to early systems for howling prevention in two-way communication links by voice-operated gain control (blocking the non-transmitting microphone, e. g., [803]), the adaptive feedback cancellation brought a considerable improvement. Some of many patents in this field are [139, 209, 210, 208], [804]–[843]. A different approach for a digital voice enhancement system is presented in [844] where the microphone output signal is monitored, and when it becomes sinusoidal this is considered an indication of howling onset and the loop gain is reduced. Howling prevention in public address systems is also the subject of [845].

Some patents on the improvement of speech and data transmission have been reported in Section 2: [58, 61, 140, 168, 175, 194]. A noise suppression system for speech enhancement based on spectral information is outlined in [846], judging that rapidly varying spectral components belong to the speech signal while temporally constant spectral parts are supposed to be noise and may therefore be suppressed. Adaptive noise cancellation to be applied to telephoning from noisy environments is disclosed in [847], applying a microphone array mounted in the telephone set and enhancing the speech signal by summing up the appropriately delayed microphone output signals. A hands-free (speaker) telephone with adaptive cancellation of both electric and acoustic echoes is outlined in [848]. A telephone system providing both handset and hands-free mode uses a two-part echo canceler where a feedback loop presets the echo canceler in the handset mode so that after switching into the hands-free mode the canceler adapts faster [849]. A telephone handset with adaptive noise canceling is intended for use in noisy environments, having a second microphone at the outside of the handset to pick up external noise, an error microphone in front of the loudspeaker within the handset, and two adaptive filters, one reducing the ambient noise from the outgoing signal, and the other one to provide the talker with his own voice [850]. In order to provide hands-free telecommunication without headsets, a coat-like garment is proposed in [851] which is equipped with several loudspeakers and microphones; feedback cancellation is achieved by multiple adaptive filters employing the LMS algorithm. In [852] a two-channel system is disclosed where a fixed filter cancels the direct path echo, and an adaptive filter cancels the room echo. In a companion patent [853], a slowly converging adaptive echo canceler with a long FIR filter cancels the stationary echoes, and a faster converging canceler with shorter filter in series with an adjustable delay accounts for the time-varying components due to persons moving in the room, etc. A speakerphone system with both acoustic and electric line echo cancellation by digital control of loop gain and volume is described in [854], and with additional double-talk detection in [855]. A hands-free telephone set with dynamic gain control for optimum analog-to-digital conversion of the microphone signal is provided with corresponding gain control in the acoustic echo cancellation path [856]. In [857] a two- or three-channel system is described which removes room reverberation from a speech signal by inverse filtering where the filter tracks variations in the room impulse response. A robust multichannel acoustic echo canceler is described in [858]: modified FIR LMS filters are adapted with an optimized autocorrelation matrix whereby the adaptation regressor signals are sheltered from acoustic signal components. To speed up the filtering process in adaptive cancellation of acoustic echoes for hands-free telephone sets, a parallel filter bank with quadratic residue decomposition and a fast recursive normalized least squares algorithm is described in [859]. The problem of reliable system identification in adaptive filtering for echo cancelers and noise cancelers in case of a low signal-to-noise ratio and/or non-stationary signals is addressed in [860] where the adaptation algorithm utilizes long-term and short-term power estimates, step size setting and convolution to enhance performance stability. Robust adaptive control of microphone arrays for echo cancelers and speech enhancement in case of multiple speakers and background noise, e.g., for hands-free telephones or teleconferencing systems, is achieved in [861] by estimating the relative gains and time delays of the individual microphones. In digital subscriber lines (DSL) carrying both voice signals and data, the problem occurs of audible harmonic distortion when conventional telephones are connected to the communication line; a combined distortion and echo canceler is disclosed in [862]. Videoconferencing with enhanced spatial illusion by creating a virtual seating arrangement of the remote site is possible by multichannel digital signal processing [863]. A speech enhancement device using a spectral subtraction technique to improve the signalto-noise ratio is presented in [864], including a voice activity detector and a smoothed Wiener filter. Speech enhancement by reduction of ambient noise is also facilitated by a voice activity detector employing an adaptive Wiener filter, as disclosed in [865].

A multipurpose acoustic signal processor is described in [866] which can either be used as a monaural dual speaker/microphone peripheral in telecommunication links with acoustic echo cancellation, or for wideband stereo sound field reproduction without echo cancellation. [867] employs adaptive beamforming, which is also the subject of [868] and [869] for speaker detection and sound field reproduction in teleconferencing. Speech transmission with improved noise reduction by microphone arrays is possible by combining the advantages and avoiding the shortcomings of two previously known techniques; the procedure is outlined in [870] where an adaptive Wiener filter is updated using auto- and cross-power spectra, combined with a speech detector to make SNR estimates independently of cross-power estimates. Telephone handsets or protective helmets with communication signal inputs and microphones picking up external noise provide considerable noise cancellation by applying difference amplifiers [871]. Adaptive beamforming can simultaneously focus to pick up sound from a desired direction, and null-steering of the directivity pattern to suppress disturbing noise sources [872, 873]. Improved adaptive beamforming for one-, two- and three-dimensional microphone arrays is described in [874]. The construction of a two-dimensional electret microphone array is disclosed in [875]. Speech transmission from a noisy environment such as a car is improved by a microphone array, a filter bank and an array of adaptive beamformers for each frequency subband, thereby suppressing noise [876].

The technology of *adaptive noise cancellation* (see Section 2, 3rd paragraph) has been applied to speech transmission, typically with one microphone near to the speaker recording both background noise and speech, the other one so far away (or shielded: [877]) that it essentially picks up the noise input only [46, 47, 49, 867, 186, 187, 188, 189], [878]–[895]. If both input signals have not only correlated noise but also correlated speech portions, then a crosstalk cancellation procedure is desirable

prior to the adaptive noise canceling [896]. The problem of adaptive noise cancellation for hands-free telephoning from vehicles where the two microphones necessarily have correlated noise and speech inputs is solved in a different manner in [897]: a power monitoring circuit switches the adaptation process on during steady-state operation, assuming absence of voice signals, and suspends the coefficient update during speech intervals. Adaptive noise canceling in the case of multiple noise sources distributed over a region, e.g., for speech transmission from aircraft cockpits, is treated in [884] by providing a multitude of narrowband adaptive filters with few filter parameters in each channel. A multichannel adaptive noise canceling system, preferably for application in vehicle enclosures, is described in [894]. A speech recognition system with sort of an adaptive noise canceler is disclosed in [898] where both microphone signals are processed with time segmentation, and the best fitted reference segment is selected for noise or music elimination from the corrupted speech signal. Adaptive noise cancellation and crosstalk cancellation, applied to communication signals from noisy office rooms, can be improved (avoiding reverberant-like sound signals) by splitting the crosstalk filter in a fixed pre-filter and an adaptive filter section [899]. Adaptive speech recognition in a noisy environment (e.g., an automobile) is possible with hidden Markov models and the cepstrum technique [900]. Speech transmission from vehicles by hands-free telephones is improved by spatial tracking of the talker with the help of a microphone array and adaptive beamforming [901].

Systems for the improvement of *voice transmission* from emergency vehicles notching out the siren noise by adaptive comb filters have been mentioned in Section 2 [53, 144]. In [891] a voice enhancement system is outlined where the signal-to-noise ratio is improved by frequency-dependent gain control in the low-frequency subbands, employing a time-efficient recursive algorithm. Another voice enhancement system for telecommunication networks includes input power estimation to adjust gain control settings from a lookup table, bass band equalization, and voice band data detection to disable the voice enhancer [902]. Noise suppression for mobile phones can be achieved by a two-or three-microphone system providing a type of beamforming [903]. A similar device with adaptive noise canceling is disclosed in [904]. The speech quality of calls received by hand-held telephones depends considerably on the distance between the receiver and the ear, due to the varying acoustical impedance seen by the loudspeaker; the patent [905] provides a method of adaptive spectral equalization, controlled by the sound pressure measured with an additional sensor.

The patents [906] and [907] present enhanced-privacy telephone handsets with a built-in loudspeaker at the outside to cancel the radiation of talker's voice into the surroundings. The ANC technique can also be utilized to compensate for nonlinear loudspeaker distortion (see also Section 17 on transducers). Privacy enhancement in open-plan offices for hands-free telephoning etc. is provided by adaptively controlled loudspeakers creating an invisible sound shielding screen, according to [908].

A voice activity detector for use in telephone systems with voice recognition capability is disclosed in [909].

# 6. ANC FOR PERSONAL NOISE PROTECTION

A field where active noise canceling has found considerable technical application is *personal noise protection* (the German expression *persönlicher Schallschutz* is quite common). The systems comprise actively controlled earmuffs and earplugs, headsets with voice communication, and hearing aids.

#### 6.1. Hearing Protectors and Earplugs with ANC

ANC for hearing protectors has first been proposed by Bykhovskii in 1949 [910], and many improvements towards technical products with electroacoustic cancellation have been documented later on [397, 867, 96, 179], [911]–[926]. An earcup assembly with purely mechanical cancellation of the transmitted noise is outlined in [927]. A frequency-selective ANC system for hearing protectors blocks only those frequency components which exceed a certain threshold [928], applying FFT

and adaptive filtering. Protection of headsets etc. with feedback ANC systems against overload by intensive very low frequency noise is possible by a second external microphone the output of which is low-pass filtered and then subtracted from the error signal [929]. A feedback system with a perforated plate in front of the sensor microphone reduces the influence of individual impedance variations of the ear on the system performance [930]. An active micromechanical unit fitting into the ear canal and providing low-frequency noise cancellation is outlined in [931].

An active headset or ear defender with adaptive feedforward and delay control cancels tonal more than random noise [932]. Earmuffs providing speech transmission in noisy environment [933] include directional microphones, adaptive band-pass filters with gain control and speakers, so improving the signal-to-noise ratio.

#### 6.2. Communication Headsets with ANC

A further development is the active noise canceling headset with communication input [914], e.g., for industrial workers, and in particular for aircraft pilots [402], [934]–[970] and (however without ANC) for ground crew members [971]. An advanced noise canceling system for both handset and headset telephone receivers is presented in [972] where besides a conventional feedback cancellation circuit an instability monitoring stage adjusts the feedback gain to stable values and preconditioning filters shape the incoming voice signal to near optimum spectral response. [973] claims simplified manipulation and improved connection with conventional audioelectronic devices, but without detailing the technical realization. A noise-canceling headset with adjustable broadband noise reduction is said to minimize the subjective pressure felt in the user's ears [974].

An open-backed headset with adaptive feedforward control utilizes the error microphone signals at the ears as outgoing speech signals [975]. The patent [976] employs active sound field control for audio music reproduction with headsets towards a specified desired performance at the listener's ear.

A leak detector for pipe systems with fluid flow comprises a flow noise sensing microphone and a monitoring headset with active cancellation of ambient noise [977].

A headset to be worn in high ambient noise (aircraft, military vehicles, helicopters) where the noise canceling actuator is a vibrator acting directly on the headset shell is disclosed in [978]. An improved design of a headset with feedback ANC is disclosed in [979], enlarging the internal volume of the earcup by perforation of the sealing, and including a passive resonance suppressor by a wire mesh screen covering the microphone and loudspeaker arrangement. An active noise canceling headset with adaptive feedforward control accounting for the sound field modification by reflections from the listener's head to the proximate ANC microphone is disclosed in [980, 981]. A headset for pilots with ANC and a classifying system deciding whether an external sound is noise or a message (e.g., the copilot's voice, or a warning signal) and therefore to be suppressed or transmitted, is disclosed in [982].

A voice transmission system for talkers in high ambient noise conditions (e.g., racing cars pilots) is outlined in [983]: the microphone is placed at the inner side of a tight sealing earplug deep in ear canal and receives the weak outbound voice sound caused by the reversed motion of the tympanic membrane when the earpiece wearer speaks. Residual external noise is received by a second microphone at the outside of the earplug and actively cancelled by feedforward control. Adaptive noise canceling and equalization are the subject of [984], intended for use in, e.g., call centers where the operators use headsets and are exposed to ambient noise.

In order to avoid "acoustical isolation" of persons wearing active or passive headsets, it is suggested in [985] to provide the headset with an outside microphone to pick up ambient signals which can be suppressed or enhanced manually, relative to an external audio or intercom input.

#### 6.3. Hearing Aids with ANC

A number of patents can be found on hearing aids with ANC. In [986], three microphones are placed with different spacing, and either two components are summed to provide directional receivers for certain frequency bands, each being band-pass filtered, and then summed again to give a broadband directional receiver to compensate, e.g., for the lost ability of directional hearing of the hearing impaired (cocktail-party effect). A directional hearing aid with more than two microphones is disclosed in [987], either two microphones can be combined to provide a directional receiver for different frequency ranges. A hearing aid with howling prevention by adaptive noise canceling is disclosed in [988]. Further patents on this subject are [989]–[1013], some of them dealing with electronic compensation of acoustic feedback. A supply voltage regulator for hearing aids with feedback cancellation stabilizes their performance [1014]. Feedback cancellation in public address systems and hearing aids can be accomplished by a modulation technique and a phased-locked loop [209].

Programmable hearing aids with automatic adaptation of their transmission characteristics to the individual situation and changing environmental conditions are provided with fuzzy and neural network control [1015, 1016, 1017], and in [1018] combined with a tinnitus therapy by digitally creating an optimal masking sound. A hearing aid with a microphone array mounted on an eyeglass bow, and sophisticated signal processing for adaptive beamforming is disclosed in [1019] for adaptive minimization of reverberation in the output signal and optimization of the signal-to-noise ratio. The control efficiency of a hearing aid can be raised by performing automatic gain control at the input and at the output by the same amplifier [1020]. A digital hearing aid with variable directional microphone characteristic is disclosed in [1021], applying a sigma-delta converter and a delay unit for the resulting 1-bit signal. A hearing aid with at least two microphones and noise suppression by adaptive null steering of the directivity pattern is claimed in [1022].

A hearing aid with dynamic compression is provided with a gain control circuit to prevent internal acoustic feedback [1023]. A hearing aid with a microphone array worn as a necklace is presented in [1024]; the directivity pattern is adjusted by optimal filtering of the microphone signals, and the signal transmission to the earpieces is wireless. This is obviously an improvement of [1025], disclosing wireless transmission from the necklace to the earpiece, and including a "telecoil" for better understanding of telephone speech.

ANC in a telephone handset compatible with magnetically coupled high fidelity hearing aids provides true signal reproduction and room noise suppression [1026].

### 7. ANC FOR DOMESTIC APPLIANCES

A *refrigerator* with active cancellation of pump and compressor harmonics has been manufactured by the Japanese company Toshiba; several Japanese patents related to this development have been submitted [1027]–[1038]. Multichannel ANC of periodic refrigerator noise by adaptive feedforward control with synchronizing input is also disclosed in [1039]. Active reduction of compressor noise in coolers, combined with performance optimization, can be achieved by controlling a variable width diffuser and the position of pre-rotation vanes [1040]. A certain amount of noise and vibration reduction of refrigerators, air conditioners etc. is possible by avoiding fan and compressor speeds which excite appliance resonances; in [1041] a digital controller is described which avoids critical frequencies by comparison of the actual speeds with values taken from a data storage and according adjustment of the driving voltages.

Fan noise cancellation in general, and especially for *air conditioners* and *computers* is the subject of [1042]–[1059]. Fan noise and vibration caused by nonuniform air flow can be cancelled by moving the propeller hub back and forth in synchronism with the (repetitive or random) disturbance [1060]. ANC in particular for *kitchen exhausts* has been proposed by [1061]–[1065], and for *vacuum cleaners* by [1066, 1067].

An active feedback noise canceler for *impact printers* is proposed in [1068]. Noise of *copying machines*, generated by fans and the mechanical transport system, shall be cancelled by an active feed-forward system as outlined in [1069].

Adaptive noise cancellation for a *video camera*, to remove motor noise etc. from the speech signal, is proposed in [1070, 1071] and [1072]. In [1073] is proposed the distribution of ANC signals to several domestic appliances from one central DSP via power line transmission by a modulation technique so that only the transducers, amplifiers and (de)modulators have to be installed in the individual appliance.

Elevator cable winch vibration which causes noise radiation into the machine room on the topmost floor of a building and from there down through the cable holes shall be canceled actively by a system disclosed in [1074], applying feedforward control with the vibration signal as input, a noise predictor circuit, a sound signal generator and loudspeakers to radiate the canceling sound.

A naive patent application [1075] claims to record typical annoying sounds and to replay them with opposite phase to cancel disturbances, ignoring the demand for precise parameter adjustment.

# 8. ANC FOR EXTERIOR NOISE

Technical applications of ANC to three-dimensional exterior noise problems are still quite rare, but a number of patents exist. A sound source localizer with a microphone array is disclosed in [1076], providing cancellation of repetitive noise which is necessary when the localizing system is mounted on a vehicle (as in military equipment). Two patents on algorithms for sound and vibration control in three-dimensional spaces have been cited in Section 2 [59, 76]. Reduction of vehicle exhaust noise by active systems mounted at the tail pipe has been discussed in Section 3.2. An adaptive feedforward system for controlling acoustic or electromagnetic noise with wireless error signal transmission is proposed in [1077]. To create a "zone of quiet," a multichannel feedforward ANC system with feedback cancellation is disclosed in [1078, 1079], but the particular algorithm problems of such systems are not addressed. Also a later patent [1080] claims to protect urban areas from traffic noise etc. by a loudspeaker array and multichannel feedforward control from a microphone array, but the necessary signal processing steps are addressed only superficially.

#### 8.1. ANC for Propeller Aircraft and Helicopter Noise

Some patents are related to the reduction of propeller aircraft noise [1081]–[1086].

The fly-over impulsive noise of helicopters in descent flight is caused by blade–vortex interaction. This noise can be reduced by trailing edge flaps near the tip of the rotor blades which are actuated during rotation in relation to the rotor azimuth [1087, 1088, 1089]. Periodic air injection into and air suction out of the blade surface serves also to reduce vibration and noise created by blade-vortex interaction [1090]–[1094]. In [1095] and [1096] it is suggested to cancel the fly-over noise of a helicopter by an array of loudspeakers beneath the cockpit, being driven with a canceling signal in antiphase to, and with a directivity resembling that of the rotor blade noise.

#### 8.2. ANC for Jet Aircraft, Gas Turbine and Fan Noise

Active noise canceling has been proposed for gas turbine or fan aircraft by fluid injection [1097, 1098] or other means [115, 503], [1099]–[1108]. A semiactive acoustic liner for absorption of fan or turbine noise in the housing of an aircraft engine is described in [1109]: the resonance frequency of a conventional liner is adjusted to changing engine speed by a feedback controller with a piezoelectric actuator in the back volume of the liner. A semi-active exhaust silencer for jet engine test sites is disclosed in [1110]: the flow duct is lined with cavity-backed porous absorbers, and to enhance the absorber efficiency at low frequencies, loudspeakers are placed in the cavities which are driven by a feedback controller so that the sound pressure at the microphones (just behind the porous layer) is

minimized, thereby increasing the volume flow through the porous material and, hence, the sound absorption. A similar concept is presented in [1111]: a porous absorber is backed by an array of cells with oscillation plates in them which are driven so that almost zero impedance is maintained at the backside of the porous plate, thereby again providing enhanced absorption for low-frequency sound.

The exhaust noise of jet engines with gas turbines can be suppressed, according to [115], by an adaptive multichannel controller. Noise from airfoils in compressor or turbine sections of gas turbines etc. can be reduced by providing, near the leading edge, canceling sources such as vibrating pistons or resonance absorbers embedded in the airfoil, or by leading edge flaps [1112]. Fan tones from the bypass duct of jet aircraft can be cancelled by an array of impedance matching cavities in the nacelle wall, partly attenuating the noise propagating downstream, partly creating a reflected sound wave which propagates upstream in antiphase with the fan noise radiated from the air intake, thus silencing the jet engine noise particularly during aircraft take-off and landing [1113].

Tonal jet engine fly-over noise can be reduced by an adaptive feedforward controller acting upon a valve modulating a stream of pressure- and temperature-regulated air which is injected into the air outlet of the engine [1114]. Jet aircraft noise laterally radiated from the air intake mouth can be measured and cancelled by circumferential microphone and loudspeaker arrays with a multichannel feedforward controller [1115]; the theoretical background and cost functions for different control strategies are included in the patent.

#### 8.3. ANC for Road Traffic Noise

The severe problem of road traffic noise is dominated by the tire/road surface rolling noise at speeds above about 50 km/h for cars and 60 km/h for trucks. A slight reduction is possible by applying sound absorbers to the wheel well, e.g., by passive resonance absorbers [1116], or by Helmholtz resonators which are adaptively tuned to the principal noise frequency band, depending on the vehicle speed [1117]. Loosely spaced cylindrical loudspeaker arrangements placed alongside highways are claimed to successfully cancel road noise in the neighborhood [1118] (but a test construction has been reported not to yield any reduction). Active control of noise radiation from road vehicle tires shall be possible by electromagnetic actuation of the steel reinforcement embedded in the tires [1119]. The active cancellation of noise radiated from rolling tires by piezoelectric loudspeakers mounted in the lower part of the wheel casing is proposed in [1120] where collocated feedback control with sensors integrated in the loudspeakers shall be applied. A short naive utility patent [1121] claims to cancel the road noise by emitting sound from a loudspeaker array beneath the car, driven from microphones near the tires, but without mentioning the problems of signal processing. Repetitive engine noise emanating from the air intake can be cancelled by a loudspeaker in the air inlet opening [611] (see also Section 4.6). An active silencer for the air intake duct is also disclosed in [1122], applying adaptive feedforward control and mounting the loudspeaker facing outwards from the duct so that its coil-and-magnet driving device is exposed to the air stream and thereby cooled.

#### 8.4. Miscellaneous

A frequently discussed problem is the cancellation of *power transformer noise*, either by loudspeakers arranged around the site [1123], by force input to the oil in which the transformer is immersed [1124, 1125] or to the surrounding tank walls [36], or by sound insulating active panels enclosing the transformer [1126, 1127].

A *noise screen* for aircraft test sites etc. has been proposed, to be realized by a louver which generates destructively interfering plane waves [1128]. A similar idea of noise cancellation by interference has been disclosed in [1129] where a sound wave shall partially be guided through a medium of different sound velocity to obtain the antiphase condition. ANC for power plants with adaptive feedforward control has been proposed in [1130]. An actively supported *noise barrier* is disclosed in [1131]; the upper part of the wall is shaped as a double wall and ANC loudspeakers in the open top of the gap are operated in an adaptive feedforward control scheme to cancel the noise passing over the wall. Another actively supported noise barrier is disclosed in [1132]: the low frequency sound diffracted around the top edge of the wall is cancelled by a horizontal loudspeaker array which is mounted near the top edge and acts as a line source. A passive alternative for narrowband noise diffracted around the upper noise barrier is disclosed in [1133]: a ring of Helmholtz resonators around the top edge, approximating zero impedance and thereby reducing the diffracted sound field. Combined passive and active noise control at the upper edge of a noise barrier is disclosed in [1134], discussing a variety of arrangements.

The patent application [1135] claims to silence private pool and garden areas by loudspeaker arrays mounted on the roof of the adjacent house (one should not expect that this works in practice).

Adaptive feedforward ANC in situations where the error microphone cannot be placed in the region of desired control requires adaptation with an error signal obtained at a remote place. Control strategies for such problems are disclosed, for both single- and multi-channel systems, in [1136] and [1137], the latter one including a desired signal input.

Local ANC around a retail station, e.g., a fuel dispenser of a gas station, is suggested in [1138], applying feedback or feedforward control to create a "zone of quiet" for the customer.

# 9. SOUND RADIATION AND TRANSMISSION CONTROL, ASAC

Sound transmission through a fictitious partition can in principle be controlled by Huygens sources as described in the Introduction to this overview [11, 15, 1139]. Sound shielding by a grid of antisources has been proposed in [15] (see Section 1), and later on again with modern technology [1140, 1141]. Sound transmission through real walls at low frequencies can be actively reduced, either by an array of sound sources on or in the wall [16], [1142]–[1146], by intensity control inside the enclosure [1147], by double walls with active treatment [1126], [1148]–[1150], or by force input to the structure [502, 1151, 1152, 1100, 1153, 1127, 1154, 594, 1155]. The latter technology has been termed Active Structural Acoustic Control (ASAC). Sound and vibration transmission through windows can be controlled actively, according to [1156] and [1157], by laminating the window glass with a transparent piezofilm, e.g., poly vinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and transparent electrodes. Sound and vibration transmission through a wall can be blocked by connecting a perforated plate as electrostatic actuator parallel to the wall and applying an electric a.c. field between them which counteracts the primary wall vibration [12]. A similar system is disclosed in [1158], with an additional desired sound signal input to the actuator. Sound radiation from a vibrating panel can also be reduced by converting its radiating surface into a sandwich structure by coating it with a deformable (passive or active) layer on top of which a mass layer is mounted [1159], [1160]; the device acts as a passive distributed vibration absorber if the additional mass/spring system has a resonance close to a resonance of the primary panel, or as an active absorber if the deformable layer is, e.g., a PVDF layer with appropriate control.

Sound transmission from the outside into an aircraft cabin can be reduced by compact sound absorbers of the Olson type [8] placed in the trim panel, each absorber element comprising a loud-speaker, a microphone in front, and a feedback control circuit [1161]. Noise radiation into an aircraft fuselage from engine-excited trim panels can be reduced by adaptive tuned mass dampers [1162].

The problem of error estimation in the acoustic far-field of a sound radiating structure can, according to [1163], be solved by processing the signals of an array of accelerometers along the structure.

Sound radiation into the far-field from arbitrarily shaped closed shells under water can, according to [1164], not only be reduced by structural actuators, but also by a loudspeaker array inside the structure; a programmable controller predicts the far-field radiation pattern from near-field measurements around the shell and generates the control signals for the loudspeakers.

Repetitive noise radiated from a rotating or chopping machine is reduced by a combined feedback and tracking control system applying piezoelectric actuators [1165].

Sound transmitted through a wall can be cancelled by loudspeakers mounted along the wall, applying adaptive feedforward control with the reference microphone on the noisy side and the error microphone on the other side [1166]; the patent presents also actively treated double walls, and in addition to the filtered-x LMS algorithm also feedback control.

Sound radiation from vibrating panels in cars and aircraft, as well as structure-borne sound transmission through structural joints can be suppressed by piezo elements mounted between adjacent structural parts with appropriate feedback control [1167].

An adaptive feedforward ANC system canceling noise transmission through ventilation slots in windows or walls is disclosed in [1168].

# **10. OTHER ANC APPLICATIONS**

Active cancellation of underwater *self-noise* picked up by a ship's sonar system can be achieved by initially recording the disturbance and, during operation of the sonar system, adding the frequency-synchronized, gain and phase controlled playback disturbance signal to the sonar signal in order to reduce the interference [1169]. An alternative with smart structures is shown up in [1170] (see Section 17), and a solution for the active control of nonstationary underwater or structure-borne sound in [78]. A system for self-noise cancellation of submarines by application of adaptive noise canceling is disclosed in [1171]. The self-noise picked up by a hydrophone array mounted along the ship hull can also be cancelled by picking up the hull vibration with accelerometers, resolving the hull vibration into its dominant modes and deducting the hull-induced contributions from the hydrophone signals [1172]. A similar device is disclosed in [1173]. In seismic exploration the problem occurs that a mobile (usually truck-mounted) vibrator not only excites the earth surface but also radiates air-borne sound which can be picked up by the geophones and mask the low-amplitude wanted signals; in [1174] a multi-loudspeaker method is disclosed to cancel actively the sound emitted from the vibrator.

Reduction of audible noise from a *gas turbine* engine is proposed, by modulation with an ultrasonic (siren) tone so that the noise spectrum is shifted into the ultrasonic range [1175]. A military application of ANC is proposed in [1176] for acoustic target seekers where the repetitive self-noise of a weapon is cancelled by a tracking filter with phase-locked loop.

Also *medical applications* are the subject of some patents. Adaptive noise cancellation (see [25]) has been applied to the improvement of electrocardiograms (ECG) in the operating room [1177] by canceling the interference caused by electrosurgical instruments. [1178] attempts to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of weak cardiac sounds, where the masking lung sound is detected separately and subtracted from the signal provided by the cardiac sensor (again an application of the adaptive noise canceling technique). A stethoscope for use in a noisy environment has two transducers, one sensing the body sound and the other one the environmental noise. The sensors are mechanically isolated from each other so that adaptive noise canceling can be used to improve the signalto-nosie ratio [1179]. Stethoscopes with active cancellation of background noise are also described in [1180, 1181, 1182, 1183], in [1180] with fluidic pressure sensors and amplifiers. In [1184] and [1185] is described how vibrational noise produced by a medical or dental instrument in the head of a patient can be cancelled by an adaptively controlled signal applied to headphones or bone vibrators. Otoacoustic emission as a diagnostic tool of hearing impairment is suggested in [1186, 1187], employing adaptive noise canceling to enhance the weak signal. Blood flow measurements with the ultrasonic Doppler effect can be improved by adaptive noise-reduction low-pass filters [1188]. An ANC system to cancel the drilling noise to which dentist's patients are exposed is disclosed in [1189].

Several patents are concerned with ANC for *magnetic resonance imaging* (MRI) tubes where the patients suffer from loud noise pulses which are produced in synchronism with the magnetic pulses. Since ferroelectric materials must not be installed in the tube and even electric conductors can lead to image distortion, special technical solutions are required. In [1190], [399] and [1191] is suggested, among others, local ANC for the patient's ears in an MRI tube by a loudspeaker outside the tube
and soft plastic tubes guiding the canceling sound to the ears; practical experience with a commercial product showed, however, very limited success, in particular with modern rapidly changing gradient pulse shapes. In [1192] it is proposed to mount actively tuned resonator plates with piezoceramic actuators to the inner surface of the MRI tube, and in [1193] to the gradient coils. The reference signals for the ANC systems can be derived from the gradient signal generators. A feedback ANC system with a headset including piezoelectric microphones and loudspeakers is outlined in [1194], the loudspeaker membrane being split into a series of narrow parallel strips to avoid eddy currents in the radio frequency (RF) field; in order to minimize the MRI signal distortion by the electric leads inside the tube, all leads are grounded (to a virtual earth, if necessary) for the RF frequency, by series resonance circuits arranged at a distance of a quarter wavelength from the headset, thus presenting to the currents at the RF field frequency a high impedance in the headset position.

Speech enhancement systems for communication with an MRI patient are disclosed in [1195] and [1196] where the SNR is improved by frequency band division and correlated averaging of two microphone signals, or by adaptive noise canceling [1197]. Electrodynamic ANC loudspeakers for application in MRI tubes are disclosed in [1198] and [1199], the magnets being omitted, instead using the inhomogeneous magnetic field within the tube. A noise canceler for speech transmission from the MRI patient to the outside operator applies a notch filter tuned to the central frequency of the gradient field pulses [1200]. Another solution of the MRI noise problem is presented in [1201]: the gradient coils are embedded in sound absorbing material, together with non-magnetic sound transducers to cancel the noise at the patient's ears. A series of Hitachi patents claims to reduce vibration and noise in an MRI tube by actively exciting the cylindrical gradient coil support with piezoelectric actuators to counteract the electromagnetic "wire forces", [1202]–[1205].

*Sleep apnea* can be detected by a microphone placed near the sleeper's nose and mouth, applying adaptive cancellation of uncorrelated ambient noise, followed by a sound signal classifier with a filter bank [1206].

An application of acoustic echo cancellation has been proposed for *ultrasonic testing* where flaw echoes can be masked by strong surface echoes. It is possible to subtract the latter from the received signal and so improve the detectability of flaws [1207, 1208]. Similarly, the ANC technique can be applied to cancel the reflection of the ultrasonic echo from the receiver by an (analog) feedback control circuit [1209]. An ultrasonic transducer is described in [1210] with a lens containing an electrorheological fluid so that the focal length can be adjusted by applying an electric voltage to the lens.

A *musical wind instrument* with the variable tube resonances being replaced by electronic feedback control is described in [1211, 1212]; a non-reflective tube termination is realized by active impedance control.

Active control of repetitive *gear mesh noise* of electromotors is provided by adaptive tracking control of the driving torque by modulating the d.c. motor current [1213].

The cancellation of the hum produced by *electroluminescent* (*EL*) *devices* is the subject of [1214]; although the noise level is low, it can be disturbing when the EL device illuminates a liquid crystal display of, e.g., a mobile phone which is held very close to the ear.

# **11. ACTIVE FLOW CONTROL**

An ionized gas stream in a combustion chamber (e.g., in a rocket) tends to cause unstable resonance oscillations which can be suppressed by an appropriately controlled electric d.c. current through the ionized gas, employing a feedback controller with a photoelectric cell as oscillation sensor [1215, 1216]. Gas turbine stall can be influenced towards a surge condition by modulating the fuel flow into the combustor plenum by feedback control, with the gas pressure in the plenum as input [1217]. A modal approach to active control of combustion instabilities is presented in [1218, 1219], again by modulating the fluid flow into the combustor, applying a magnetostrictive actuator. Selfexcited combustion instabilities of a gas turbine can be controlled by a modulation procedure as outlined in [1220].

According to [1221], gas turbine combustion oscillations can be controlled by a combined passive and active controller, in particular by modulating the fuel flow. Flow instabilities, such as turbulence, can emerge from initially small boundary layer fluctuations and therefore, to a certain extent, be controlled by sound. Patents are related to the active acoustic control of combustion instabilities [1222]–[1228], and to drag reduction by acoustically controlling the Tollmien-Schlichting waves in the boundary layer [1229, 1230, 1231]. The noise produced by the centrifugal compressor of a chiller having a condenser, an evaporator and a variable width diffuser section can be reduced by adjusting the gap width in relation to the operational parameters (capacity and temperature difference) of the compressor [1040]. The control of gas or liquid flow pulsations is also the subject of [1232]–[1237]. In [1238] is presented a micro-electromechanical system to be mounted on fan blades, comprising a turbulence sensor, an integrated circuit, and an actuator by which turbulence noise can either be reduced, or – in case of heat exchangers – amplified in order to improve heat transfer. An acoustic sensor in fluid flow is disclosed in [1239], providing a microphone or other sensor placed at a proper position on the surface of a nose cone where flow noise is low. The microphone of an HVAC (heating, ventilation, air conditioning) ANC system can be protected against heavy turbulence by placing it in a cavity flanged to the outside of the flow duct and lining the duct with porous material, and preferably also subdividing the cavity by the same porous material to suppress internal flow recirculation in the cavity [1240]. The pulsating flow of refrigerant in a vehicle air conditioner creates impulsive noise which can be damped by an expansion chamber and a controlled valve at the inlet or outlet [1241]. Thermoacoustic vibrations in gas turbine combustion chambers can be minimized also by modulated fuel injection into the premixing burner [1242].

As mentioned in Section 8.1, blade-vortex interaction causing impulsive noise of helicopters can be reduced by controlling flaps at the trailing edges, and tip vortices of helicopter blades, aircraft foils, or marine propellers by repetitive fluid injection into the high-pressure side of the lifting body, [1087]–[1091], [1092]–[1094], [1243]. Oscillating air jet flow can delay the boundary layer separation from, e.g., helicopter blades and thereby improve the flight performance [1244].

Disturbing resonances in a large wind tunnel with free-jet test section (the so-called Göttingen model) can be suppressed by feedback ANC, employing multiple loudspeakers [1245]. Cavity resonances excited by air flow over a cavity opening (e.g., a car with open sunroof) can actively be suppressed by generating a cross-flow at the inside of the opening, either by oscillating flaps at the leading edge of the opening, or by a feedback-controlled inside loudspeaker near the leading edge, or by periodic air blowing and suction at the opening, or by loudspeakers somewhere in the vehicle cabin, or by vibrating cabin walls, e.g., the roof [1246].

Flow-induced pressure oscillations in a duct create sound waves travelling downstream and upstream; an ANC system with two microphones, one loudspeaker and an adaptive IIR filter can cancel the upstream sound wave [1247].

#### 12. AVC FOR BEAMS, PLATES AND STRUCTURES

Active damping of aircraft skin vibration has been proposed in 1942 [1248], providing multichannel feedback control with displacement sensors and electromagnetic actuators, mainly in order to prevent fatigue damage. In mechanical wave filters where a desired longitudinal wave mode in a bar is superimposed by an interfering detrimental flexural wave mode, the latter can be damped by pairs of piezoelectric patches on either side of the bar which are connected through an electric resistor [1249]. Resonance vibrations of the control rod in Diesel engine fuel injection pumps can be damped by a magnetic or electromagnetic actuator directly acting on the rod [1250].

In order to avoid vibration transmission along a (one-dimensional) structure, a *beam*, the application of both translational and torsional forces to the structure is proposed, thereby confining vibrations to certain regions of the structure and to preserve low vibration levels in sensitive regions [1251], in some patents also including vibration damping by both passive and active means [1252]–[1255]. Damping control for bending vibrations of a rod-like structure is also possible by filling an internal cylindrical space with an electrorheological fluid and arranging a stack of electrode disks inside so that the bending stiffness and the loss factor can be controlled electrically [1256].

AVC in beams and plates is related to wave mode control in structures [1257]–[1260], and to canceling vibrations by combination with shape memory alloy wires [1261, 1262] or other actuators [511], [1263]–[1273]. In order to cancel or attenuate chassis vibrations of cars, a feedback control strategy is proposed in [1274], employing piezoelectric sensors and actuators to detect chassis vibrations and to generate canceling forces in diagonal stiffeners which are fixed to the car bottom, so that both longitudinal and torsional vibrations are damped. Torsional body vibration of a car with convertible roof can be reduced by a single active mass damper, suspended between a front suspension assembly and a bumper [1275].

Combined active and passive vibration damping of *plates*, including sound radiation suppression, is possible by a constrained layer damping treatment; [1276] shows several possible realizations and experimental results, obtained with piezoelectric transducers and feedback control of the first plate bending mode. Vibration control of printed electronic circuit boards is suggested in [1277], the piezoelectric or electrostrictive actuator being mounted on straps of any material so that it bridges over the electronic parts or wiring.

Stabilization of platforms on ships, carrying antennae for satellite radio links, including position and pointing control by multichannel feedback is outlined in [1278].

An early NASA patent [1279] provides an active mass damper to cancel *structural vibration*. An active mass damper with collocated feedback control, in particular for submarine hulls, is disclosed in [1280]. A similar system for particular application to helicopter cabins is presented in [1281], providing a servo-controlled vibrating mass with hydraulic or electrodynamic actuation. Active mass dampers, flexibly mounted to an aircraft or helicopter fuselage, are described in [1282], with many embodiments of actuation and spring design, and also devices for counterbalancing the moments of the dynamic mass absorbers. A similar system is disclosed in [1283]. A fluid-filled dynamic absorber with two activated masses, acting as a two-frequency absorber, is described in [1284], also outlining various embodiments. An active mass damper as resonance absorber with enlarged bandwidth of operation by feedback control is also disclosed in [1285]. Two-axial active mass dampers for application to repetitive vibrations of an aircraft fuselage or a vehicle cabin are disclosed in [1286, 1287]; the two vibration absorbers are mounted on a flexible beam which is attached to the vibrating structure.

A multichannel AVC system for canceling repetitive vibrations in aircraft etc. is disclosed in [1288], employing combined feedforward and feedback control with phase-locked loops for each frequency component. Wing flutter of aircraft carrying external loads under the wings can be suppressed by a semi-active suspension of the load [1289].

Swinging vibration of a helicopter fuselage suspended from the gear box can be damped by an actuator with a series spring which connects the gear box with the fuselage [1290]. A magnetically levitated reaction-mass actuator is proposed as a structural damping member in [1291]. A pneumatically controlled active mass damper for automobiles is disclosed in [1292].

Precision positioning and pointing control of structural members of any kind is the subject of [1293] and [1294], applicable to robotics, manipulators etc., including active vibration canceling with feedforward or feedback algorithms, piezoelectric and/or servo-controlled hydraulics. Damping and stiffness in mechanical junctions can be controlled by active dry friction dampers where the pressing force is adjusted by piezoelectric actuators, with feedforward or feedback control, typically by a nonlinear algorithm, e.g., a neural network [1295].

Stability problems in multi-degree-of-freedom systems by modal interaction can be solved by modal decoupling with the help of sum and difference steps in a multi-channel controller [1296].

Tracking control of structural vibrations is possible by decomposing an original signal of arbitrary frequency content into its band-pass filtered subsignals and canceling each of these narrowband sig-

nals with the help of a bank of phase-locked loops to generate the compensation signals [1297].

Vibrations of frequency-varying structures (spacecraft, snowboards, precision optical instruments etc.) can be damped by an adaptive shunt system [1298].

#### 13. AVC FOR BUILDINGS

Bending vibrations of rod-like structural elements of buildings can be damped by actuating a seismic mass in a feedback control loop [1299]. Protection of buildings against earthquake and wind induced sway has been studied for years, often by applying dynamic absorbers, or so-called *tuned mass dampers* as resonance absorbers: a seismic mass is elastically connected to the top of the building so that the natural frequencies of building and mass damper are equal [1300]. Actively driving the mass in antiphase to the building vibration improves the vibrational energy absorption of the building [1301]–[1308]. A semi-active hydraulic vibration damping servomechanism for suppressing structural vibrations of buildings and bridges is described in [1309]; both ends of the assembly are pivotally attached to structural members, and the device can be operated to dissipate as well as store energy (in the latter case some air entrained in the hydraulic fluid is beneficial).

Earthquake and wind force damage prevention by a three-axial adaptive feedforward control system with a hydraulically operated reaction mass actuator and a sensor array to measure the primary excitation is described in [1310]. A simpler system is outlined in [1311]: high pressure hydraulic dampers on top of the building are connected to the roof frame by steel cables and driven by a servomechanism to absorb the swaying energy of the building. A simpler device for earthquake protection of buildings is disclosed in [1312]: an active truss element with kind of a hydraulic shock damper where a computer-controlled valve connects two chambers above and below the working piston and allows length adjustment of the truss element. An active floor impact noise suppressor is outlined in [1313], detecting the noise in the gap between upper floor and lower ceiling, and radiating the canceling sound from loudspeakers under the ceiling.

# **14. AVC FOR ROTATING MACHINERY**

Rotating machines often exhibit vibrations due to unbalance, self excitation, etc. The dangerous resonance peaks during run up and run down can be avoided by temporary shifting the rotor resonance frequency with the help of feedback-controlled fluid film bearings, providing stiffness control and damping control [1314]. In turbomachines, the operating range can be extended by active cancellation of unsteady motion phenomena such as rotor blade flutter, rotating stall, or acoustic resonance [1315]. Unbalance control of a turbojet engine is disclosed in [1316], providing an unbalance measuring device and a double mass actuator mounted on the engine axis, with manually adjustable angular position and amplitude.

Torque control of electromotors by current modulation has been proposed in [1317, 1318, 1213], electromagnetic adaptive feedback control of axial vibrations by a reaction mass actuator in [1319], and AVC of, e.g., water-cooled pumps by driving a magnetically levitated mass in [1320].

Vibrations and structure-borne sound in rotating shafts of propellers, pumps, compressors etc. can be canceled by a variable reluctance actuator [1321].

Machine tool vibration (e. g., of a boring bar) can be controlled by an actuated mass in a sleeve holding the tool [1322]. Broadband damping for a boring bar machine tool is described in [1323] where the damping is provided by a purely resistive shunt of a piezoelectric (PZT) actuator; the inherent actuator capacitance is compensated for by a feedback controlled negative-capacitance shunt. A similar device is disclosed in [1324], applicable to the power drive train vibrations in vehicles; piezo sensors are attached around the bearing assembly, and the electrical current produced thereby is dissipated in shunt resistors. The damping characteristic can be adjusted by controlling the resistance and the piezo stiffness in response to a vibration sensor. Mechanical vibrations of a printing press can be reduced in a feedback loop by modulating the electrical input to the driving motors or by actuating an eddy current brake, thereby also improving the printing quality [1325]. Machine tool vibrations, e. g., of a milling machine, can be damped magnetically by attaching a magnetostrictive element to the vibrating machine, generating a magnetic field in response to the vibration, and a second magnetostrictive element in the vicinity to dissipate the magnetic field energy, so damping the vibration [1326].

A passive alternative of resonance suppression in rotating machinery is disclosed in [1327], employing a thixotropic fluid. An active mass–spring type resonance absorber is described in [1328] for damping, e. g., rotor-induced vibrations of a helicopter cabin. A hydraulic actuator is controlled to match the exciting force, and to adjust the absorber damping to its optimal value. Axial thrust vibrations in turbomachine shafts can be damped by a fluidic (gas flow) controller in a feedback loop, which also allows for failure accommodation of magnetic bearings [1329].

For rotating machinery in special environments, e. g., ultrahigh vacuum where conventional bearings cannot be applied because of lubricant evaporation, *magnetic bearings* without lubricant are preferred, but their inherent instability requires feedback position control which can be designed to simultaneously reduce vibrations [1330]. Also radial shaft or cylinder vibrations can be controlled by magnetic bearings [1331]–[1337] or, in particular for cylindrical shell rollers in textile machinery, by electromagnetic actuators placed pairwise orthogonally at the inside [1338]. An auto-balancing adaptive feedback controller for magnetic bearings is described in [1339]. A feedback control system for magnetic bearings with search coils as velocity sensors is described in [1340].

Multichannel systems can be applied to the adaptive modal control of vibrating machines with magnetic bearings [1341], and to tracking control for variable speed of rotation [1342, 1343]. A feed-back position control system for magnetic bearings with magnetic flux sensors is described in [1344]. A multiple magnetic bearing for machine tool spindles with digital feedback control is outlined in [1345].

Repetitive vibrations in *vehicles* are typically induced by internal combustion engines with their inherent unbalance of the crankshaft at twice the rotational frequency (the "second engine order"). They can be reduced by attaching counter-oscillating masses [1346], or by an electromotor with unbalance (serving as a torque generator) where the frequency and phase are adjusted according to sensor signals taken at various places in the car and parameter values taken from a lookup table [1347]. Low-frequency vibrations in vehicles can be damped by a dynamic absorber formed by the battery as mass, a pivoting lever, and an elastic bearing at the other end; the mass position and the spring constant are automatically adjusted in a feedback loop to tune the absorber to the dominant vibration frequency [1348]. In some upper-class cars, the crankshaft unbalance is cancelled by a counter-rotating dynamic balancing second shaft, driven in synchronism by toothed belt transmission [1349]. A hybrid internal combustion/electric vehicle is disclosed in [1350], [1351] where the alternator rotates in opposite direction to that of the crankshaft and is controlled so that a zero net torque results, to minimize the vibration and noise level in the vehicle. A generator for an internal combustion engine with almost no roll torque is disclosed in [1352], the balancing being achieved by counter rotation of rotor and stator. Engine-induced vehicle vibrations can be cancelled in a straight forward way by two feedback controlled inertial mass shakers [1353]. Resonant torsional vibrations of a pulse-driven torque-transmitting shaft (as, again, in a vehicle with internal combustion engine) can also be damped by imparting periodic torsional impulses in the opposite direction to the twisting motion, e.g., by an eddy current brake acting upon a flywheel attached to the shaft, or with a magnetorheological fluid [1354, 1355]. In [1356] a device is disclosed for controlling vehicle engine vibrations by an active bearing of the rotating shaft, applying piezo elements between the shaft bearing and its mount and exciting them radially so that noise transfer into the passenger compartment is reduced.

Vehicle vibrations caused by rotational irregularities of the internal combustion engine ("roughness") can be cancelled by exerting a compensating torque with the help of the appropriately controlled alternator [1357]. Engine-induced structural repetitive vibrations can also be damped by attaching adaptively tuned dynamic absorbers which are realized as stiffness-controlled resonators [1358]. A knocking detector with variable time window is disclosed in [1359], promising an optimized decision procedure as a basis for ignition timing correction. Similar applications are [1360] and [1361]. In [1362] a multiple cylinder internal combustion engine is disclosed with enhanced fuel economy by deactivating some cylinders when less power is required; the pitch, yaw, and roll torques thereby induced are cancelled by a counter-rotating mass.

Wheel vibrations of a rolling vehicle can, according to [1363], be attenuated by an inertial mass ring around the wheel shaft, both being connected by elastic struts (which provide the static distance) and electromagnetic actuators, driven by a feedforward control device to reduce horizontal and vertical wheel vibrations.

Excessive resonance vibrations of bridges excited by traversing trucks can be avoided by active control of the truck's suspension stiffness and damping [1364], or alternatively by a control system that directly acts upon the bridge.

Periodic torsional and bending vibrations of the shaft of a rotating machine (e.g., again the crankshaft of an internal combustion engine, or the drive shaft of a multibladed grinding machine) can be absorbed by a mechanism similar to a centrifugal pendulum, but instead of a mass pivoting about a support, a mass freely rolling inside an appropriately shaped cavity is provided [1365].

Contact vibrations of rollers in a paper mill or printing press, caused by unbalance or deviations from circularity, can be reduced by adaptive feedback control of the force acting between the bearings of adjacent rollers, thereby preventing fatigue damage [1366].

## **15. ACTIVE VIBRATION ISOLATION**

Active vibration isolation is the subject of a great number of patents. Many of them are related to active engine mounts and suspensions for vehicles, helicopters etc., with various actuation principles (electromagnetic, electrodynamic, piezoelectric, magnetostrictive, electrohydraulic, electropneumatic, hydropneumatic – sometimes with electrorheological or magnetorheological fluids –, linear motors), usually designed as hybrid systems with a passive load-carrying spring in parallel [9, 87, 92, 93, 356, 154], [1367]–[1490]. A method for three-axial acceleration control of a vehicle suspension using only a single obliquely oriented accelerometer and additional vehicle operation signals is disclosed in [1491]. Adaptively tuned vibration absorbers as part of aircraft engine mounts provide vibration isolation from the fuselage and thereby reduce vibration induced noise in the passenger cabin [1492]. In [1493] the passive and the active part are connected in series, and the construction is such that forces are transmitted only in lengthwise direction.

An aperiodic spring suspension is disclosed in [1494]: the mass load to be supported is connected to the base by a conventional spring with a "magnetic spring" in parallel, consisting of an electromagnet with an armature fixed to the mass load, and a feedback controller powering the electromagnet so that it exhibits a negative stiffness which compensates for the positive stiffness of the mechanical spring, thereby realizing a mass/spring system with essentially zero resonance frequency; thus a broadband vibration is obtained.

A series of patents is concerned with extended application of hydraulics to various machinery. In [1495] a car is proposed in which the internal combustion engine drives a compressor only, the wheels being powered by a fluid motor providing a smoother ride, with additional hydraulic active vibration isolation. [1496] extends the same principle to helicopters, engine mounts, HVAC and buildings, improving the hydraulic vibration isolation by combination with air cushions. [1497] suggests two fluid accumulators, a high-pressure vessel for the wheel drive, and a low-pressure vessel for the auxiliary units of a vehicle; this two-circuit hydraulic system is extended to other applications in [1498]. To further improve the ride comfort of vehicles, the hydraulic vibration isolation can be

combined with preview control, employing a road surface sensor mounted in front of the car [1499], or a pneumatic shock absorber [1500], possibly including an air cushion [1501].

In two-engine turbofan or turboprop aircraft, the problem of isolating the fuselage from sinusoidal engine vibrations with two closely spaced frequencies occurs, which often causes instability of an active system. In [1502] a solution is presented by appropriate positioning of active engine mounts.

A combined passive and active vehicle engine vibration isolation for trucks and tractors is presented in [1503]–[1505] where the static load is carried by a pneumatic system, while a hydraulic system provides the dynamic low-frequency isolation (high-frequency vibration is damped passively by elastomeric material). An active pneumatic mount is disclosed in [1506] where a pressurized bellows contains a loudspeaker enclosure by which, through a feedforward controller, the residual vibration transmission is reduced. An adaptive feedforward AVC vehicle engine mount cancels sinusoidal vibrations utilizing the filtered-x LMS algorithm, the error path identification being performed by a genetic algorithm [1507]. An active electrohydraulic engine mount for isolating the car body from harmonic engine vibrations employs the filtered-x LMS adaptive algorithm and a divergence monitoring and avoiding stage [1508].

An energy saving approach to vibration isolation for vehicle bodies, seats, or engines is outlined in [1509]: during one half-cycle of the vibration, energy is reversibly extracted from the structure, and returned in the other half-cycle, so avoiding external energy supply for driving an actuator.

Human comfort enhancement in any transportation vehicle is the purpose of [1510] where a combined passive and active isolation system is described to reduce both sway and heave motions of the passenger compartment. In [1511] a shock absorber for truck cab isolation from the chassis is developed which can switch between low and high damping, depending on the vertical chassis acceleration. The isolation of the passenger compartment from engine-induced vibrations is also the subject of [1512] where active engine mounts are operated by an adaptive feedback controller.

A *shock absorber* which isolates machinery vibration from, e. g., a submarine hull (in order to reduce low frequency sound radiation) is presented in [1396]; an elastomeric spring carrying the static load is supported by combined hydraulic and pneumatic controllers to compensate for dynamic force and torque transmission. Several patents describe active shock absorbers for various applications, including vibration isolation tables for vibration sensitive equipment [1513]–[1528]. A vibration isolating machine mount with fluidic controller is described in [1529, 1530]. Payload isolation from spacecraft support vibrations can be achieved by "S"-shaped brackets with piezoelectric bending actuators mounted to the vertical and horizontal connecting portions of the "S," driven from collocated accelerometers by  $H_{\infty}$  controllers [1531]. An active shock absorber with magnetorheological fluid is applied to reduce the vibrations of a washing machine which are excited by washload unbalance [1532].

Active removal of ground vibration disturbance from the digital signal of a weighing apparatus is disclosed in [1533], and active vibration isolation of a scanning (force) microscope from the support table by a feedforward control system in [1534].

To reduce vibrations of the litter support in ambulance vehicles, an active feedback-controlled system has been developed [1535] which maintains the good high-frequency isolation of a pneumatic spring with low damping, but avoids the resonance peak by stiffening the pneumatic spring at frequencies below twice the resonance frequency.

Active vibration isolation of a helicopter cabin from the gearbox and resonance suppression is possible by torsional bars and oscillating masses, serving as tuned mass dampers [1536, 1537].

Magnetic levitation as an active vibration isolation method is presented in [1538] for vibrationsensitive equipment (semiconductor fabrication, electron microscopes, etc.), controlling the displacement of a table relative to the floor and canceling vibration as monitored by an accelerometer mounted on the table and applying either PI or  $H_{\infty}$  control rules. A vibration-isolated table particularly for photolithography is described in [1539], providing a pneumatic control system for highfrequency and an electrodynamic system for low-frequency external disturbances, both controllers realized as combined feedforward/feedback systems. A two-stage vibration isolation system for use in micro-gravity experiments in spacecraft is disclosed in [1540], employing magnetic levitation and actively controlled magnetic force actuators that maintain alignment in all six degrees of freedom.

## **16. VARIOUS AVC APPLICATIONS**

Automatic *roll stabilization* of ships is achieved by pumping water between tanks located on the two sides of the ship, in a feedback system with on–off control [1541], or with a more involved PID controller [1542]. Active roll stabilization of cornering vehicles by hydraulic control is disclosed in [1543]. The problem of detrimental modulation of an airborne radar oscillator by aircraft frame vibrations can be solved by an electronic master–slave system and a phase-locked loop [1544]. An active suspension for railroad vehicles providing tilt compensation in curves and high-frequency vibration cancellation accounting for rail irregularities is disclosed in [1545].

Power line noise in *seismic exploration* signals can be cancelled by properly adjusting amplitude and phase of a control signal which is derived from the power supply [1546]. Also in seismic exploration, the multipath propagation of vibrations caused by a test impact typically corrupts the geophone signals; in [1547] adaptive noise canceling and crosscorrelation are applied to compensate for interference resulting from near-surface waves. Another problem encountered in sea-borne seismic exploration occurs with so-called streamer cables, towed arrays of hydrophones, where the self-noise due to ship vibrations and sea motion can be cancelled by subtracting, from the hydrophone signals, stress signals recorded with piezoelectric stress sensors in the cable jacket [1548]. A further related problem is the impulsive noise cancellation in electromagnetic telemetry signals from borehole exploration, by filtering the signals in the frequency domain to remove the broadband noise floor, followed by an adaptive noise canceling stage to remove residual noise [1549]. Tool borne vibration can disturb acoustic exploration in fluid-filled boreholes; a method for active cancellation of the boring bar vibrations is disclosed in [1550], applying adaptive feedback or feedforward control.

An early patent on vibration and noise control of flying helicopters is disclosed in [1551], proposing a thrust ring around the (vertical) tail rotor which influences its tip vortices so that the tail rotor thrust is enhanced and the vibration and noise level is reduced. Modal control of helicopter blades is possible by individual blade pitch feedback control [1552]–[1568]. Torque control of helicopter blades by precession of gyros mounted to the blades is proposed in [1569]. Cyclic pitch control of a helicopter improves its flight performance and stability, and reduces vibration [1570, 1571]. Higher harmonic control of X-wing aircraft rotor blades is the subject of [1572], and as part of a flight control system in [1573]. An actuator for higher harmonic control with low power consumption is disclosed in [1574]: a slotted rotating cylinder mounted at the outboard section of each blade and causing an air stream which acts as a periodic lift force analogous to that of an oscillating trailing edge control surface. A helicopter multichannel AVC system can be combined with a "health monitoring system" triggering an alarm if the control parameters exceed predetermined values [1575]. A similar system is described in [1576] which provides in-flight structural testing by using one of the AVC actuators to shake the structure and to measure the structural response. Vibration damping of a helicopter cockpit by servocontrolled resonance absorbers of the mass/spring type is disclosed in [1281], and by a tuned mass damper in [1577]. A dangerous stall condition of the main rotor blades of a helicopter usually causes increased fuselage vibration. Higher harmonic (blade pitch) control (HHC) can damp the fuselage vibration to an extent that the pilot does not realize the stall condition in time; it is therefore suggested in [1578] to interrupt the HHC when the blade load increases above a certain threshold. The vibration of a helicopter cockpit caused by the first bending mode of the helicopter tail ("tailshake") can be cancelled by blade pitch control of the tail rotor [1579]. Tailshake can also be diminished by an active mass damper [1580] or by active two-mass dampers as a cascade structure where the upper resonance system can be frequency-adjusted to follow varying excitation frequencies [1581]. Helicopter fuselage

vibrations caused by the driveline can be cancelled by collective blade pitch control of the main rotor [1582]. Helicopter vibrations can also be reduced by an active mass damper mounted on top of the main rotor hub [1583]. A variable power transmission device for a helicopter is disclosed in , [1584]–[1587], not only enhancing fuel efficiency but also reducing the noise level; the rotational speed of the main and tail rotors is continuously varied while the engine rotates at constant frequency. Active vibration control of a convertible tilt rotor aircraft by control surfaces at the trailing edge of the wings is disclosed in [1588]. Active vibration isolation of the helicopter fuselage from the main rotor system is possible by connecting the two structures through electro-hydraulic actuators driven by a feedforward controller with rotor-mounted accelerometers as reference transducers [1589]. An electro-hydraulic strut assembly has been disclosed earlier by the same assignee [1590]. Also a series of Sikorsky patents aims at reducing the in-cabin noise of helicopters by active vibration isolation of the fuselage from the gear box [1591]–[1592].

Resonance vibrations in a *gimbal structure* can be damped by a servo loop feedback controller acting on a torquer positioner [1593]. Active feedback control of lateral *rail vehicle* vibrations with pneumatic or hydraulic actuators is proposed in [1594, 1595]. AVC for an *elevator cab* is described in [1596]. Structural damping can be enhanced by energy transfer from lower to higher vibrational modes via stiffness control, since the dissipation loss is increased [1597]. Wave-induced vibrations in a *ship's hull* can be reduced by sort of a tuned mass damper where the mass is represented by a chain locker (including the chain) and/or other ship equipment and cargo [1598].

A narrowband compensator of structural vibrations follows the classical compensator/regulator approach with adaptive frequency adjustment [1599]. Resonances of structures with hydraulic devices can be suppressed by actively controlling a hydraulic valve [1600]. Bending and torsional vibrations of *snowboards* and *skis* can be damped by piezoelectric actuators embedded in the board, either by a resistive shunt or by an active feedback controller [1601].

The *squeal* of vehicle *disk brakes* can be cancelled by piezoelectric transducers in a feedback loop [1602], or, according to [1603], by active impulse excitation of the brake structure (in this patent text "actuators" are termed "sensors"). An active vibration damper for automobile drum brakes is disclosed in [1604, 1605], applying piezoelectric sensors and actuators in a feedback control loop to avoid brake squeal. An active disk brake controller with magnetostrictive actuator acting directly on the hydraulics is disclosed in [1606]. An anti-skid braking system for road vehicles is described in [1607], evaluating speed sensor signals from each wheel and controlling the pressure applied to each brake cylinder, and simultaneously reducing drive train vibrations.

Torsional and longitudinal tape vibrations in a *cassette recorder* can be damped by a limited angle torquer electromotor acting upon a supply tension arm of the tape transport; the tape vibrations result in a back EMF in the motor which is used in a servo control mechanism to damp the vibrations [1608].

Acceleration reduction in *reciprocating machines* such as direct-acting Stirling engines is possible by an appropriately driven auxiliary mass [1609, 1610]. Vibrations of a reciprocating gas compressor are greatly reduced by the application of magnetic bearings [1611]. The power output of a free piston Stirling engine can be matched to the power demand by a variable gas spring, thereby also accomplishing vibration reduction [1612]. Active dampers for a Stirling engine preventing externally excited (and possibly damaging) resonance vibrations in the engine are described in [1613] and [1614]. An adaptive feedforward AVC system for a Stirling engine working as a cryogenic cooler in spacecraft is disclosed in [1615]. Vibration cancellation of the compressor of a refrigerator with a nonpollution gas as coolant is outlined in [1616], constructing it such that the piston of a reciprocating linear motor and the vessel vibrate in antiphase so that no net vibration of the compressor results.

Unbalance control for the platform of an inertial guidance system, which carries a dithered ring laser gyroscope, is obtained by a controlled countermass [1617].

Active damping of *spacecraft* appendages such as solar arrays can be accomplished, for several vibration modes, by one three-axial inertia-wheel torquer [1618]. Slewing maneuvers of flexible space-

craft with jet control can be performed in minimum time without residual vibrations by applying positive and negative accelerations in a special pulse sequence [1619]. Active (smart) damping patches for vibration control of, e. g., spacecraft truss structures comprising piezoelectric transducers are disclosed in [1620] and [1270]. Vibration control of spacecraft antennae is possible by inserting electrorheological or magnetorheological dampers in the cables connecting the antenna dish with the spacecraft [1621]–[1623].

*Dynamic absorbers* for, e. g., plate vibrations with electromagnetic actuators and adjustable resonance frequency are disclosed in [1624]. A dynamic vibration absorber with an electrodynamic actuator serving as an active mass damper supports a conventional engine mount [1625]. Vibration transmission control between structures by an attached dynamic absorber is suggested in [1626] where either the mass of a fluid-filled cavity or the stiffness of an air spring are controlled to tune the absorber resonance frequency to the vibration frequency. Periodic aircraft fuselage vibrations can be reduced by a dynamic absorber the resonance frequency of which is adaptively tuned to the structural vibration frequency, by adjusting the spring stiffness of the mass/spring absorber [1627, 1628]. A decentralized adaptive dynamic vibration absorber for application to engine mounts is disclosed in [1629], employing a modified filtered-x LMS algorithm, yet without the need of plant modelling, and being effective for both tonal and broadband vibrations.

Vibration-induced sidebands of *quartz oscillators* can be actively cancelled by applying a compensation signal to the electrodes [1630]. An electromagnetically tuned vibration absorber serves as tracking absorber by impedance adjustment, including resonance suppression [1631]. Precision position control of *microscope lenses* is possible with piezoelectric bending actuators, thereby also providing vibration reduction [1632]. Vibration-induced electron beam aberrations in scanning electron microscopes can be cancelled to improve image quality [1633, 1634]. Vibration cancellation of images from microscopes with long viewing distance, as used in surgery, is possible by lateral shifting actuation of a lens [1635]. The *motion unsharpness* of pictures taken with a camera can be reduced by controlling the lateral position of one lens group, in response to a vibration detector and an object distance detector [1636, 1637]. Noise and vibration of a *vibratory feeder* can be cancelled by AVC acting on the support frame [1638] or by a loudspeaker mounted such that the canceling sound is radiated from a ring slit around the vibratory feeder , [1639]–[1641].

An *electrorheological gel* with contacts in form of interdigital metal fingers provides an electrically controllable shear stiffness which can be used as a vibration damper [1642] where, in contrast to electrorheological fluids, the polarizable particles do not tend to settle to the bottom of the housing. A *magnetorheological gel* is described in [1643] and [1644].

Electrical machines which vibrate with the power line frequency and higher harmonics can be controlled by shakers acting on the housing [1645].

An *optical interferometer* with active compensation for external vibration or air turbulence is the subject of [1646] where interference fringes observed by a CCD camera are used to drive three PZT elements which support a reference plate. Optical scanners of data storage devices such as CDs, DVDs etc. sometimes suffer from howling due to mechanical resonances of the support plate; the resulting signal distortion can be compensated by active digital control employing a phase-locked loop [1647].

Vibration reduction in power-assisted *vehicle steering* devices can be accomplished by an electronically controlled friction damper in the hydraulic system [1648].

In modern electronic *circuit board* assembly machines a gripping device is moved in the x-y plane by a servo-driven carriage, a gantry beam, which tends to vibrate; in [1649] a velocity feedback control device is described which cancels the vibration and thereby improves the positioning precision. Active position and vibration control for precision equipment such as a bed carrying microelectronic wafers which are moved stepwise between short light exposures is disclosed in [1650], providing pneumatic leveling control of the wafer support. High-speed, high-accuracy position control is also the subject of [1651], intended for applications in machine tools, semiconductor exposure devices, optical alignment etc., by damping the bending vibrations of a cantilever bar actively with piezoelectric actuators.

Comparatively new fields applying the AVC technology are *active optics* and *adaptive optics*. Initially developed for large astronomical telescope mirrors, active optics served to correct mirror deformation under its own weight by an array of (typically hydraulic) actuators attached to the rear side of the mirror. While this quasistatic multichannel shape control system did not present major control problems, adaptive optics aims at correcting for the image blurring by atmospheric turbulence (the so-called *seeing*) with frequencies up to 1 kHz. For this purpose, usually the secondary mirror surface is controlled by a piezo array so that a natural or artificial "guide star" yields a sharp image. An application of active optics in ophthalmology is disclosed in [1652] where an active mirror serves to adjust the focus plane of a laser beam to specified inspection layers in the eye. An application of this technique to laser machining is described in [1653] where an active optical mirror serves to optimally focus a laser beam to the desired spot. Similar devices for controlling the divergence of a laser beam for machining are described in [1654] and [1655]. An adaptive mirror system for the illumination device of a semiconductor wafer stepper is disclosed in [1656]. A microscope with adaptive optics is disclosed in [1657] where the wavefront modulator is located between the objective and tube lens or in the illumination path; further possible applications are a laser scanning microscope and confocal microscopy.

#### **17. TRANSDUCERS**

Many ANC and AVC applications require specifications which are not met by conventional sources such as loudspeakers, shakers etc. so that special devices have been developed. The same is true for acoustic and vibration sensors. Patents describing heat protected and heat resistant loud-speakers have been listed in Section 3. More patents on transducers are presented in the following.

An electropneumatic *loudspeaker* for high-intensity sound reproduction is based on a modulated air stream, produced by an electromagnetically controlled oscillating flap [1658, 1659] or valves in a variety of constructions [1660], the latter one employing an overpressure chamber and an underpressure chamber to ensure better symmetry of the oscillating air stream. An ionized gas stream, passed across spaced electrodes, can be used as a sound source by appropriate modulation of an electric d.c. current through it. The use of this phenomenon to actively suppress combustion instabilities has been mentioned in Section 11. The patents [1661], [1215], [1216] and [1662] recommend such systems also for sound reproduction at extremely high sound levels without a conventional loudspeaker.

A variety of *unconventional sound sources* for application in ANC systems have been proposed by O. Bschorr: hot wires, electric arcs, magnetohydrodynamic actuators, pneumatic sources, sirens, pulsed fuel injection to exhaust pipes [14], as well as hydraulic elements and controlled light absorption [15]. Similarly, for AVC applications, Bschorr has proposed (besides electrodynamic systems, magnetostrictive and electrostrictive actuators) hydraulically or pneumatically driven membranes, controlled air cushions, and induction by the geomagnetic field [16], and furthermore active impedance control [1663]. A siren with resonance chambers for improved efficiency is disclosed in [1664] where also a three-siren source is described to create a rotating sound field for the cancellation of spinning modes of propeller aircraft etc. A method to produce anti-sound by excitation of plasma oscillations has been mentioned in Section 4.5 [523].

A tripole source serving as an active sound absorber in a ventilation duct is described in [1099]. Direct sound generation from digital signals, without digital-to-analog conversion (DAC) and power amplifier, is possible according to [1665] and [1666], the latter one employing position control of the speaker cone with an error propagation technique. A digital earphone with feedback ANC to cancel ambient noise is disclosed in [1667], employing in a lightweight earcup a small electret microphone for the feedback loop and several equally small electret loudspeakers to reproduce the input signal and the noise cancellation signal. A high-intensity sound source, intended for application to an

acoustic refrigerator, is described in [1668]: an electrodynamic actuator is mounted in a compressor chamber and driven at the common resonance frequency of actuator and chamber so that a pulsating gas stream is released from the outlet valve of the compressor chamber. A "rod radiator" is disclosed in [1669]: a conventional transducer is attached to a mechanical waveguide exciting structure-borne sound which drives a phased array of local radiators so that an endfire array results; a variety of possible realizations is presented, and the radiator is said to be adjustable to a broad frequency band and a wide range of impedances, directivities, efficiencies, and shapes. Pressure pulses out of and into a reservoir can be controlled to serve as a high-intensity low-frequency sound source, both for radiating and actively absorbing sound [1670].

Modulated air flow can serve as a low-frequency dipole source [1671] or cancel pressure fluctuations in the outlet of aircraft jet engines [1114]. A loudspeaker system with dry air ventilation has been developed for ANC applications in wet surroundings [1672]. Flat panel mid and high frequency band loudspeakers for both ANC and entertainment systems in vehicles use piezoelectric patches acting on flat plates in shallow casings or directly on selected areas of the cabin trims or liners [1673, 1674, 1675, 1676, 1677, 1678]. A photo-thermo-acoustic device is described in [1678]: several focused laser beams heat a gas volume periodically to create a distributed sound source, with possible applications to sound shielding etc. A conventional loudspeaker for ANC duct systems, having frequency response irregularities, can be turned into a constant-velocity source by current feedback control [1679]. A loudspeaker specially designed for application in windows with double glazing is disclosed in [1680].

Actively tuned Helmholtz resonators can serve as sound absorbers for a wider frequency band than conventional resonators [336, 1681, 1682], and multiple piezoelectric transducers acting on one Helmholtz resonator provide an intense ANC sound source [1683].

The loudspeaker *frequency response function* can be improved by resonance suppression with a simple delay-and-add circuit [1684], or by motional feedback [1685, 391, 1686, 1687]. Instead of conventional motional feedback, a spider-less loudspeaker design is proposed in [1688], the loudspeaker cone being held by a frictionless movable support, and a position sensor signal being used to modify the audio signal so that the desired cone motion is obtained. The acoustic input impedance of a loudspeaker mounted in a wall can be adjusted to desired values by active loading of the electrical terminals, in particular by feedback control of the induced current in a shunt resistor, so that the magnitude and phase of the acoustic reflectivity can be controlled in a wide range, enabling the wall impedance to be varied between absorbing and highly reflective, thus providing a room with variable acoustics [1689]. In [1690] a loudspeaker box is described with resonance suppression by a second electrodynamic system in the rear part which serves as an active absorber by impedance control with a pressure sensor, a velocity sensor and feedback control. Similar systems are disclosed in [1691] and [1692], offering a variety of control strategies for the backing loudspeaker and various types of frequency response functions.

Means for overload prevention of loudspeakers have been mentioned repeatedly in former sections: [110, 119, 142, 143, 181, 367]. Loudspeaker distortion can be corrected by a nonlinear network [1693], by adaptive feedback model reference control [1694], by motional feedback [1695], or an adaptive feedback system controls a precompensation filter to mimic the inverse loudspeaker transfer function [462]. Nonlinear signal distortion by a phonograph can be compensated by a negative feedback amplifier with a replica of the nonlinearity in the feedback path [1696]. Compensation of linear and nonlinear distortion of an actuator by a controller and a parameter detector is the subject of [1697], serving both for linearization of the transfer function and overload protection. A loudspeaker designed for high output power, as for ANC mufflers of automobiles, is presented in [1698], providing a d.c. voltage to compensate for a deviation of the membrane from the center position at high excursions.

A variety of actuators for the active control of unsteady motion phenomena of turbomachinery are proposed in [1315] besides the conventional loudspeakers and piezoelectric transducers: fluid

suction or injection with valve or flap control, and thermal control by electrical discharges or laser pulses.

A piezoelectric actuator with electromagnetic feedback damping is suited as source of short pulses, e.g., for distance measuring devices [1699]. An electromagnetically driven mass can serve as a low-frequency vibrator [1700]. An electromagnetic AVC actuator for axial vibration of a mass shows linear response over a large stroke [1701]. An electromagnetic actuator with sawtooth-shaped pole pieces which are filled with an elastomeric material provides oblique actuation [1702]. A piezo-electric precision actuator with servo control or feedforward control is disclosed in [1703, 1704, 1705]. The feedthrough capacitance of a piezoelectric sensoriactuator can be compensated for by an adaptive feedback control system; this can be utilized for health-monitoring of the actuator [1706]. An electromechanical film transducer (sensor or actuator) is described in [1707], consisting of electret foils folded such that air cushions are formed which change their square cross section to a rhombic shape when actuated. Combination of two such layers with opposite poling yields a bending actuator.

A microphone to be built into tape recorders etc., with reduced sensitivity to structure-borne sound, combines an electret microphone with a piezoelectric vibration sensor, the output of the latter being subtracted from that of the former [1708]. A two-dimensional microphone array for auditorium and teleconference applications is disclosed in [1709] and provided with a signal processing arrangement that allows several beams of microphone directivities to be adjusted to arbitrary speaker directions, thus avoiding voice signal distortion by noise and reverberation. A microphone for use in a vibrating environment (such as a vehicle) includes an accelerometer the output of which is used to remove he vibration-induced component from the microphone signal [1710]. Microphone probes for flow ducts with suppression of turbulent pressure fluctuations by long porous tubes have been described in [1711, 1712]. Directional microphones for an adaptive noise canceler are outlined in [1713], and a two-microphone system with directivity enhancement by adaptive noise canceling in [1714]. A twomicrophone system for adaptive speech enhancement in hearing aids is disclosed in [1715], adaptively steering the highest sensitivity to a speech source and null steering to a disturbance source (which must be in another direction); the signal processing is performed in the frequency domain. A noise canceling microphone to be used by motorcycle riders is disclosed in [1716]. ANC or AVC sources with fluidic amplifiers are the subject of [1717, 1529, 1530]. Turbulence noise can be removed from microphone signals taken in strong air or liquid flow by an adaptive ANC strategy, utilizing the different correlations of acoustic signals and flow noise in a microphone array [1718], [1719]. Directional (instead of omnidirectional) microphones are often used when suppression of ambient noise is necessary. This effect can be enhanced further by combining two gradient-type microphones to a second-order spatial derivative assembly, e.g., for a telephone handset where speech pauses are used to update an adaptive filter which minimizes the output due to ambient noise [1720]. A microphone system consists of six microphones flush mounted on a small sphere; its cardioid characteristic can be steered electronically into any direction by taking pairwise sum and difference signals, filtering, weighting, and delays [1721]. Two cardioid microphones with variable gain and fixed delays can be combined to derive a variety of directivity patterns, in particular to track or null moving sources [1722].

Distributed one- and two-dimensional sensors (and, analogously, actuators) for the active control of structural vibrations and sound radiation are discussed in detail in [1723], including piezowires, PZT and PVDF foils, without and with shaping for modal sensing and modal control, and including the Frost algorithm for rapid convergence to minimum power radiation.

A torque actuator which consists of parallel rods exhibiting warping under longitudinal forces is disclosed in [1724].

A combined acceleration and sound pressure sensor is presented in [1725], consisting of an annular foam electret sound pressure microphone with an accelerometer inside the ring which consists of another electret foam transducer with a mass on top; the device may be used for ANC systems of the JMC type (see Section 1).

A capacitive accelerometer with a silicon plate is outlined in [1726]. Fiber optic devices can be used as strain sensors [1727]; with two fibers one of which serves as an unloaded reference, an interference fringe counter can be applied [1728]. A fiber-optic vibration sensor is insensitive to electromagnetic interference [1729, 1730, 1344]. An optical microphone is disclosed in [1731], consisting of a laser, a beam splitter, a multiply light reflecting path (two parallel mirrors) where one of the partial light beams undergoes a Doppler shift if a sound wave travels through the light beam field, and a photodetector to superimpose the modulated light beam and the unmodulated reference beam from the beam splitter. Demodulation is performed by conventional heterodyning methods.

A damping layer is disclosed in [1732, 1733, 1734] in which piezoelectric particles convert vibrational in electrical energy, the latter being dissipated in carbon fibers serving as resistors.

Adaptive (smart) structures with embedded sensors and actuators are subjects of great research interest, for applications in a variety of fields. Active cancellation of underwater self-noise received by a submarine's sonar system is achieved by a multilayer composite coating, comprising PVDF layers as sensor and actuator, separated by an absorber layer [1170]. An adaptive coating for active sound radiation suppression from underwater structures comprises an inner actuator layer of, e.g., piezorubber and an outer sensor layer of, e.g., PVDF. Appropriate signal processing allows the adaptation of the acoustic input impedance to prescribed values such as perfect absorption [1735]. Actuators with large stroke can be constructed from a curved plate by an active coating with a layer of piezoceramic or piezopolymer, electro- or magnetostrictive material, shape memory alloy, electroor magnetorheological fluid or the like, so that electrical, magnetic or thermal actuation causes a lengthwise variation of the active layer and hence a bending deflection of the composite plate, which translates into a longitudinal excursion with larger stroke if the plate is curved into a semi cylindrical shape [1736]. An active coating as electrically adjustable sound absorber in form of "acoustic tiles" for, e.g., submarines employs electrorheological (ERF) or magnetorheological fluids (MRF) so that the sound velocity and, hence, the acoustic impedance can be adjusted, possibly in combination with an active diffuser structure [1737]. An autonomous system for damping structural vibrations is disclosed in [1738]: several piezoelectric and magnetostrictive transducers are attached to the structure, so generating electricity which can either be dissipated (adaptive passive control) or fed to some of the transducers to counteract the structural vibration.

A particularly silent brushless d.c. electromotor results from a special design of the magnets of rotor and stator [1739].

The following list relates the abbreviations to the countries, and gives the numbers of primary and total patents for each country.

Abbrev.	Country	primary	total
AR	Argentina	0	1
AT	Austria	1	102
AU	Australia	5	199
BE	Belgium	2	19
BR	Brazil	0	33
CA	Canada	3	346
CH	Switzerland	3	12
CN	China	0	30
CS	Czechoslovakia	3	3
CZ	Czech Republic	0	3
DE	Germany	183	630
DK	Denmark	2	21
EE	Estonia	0	4
EP	European Patent	66	755
ES	Spain	0	61
FI	Finland	13	33
FR	France	79	158
GB	United Kingdom	105	241
GR	Greece	0	3
HK	Hong Kong	0	8
HU	Hungary	0	7
IE	Ireland	0	3
IL	Israel	1	15
IN	India	0	1
IT	Italy	13	46
JP	Japan	455	864
KR	Republic of Korea	6	45
LU	Luxembourg	0	2
MX	Mexico	0	8
NL	Netherlands	10	33
NO	Norway	1	37
NZ	New Zealand	0	4
PL	Poland	0	9
PT	Portugal	0	1
RU	<b>Russian Federation</b>	0	6
SE	Sweden	10	34
SG	Singapore	2	13
SU	Soviet Union	2	2
US	United States of America	1019	1555
WO	International Patent	76	387
YU	Yugoslavia	0	1
ZA	South Africa	0	13

#### References

- [1] Notice: Patents are cited in short form: Inventor(s) (Assignee). Patent title. Primary Patent Number and Dates. Related Patents: often Country abbreviations only (see p. 51). In the following listing, Non-English original patent titles are replaced by English translations, noted in [...].
- [2] D. Guicking. Patents on Active Control of Noise and Vibration an Overview. Brochure, 88 pp., Feb. 2001.
- [3] D. Guicking. Guicking's Online Patent Information (GOPI). CD ROM, Version 1.1, January 2001.
- [4] H.S. Black (Western Electric Co., US). Translating system. US 1,686,792. Filed: Feb. 3, 1925. Patented: Oct. 9, 1928.
- [5] H. Coanda (FR). [Method for protection against noise]. FR 722 274. Filed: Oct. 21, 1930. Patented: Dec. 29, 1931.
- [6] H. Coanda (FR). [Method for protection against noise]. FR 762 121. Filed: Dec. 31, 1932. Patented: Jan. 18, 1934.
- [7] P. Lueg (DE). [Process of silencing sound oscillations]. DE 655 508. Filed: Jan. 27, 1933. Patented: Dec. 30, 1937. *Related:* US 2,043,416. Filed: March 8, 1934. Patented: June 9, 1936.
- [8] H.F. Olson (RCA, US). Electronic sound absorber. US 2,983,790. Filed: April 30, 1953. Patented: May 9, 1961.
- [9] H. F. Olson (RCA, US). Vibration control apparatus. US 2,964,272. Filed: July 1, 1955. Patented: Dec. 13, 1960.
- [10] M. Brute de Remur (FR). [Method for the cancellation or reduction of the intensity of sound waves]. FR 1 243 491. Filed: Sept. 2, 1959. Patented: Sept. 5, 1960.
- [11] M.J.M. Jessel (CNRS, FR). [Electro-acoustic method for the absorption of sound and noise in extended spaces]. FR 1 494 967. Filed: Aug. 4, 1966. Patented: Aug. 7, 1967.
- [12] K. Kirjavainen (Panphonics Oy, FI). Method and arrangement for damping wall movement. WO 98/15944 A1. Priority (FI): Oct. 4, 1996. Published: April 16, 1998. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP, NO, US.
- [13] E. A. Hinksman (Midland Transformer, UK). Improvements in or relating to noise reduction. GB 1199925 A. Filed: Sept. 8, 1966. Published: July 22, 1970.
- [14] O. Bschorr (MBB, DE). [Sound reduction by anti-sound sources]. DE 1918437 A. Filed: April 11, 1969. Published: Oct. 15, 1970. *Related:* FR.
- [15] O. Bschorr (MBB, DE). [Sound shielding by means of sound grating]. DE 1918741 A. Filed: April 12, 1969. Published: Oct. 15, 1970. *Related:* FR, GB, US.
- [16] O. Bschorr (MBB, DE). [Reduction of oscillation by anti-oscillation means]. DE 1918747 A. Filed: April 14, 1969. Published: Oct. 15, 1970. *Related:* FR, GB.
- [17] B. S. Atal and M. R. Schroeder (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Apparent sound source translator. US 3,236,949. Filed: Nov. 19, 1962. Patented: Feb. 22, 1966.
- [18] M. R. Schroeder (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Sound amplification system. US 3,183,304. Filed: March 7, 1962, Appl. No. 178,190. Patented: May 11, 1965.
- [19] P. G. Franklin (Cellular Communications, US). Apparatus and method for hands free telephonic communication. US 4,819,263. Filed: June 30, 1986. Patented: April 4, 1989.
- [20] T. McGregor et al. (Scotland, GB). Voice enhancer system. GB 2 208 990 B. Priority (GB): Aug. 19, 1987. Patented: April 3, 1991. Related: CA, EP, JP, US.
- [21] K. Schaaf et al. (Volkswagen, DE). [Method and device for operating voice-controlled systems in motor vehicles]. DE 198 27 134 A1. Priority (DE): May 6, 1998. Published: Nov. 11, 1998. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [22] E. J. Thomas and J. E. Unrue Jr. (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Method for improving the settling time of a transversal filter adaptive echo canceller. US 3,632,905. Filed: Dec. 19, 1969. Patented: Jan. 4, 1972.
- [23] J.-P. Barret (Institut Français du pétrole, des carburants et lubrifiants, FR). [Device for varying the speed of evolution of an amplifier gain]. FR 2 151 533 A5. Filed: Sept. 1, 1971. Published: April 20, 1973. *Related:* DE, GB, NL, US.
- [24] B. Widrow et al. Adaptive noise cancelling: Principles and Applications. Proc. IEEE 63 (1975) 1692–1716.
- [25] J. M. McCool et al. (US Navy). Adaptive detector. US 4,243,935. Filed: May 18, 1979. Patented: Jan. 6, 1981.
- [26] M. R. Schroeder (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Processing of two noise contaminated, substantially identical signals to improve signal-to-noise ratio. US 4,008,439. Filed: Feb. 20, 1976. Patented: Feb. 15, 1977.
- [27] D. Poussart and U. Ganguly (Canadian Patents and Development, CA). Transfer function measurement. US 4,067,060. Filed: July 6, 1976. Patented: Jan. 3, 1978. *Related:* CA.
- [28] G. B. B. Chaplin and R. A. Smith (UK). Improvements in and relating to active methods for attenuating compression waves. GB 1 555 760 A. Priority (GB): June 28, 1975. Published: Nov. 14, 1979.
- [29] G. B. B. Chaplin et al. (Sound Attenuators, UK). Active attenuation of recurring vibrations. GB 1 577 322 A. Filed: May 13, 1976. Patented: Oct. 22, 1980. *Related:* AU, BE, DE, FR, NO, SE, US, ZA.

- [30] G. B. B. Chaplin and R. A. Smith (Sound Attenuators, UK). Improvements in and relating to active sound attenuation. US 4,122,303. Filed: Dec. 10, 1976. Patented: Oct. 24, 1978.
- [31] G. B. B. Chaplin and R. A. Smith (Sound Attenuators, UK). [Method and device for the attenuation of sound]. DE 27 12 534 C2. Filed: March 22, 1977. Patented: March 6, 1986.
- [32] J.M. McCool and B. Widrow et al. (US Navy). Adaptive line enhancer. US 4,238,746. Filed: March 20, 1978. Patented: Dec. 9, 1980.
- [33] D. L. Duttweiler (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Adaptive filter with tap coefficient leakage. US 4,243,959.
  Filed: June 21, 1979. Patented: Jan. 6, 1981. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, ES, JP, WO.
- [34] G. B. B. Chaplin et al. (Sound Attenuators, UK). A method of reducing the adaption time in the cancellation of repetitive vibration. EP 0 034 592 B1. Priority (GB): Aug. 16, 1979. Patented: March 7, 1984. *Related:* DE, JP, NO, US, WO.
- [35] G. B. B. Chaplin (Sound Attenuators, UK). Improved method and apparatus for cancelling vibration. GB 2 077 988 B. Priority (GB): Nov. 21, 1979. Patented: Sept. 14, 1984. *Related:* AT, AU, EP, NO, US, WO.
- [36] Y. Hori et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Vibration reducing device of electrical machinery]. JP 57 017 027 A2. Filed: July 3, 1980. Patent JP 62 048 242 B4, issued: Oct. 13, 1987. *Related:* EP, US.
- [37] C. F. Ross (UK). Process of testing for a sound control system. GB 2 069 280 B. Priority (GB): Jan. 4, 1980. Patented: Feb. 22, 1984.
- [38] J. R. Zeidler et al. (US Navy). Adaptive correlator. US 4,355,368. Filed: Oct. 6, 1980. Patented: Oct. 19, 1992.
- [39] H. Masuda (Mitsubishi, JP). [Inverter ignition signal generating circuit]. JP 57 091 674 A2. Filed: Nov. 28, 1980. Published: June 7, 1982.
- [40] G. E. Warnaka et al. (Lord Corp., US). Active acoustic attenuator. US 4,473,906. Filed: Dec. 5, 1980. Patented: Sept. 25, 1984. *Related:* CA, DE, FR, GB, JP.
- [41] A. Jones et al. (UK). Method and apparatus for low frequency active attenuation. GB 2 105 948 B. Priority (GB): Aug. 11, 1981. Patented: April 11, 1985. *Related:* AT, AU, EP, JP, NO, US, WO, ZA.
- [42] G. B. B. Chaplin et al. (Sound Attenuators, UK). Method and apparatus for low frequency active attenuation. EP 0.085 691 B1. Priority (GB): Aug. 11, 1981. Patented: June 19, 1985. *Related:* AT, AU, GB, JP, NO, US, WO, ZA.
- [43] G. E. Warnaka et al. (Lord Corp., US). Improvements relating to active acoustic attenuators. GB 2130651 A. Priority (US): Nov. 26, 1982. Published: June 6, 1984. *Related*: DE, FR, JP.
- [44] G. B. B. Chaplin and R. A. Smith (Sound Attenuators, UK). Method and apparatus for cancelling vibrations. GB 2 107 960 B. Filed: Oct. 21, 1981. Patented: Sept. 18, 1985. *Related:* AU, EP, NO, US, WO.
- [45] G. B. B. Chaplin and R. A. Smith (Sound Attenuators, UK). Improved method of an apparatus for cancelling vibrations from a source of repetitive vibrations. GB 2 110 504 B. Priority (GB): Nov. 26, 1981. Patented: Nov. 6, 1985. Related: AU, EP, NO, US, WO, ZA.
- [46] M. Garçonnat and P. Morgand (Thomson-CSF, FR). [Methods and device for attenuating spurious noise]. FR 2533 100 B1. Filed: Sept. 9, 1982. Patented: June 27, 1986. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, US.
- [47] M. Garçonnat (SINTRA-ALCATEL, FR). [Cancelling acoustic and mechanical vibrations]. FR 2 533 057 B1. Filed: Sept. 9, 1982. Patented: May 16, 1986. *Related:* AU, CA, EP.
- [48] D. L. Duttweiler and J. Hartung (AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Adaptive filter including signal path compensation. US 4,731,834. First filed: Oct. 1, 1984. Patented: March 15, 1988. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [49] D. M. Chabries et al. (US). Adaptive noise suppressor. US 4,658,426. Filed: Oct. 10, 1985. Patented: April 14, 1987.
  *Related:* AU, CA, DE, DK, EP, JP.
- [50] J. M. Alcone (Hughes Aircraft, US). Adaptive noise cancellation in a closed loop control system. US 5,157,596. Filed: July 17, 1987. Patented: Oct. 20, 1992. *Related:* DE, EP, JP.
- [51] B. A. Taylor (Contranoise, UK). Transfer function generation for active noise cancellation. GB 2 191 363 B. Priority (GB): Oct. 18, 1985. Patented: Nov. 16, 1988. *Related:* AU, EP, WO.
- [52] B. A. Taylor (Felixstowe, UK). Method of transfer function generation and active noise cancellation in a vibrating system. US 4,947,435. Filed: March 25, 1988. Patented: Aug. 7, 1990. *Related:* CA.
- [53] W. H. Cantrell (Motorola, US). Electronic siren audio notch filter for transmitters. US 4,736,432. Filed: Dec. 9, 1985.
  Patented: April 5, 1988.
- [54] L. J. Eriksson (Nelson Industries, US). Active sound attenuation system with on-line adaptive feedback cancellation. US 4,677,677. Filed: Sept. 19, 1985. Patented: June 30, 1987. *Related:* CA.
- [55] L. J. Eriksson (Nelson Industries, US). Active attenuation system with on-line modeling of speaker, Error Path and Feedback Path. US 4,677,676. Filed: Feb. 11, 1986. Patented: June 30, 1987. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, JP.

- [56] S. J. Elliott et al. (Adaptive Control, UK). Active noise control apparatus. GB 2 203 016 A. Filed: Oct. 7, 1986. Published: Oct. 5, 1988. *Related*: DE, EP, JP, KR, WO.
- [57] P. L. Feintuch (Hughes Aircraft, US). Normalized frequency domain LMS adaptive filter. US 4,939,685. First filed: June 5, 1986. Patented: July 3, 1990. *Related:* CA.
- [58] P.C.W. Sommen et al. (Philips, NL). [Frequency-domain block-adaptive digital filter]. NL 8 601 604 A. Filed: June 20, 1986. Published: Jan. 18, 1988. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, US.
- [59] J. N. Smith (Plessey, UK). Active noise suppression. GB 2 191 063 A. Priority (GB): May 1, 1986. Published: Dec. 2, 1987.
- [60] M.C. Allie and G.S. Bailey (Nelson Industries, US). Active attenuation system with increased dynamic range. US 4,736,431. Filed: Oct. 23, 1986. Patented: April 5, 1988. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [61] Y. Stettiner et al. (DSP Group, US). A method for indicating the presence of speech in an audio signal. US 4,959,865. Priority (IL): Dec. 21, 1987. Patented: Sept. 25, 1990. *Related:* US.
- [62] M. Kobayashi (Oki Electric Industry Co., JP). [Adaptive digital filter system]. JP 63 169 809 A. Filed: Jan. 7, 1987. Published: July 13, 1988. *Related:* CA, EP, US.
- [63] M. Kobayashi (Oki Electric Industry Co., JP). [Adaptive digital filter]. JP 63 169 810 A. Filed: Jan. 7, 1987. Published: July 13, 1988. *Related*: CA, EP, US.
- [64] M. Kobayashi (Oki Electric Industry Co., JP). [Adaptive digital filter]. JP 63 169 811 A. Filed: Jan. 7, 1987. Published: July 13, 1988. *Related*: CA, EP, US.
- [65] H. B. Landgarten and E. W. Ziegler (NCT, US). Monitoring, testing and operator controlling of active noise and vibration cancellation systems. US 4,862,506. Filed: Feb. 24, 1988. Patented: Aug. 29, 1989. *Related:* CA.
- [66] M. C. Allie (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation system with differential filtering. US 4,837,834. Filed: May 4, 1988. Patented: June 6, 1989. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [67] C. F. Ross and G. P. Eatwell (ANVT, US). Active sound and/or vibration control. US 5,365,594. Priority (GB): Aug. 17, 1988. Patented: Nov. 15, 1994. *Related:* WO.
- [68] G. P. Eatwell and C. F. Ross (Topexpress, UK). Signal processing means for identifying systems subject to periodic disturbances. WO 90/04820 A1. Priority (GB): Oct. 26, 1988. Published: May 3, 1990. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP.
- [69] C. F. Ross and G. P. Eatwell (ANVT, US). Signal processing system for sensing a periodic signal in the presence of another interfering signal. US 5,311,446. Priority (GB): Aug. 17, 1988. Patented: May 10, 1994. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP.
- [70] E. W. Ziegler Jr. (NCT, US). Selective active cancellation system for repetitive phenomena. US 4,878,188. Filed: Aug. 30, 1988. Patented: Oct. 31, 1989. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, KR, WO.
- [71] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic noise silencing system]. JP 2070195 A2. Filed: Sept. 5, 1988. Patent: JP 2598483 B2, issued: April 9, 1997. *Related:* DE, FR, GB, IT, US.
- [72] C. F. Ross (NCT, US). Active vibration reducing system. WO 91/10226 A1. Priority (GB): Dec. 30, 1989. Published: July 11, 1991. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, ES, JP.
- [73] L. J. Eriksson and M. C. Allie (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation system with reduced convergence time. US 5,022,082. Filed: Jan. 12, 1990. Patented: June 4, 1991. *Related:* CA.
- [74] A. Sugiyama (NEC Corp., JP). [Method and device for controlling coefficient in adaptive filter and for eliminating noise]. JP 5 075 391 A. Priority (JP): Nov. 1, 1990. Patent JP 2 976 252 B2, issued: Nov. 10, 1999. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [75] S. A. Tretter (University of Maryland, US). Repetitive phenomena arrangement with multiple sensors and actuators. US 5,091,953. Filed: Feb. 13, 1990. Patented: Feb. 25, 1992. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, ES, FI, HU, JP, NO, WO.
- [76] H. Hamada et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic muffling method and its device]. JP 3 274 897 A2. Filed: March 23, 1990. Patent JP 2 573 389 B2, issued: Jan. 22, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [77] L. J. Eriksson (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation system with overall modeling. US 4,987,598. Filed: May 3, 1990. Patented: Jan. 22, 1991. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [78] K.W. Ng and H. A. Leinhos (US Navy). Active sound cancellation system for time-varying Signals. US H 1357. Filed: Aug. 27, 1990. Published: Sept. 6, 1994.
- [79] C. F. Ross and G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Active vibration control system with multiple inputs. WO 92/08224 A1. Priority (GB): Oct. 29, 1990. Published: May 14, 1992. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, JP.
- [80] M.C. Allie et al. (Nelson Industries, US). Active attenuation system with specified output acoustic wave. US 5,172,416. Filed: Nov. 14, 1990. Patented: Dec. 15, 1992. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.

- [81] S. R. Popovich and M. C. Allie (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation and spectral shaping system. US 5,396,561. First filed: Nov. 14, 1990. Patented: March 7, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [82] P. L. Feintuch (Hughes Aircraft, US). Active adaptive noise canceller without training mode. US 5,117,401. Filed: Aug. 16, 1990. Patented: May 26, 1992. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [83] E. W. Ziegler Jr. and J. W. Gardner (NCT, US). In-wire selective active cancellation system. US 5,126,681. Filed: Oct. 16, 1989. Patented: June 30, 1992. *Related:* CA, EP, WO.
- [84] E. W. Ziegler Jr. (NCT, US). Digital virtual earth active cancellation system. US 5,105,377. Filed: Feb. 9, 1990. Patented: April 14, 1992. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [85] K. W. Ng (US Navy). Method and apparatus for signal prediction in a time-varying signal system. US 5,150,414.
  Filed: March 27, 1991. Patented: Sept. 22, 1992.
- [86] D.E. Melton (Nelson Industries, US). Multi-channel active acoustic attenuation system. US 5,216,721. Filed: April 25, 1991. Patented: June 1, 1993. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [87] T. H. Putman and P. D. Hill (Westinghouse, US). Method and apparatus for minimizing vibration. US 5,243,512.
  Filed: May 20, 1991. Patented: Sept. 7, 1993.
- [88] M. Nagami and K. Sako (Fujitsu Ten, JP). [Noise period controller]. JP 4 352 197 A2. Filed: May 30, 1991. Patent JP 7 072 837 B4, issued: Aug. 2, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US, WO.
- [89] K. Terai (Matsushita, JP). [Noise control device]. JP 5 006 185 A2. Filed: June 27, 1991. Patent JP 2 763 418 B2, issued: June 11, 1998. *Related:* EP, US.
- [90] H. Hashimoto et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Silencing device]. JP 4 359 297 A2. Filed: June 6, 1991. Patent JP 2 894 001 B2, issued: May 24, 1999. *Related:* EP, US.
- [91] H. Sawada and H. Sano (Honda, JP). [Active vibration control device]. JP 5 011 783 A2. Filed: July 5, 1991. Patent JP 3 471 370 B2, issued: Dec. 2, 2003. *Related:* DE, GB, US.
- [92] O. Jones and M. C. J. Trinder (ANVC, US). Noise reduction system. WO 93/03479 A1. Priority (GB): July 30, 1991. Published: Feb. 18, 1993. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [93] C.S. Gifford et al. (NCT, US). Hybrid analog/digital vibration control system. US 5,649,018. First filed: July 30, 1991. Patented: July 15, 1997. *Related:* AU, DE, EP, WO.
- [94] M. Eguchi (Sharp, JP). [Adaptive digital filter]. JP 5 067 948 A2. Filed: Feb. 21, 1992. Patent JP 3 089 082 B2, issued: Sept. 18, 2000. *Related*: DE, EP, US.
- [95] S. R. Popovich (Nelson Industries, US). Multi-channel active attenuation system with error signal inputs. US 5,216,722. Filed: Nov. 15, 1991. Patented: June 1, 1993. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [96] A. V. Oppenheim et al. (MIT, US). Active noise reducing. US 5,293,425. Filed: Dec. 3, 1991. Patented: March 8, 1994.
- [97] R. T. Beierle (Hughes Aircraft, US). Multi-channel adaptive canceler. US 5,309,378. First filed: Nov. 18, 1991. Patented: May 3, 1994.
- [98] A. R. D. Curtis (ANVT, US). Active noise cancellation. WO 93/15501 A1. Priority (GB): Jan. 28, 1992. Published: Aug. 5, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [99] J. Melcher (DLR, DE). [Method and facility for the identification of dynamic characteristic quantities]. DE 42 02 578 C2. Filed: Jan. 30, 1992. Patented: June 8, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [100] L. J. Eriksson and M. C. Allie (Nelson Industries, US). Correlated active attenuation system with error and correction signal input. US 5,206,911. Filed: Feb. 11, 1992. Patented: April 27, 1993. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [101] J. Kuusama (Nokia, DE). [Active noise cancellation system]. FI 94 564 C. Filed: Feb. 14, 1992. Patented: Sept. 25, 1995. Related: DE, EP, JP, US.
- [102] H.-K. An et al. (Samsung Electronics, KR). [Noise elimination apparatus]. KR 189961 B1. Filed: April 9, 1992. Published: June 1, 1999. *Related:* JP, US.
- [103] H.-K. An et al. (Samsung Electronics, KR). Noise canceler. US 5,590,206. Priority (KR): April 9, 1992. Patented: Dec. 31, 1996.
- [104] J. I. Chait (ANVT, US). Active cancellation of noise or vibrations. WO 93/21688 A1. Priority (US): April 10, 1992. Published: Oct. 28, 1993. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [105] M. Nagami et al. (Fujitsu Ten, JP). [Noise controller]. JP 6 295 187 A2. Filed: May 26, 1992. Patent JP 2 604 516 B2, issued: April 30, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [106] G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Control system using harmonic filters. WO 94/00911 A1. Filed: June 25, 1992. Published: Jan. 6, 1994. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, US.
- [107] G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Control system for periodic disturbances. WO 94/00930 A1. Filed: June 25, 1992. Published: Jan. 6, 1994. Related: AT, DE, EP, US.

- [108] M. Eguchi et al. (Sharp, JP). [Active control device using adaptive IIR digital filter]. JP 6 028 011 A2. Filed: July 7, 1992. Patent JP 2 989 379 B2. Issued: Dec. 13, 1999. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [109] J. N. Denenberg and R. H. Scott (NCT, US). Variable point sampling. US 5,311,453. Filed: Sept. 11, 1992. Patented: May 10, 1994. Related: WO.
- [110] K. F. Delfosse and S. K. Steenhagen (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation system with power limiting. US 5,278,913. Filed: July 28, 1992. Patented: Jan. 11, 1994. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [111] S. J. Elliott (Adaptive Control, UK). Active sound control systems and reproduction systems. GB 2 270 441 B. Filed: Oct. 5, 1992. Patented: Nov. 15, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [112] G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Sampled-data filter with low delay. WO 94/07212 A1. Filed: Sept. 21, 1992. Published: March 31, 1994. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, ES, US.
- [113] J. M. Alcone (Hughes Aircraft, US). Adaptive noise cancellation. US 5,396,414. Filed: Sept. 25, 1992. Patented: March 7, 1995.
- [114] S. R. Popovich (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation system with error and model copy input. US 5,390,255. First filed: Sept. 29, 1992. Patented: Feb. 14, 1995.
- [115] D. J. Bozich and R. Wagenfeld (Noises Off, US). Active gas turbine (jet) engine noise supression. US 5,386,689. Filed: Oct. 13, 1992. Patented: Feb. 7, 1995. *Related:* EP, IL, WO.
- [116] I. Stothers et al. (Lotus, UK). Adaptive control system. WO 94/09480 A3. Priority (GB): Oct. 21, 1992. Published: June 9, 1994. *Related*: EP, JP, US.
- [117] I. Stothers (Lotus Car, GB). Adaptive control system. GB 2 271 908 B. Filed: Oct. 21, 1992. Patented: May 15, 1996. Related: EP, JP, US, WO.
- [118] I. Stothers (Lotus, UK). Adaptive vibration control system. GB 2 271 909 B. Filed: Oct. 21, 1992. Patented: May 22, 1996. Related: EP, JP, US, WO.
- [119] S. Saruta and Y. Sekiguchi (Toshiba, JP). [Active sound eliminating device]. JP 6 202 669 A2. Filed: Dec. 28, 1992. Published: July 22, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [120] G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Method and system for on-line system identification. US 5,553,153. Filed: Feb. 10, 1993. Patented: Sept. 3, 1996. *Related*: EP, JP, WO.
- [121] S. R. Popovich et al. (Digisonix, US). Active acoustic control system matching model reference. US 5,386,477. Filed: Feb. 11, 1993. Patented: Jan. 31, 1995. *Related*: AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [122] G. Billoud (Matra Cap Systèmes, FR). [Method and apparatus for active damping of vibration]. FR 2701784 B1. Filed: Feb. 18, 1993. Patented: May 12, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [123] P. Enge et al. (Trimble Navigation, US). Adaptive noise cancellation. US 5,465,413. Filed: March 5, 1993. Patented: Nov. 7, 1995.
- [124] G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Frequency domain adaptive control system. US 5,361,303. Filed: April 1, 1993. Patented: Nov. 1, 1994. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [125] A.K. Lo and P.L. Feintuch (Hughes Aircraft, US). Multiple adaptive filter active noise canceller. US 5,425,105. Filed: April 27, 1993. Patented: June 13, 1995. *Related:* CA.
- [126] P. L. Feintuch and A. K. Lo (Hughes Aircraft, US). Non-integer sample delay active noise canceller. US 5,388,080. Filed: April 27, 1993. Patented: Feb. 7, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, KR.
- [127] S. M. Kuo and M. K. Christensen (Caterpillar, US). Error path transfer function modelling in active noise cancellation. WO 94/29848 A1. Priority (US): June 11, 1993. Published: Dec. 22, 1994. *Related:* AU.
- [128] Qun Shen (NCT, US). Single and multiple channel block adaptive methods and apparatus for active sound and vibration control. US 5,416,845. First filed: April 27, 1993. Patented: May 16, 1995. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [129] C. F. Ross and G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Active vibration control system with multiple inputs. US 5,812,682. First filed: June 11, 1993. Patented: Sept. 22, 1998.
- [130] T. Ohashi et al. (Fujitsu, JP). [Estimating method for transfer characteristic of active noise control system]. JP 6 259 085 A2. Filed: March 9, 1993. Patent JP 3 340 496 B2, issued: Nov. 5, 2002. *Related:* EP, US.
- [131] G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Active control system for noise shaping. US 5,418,857. Filed: Sept. 28, 1993. Patented: May 23, 1995. Related: CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [132] J. N. Denenberg and R. V. Sabett (US). Analog noise cancellation system using digital optimizing of variable parameters. US 5,440,642. Filed: Sept. 20, 1993. Patented: Aug. 8, 1995. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [133] R. Freymann et al. (BMW, DE). [Method for the active sound cancellation in a vehicle interior space]. DE 43 34 942 A1. Filed: Oct. 13, 1993. Published: April 20, 1995. *Related:* EP.

- [134] M. Eguchi and F. Kokubo (Sharp, JP). [Method and device for active control using lattice type filter]. JP 7 110 693 A2. Filed: Oct. 13, 1993. Patent JP 2 872 547 B2, issued: March 17, 1999. Related: GB, US.
- [135] R. Freymann et al. (BMW, DE). [Device for the active cancellation of tonal sound]. DE 43 34 943 A1. Filed: Oct. 13, 1993. Published: April 20, 1995.
- [136] N. J. Doelman (TNO, NL). [System for the generation of a time variant signal for suppression of a primary signal with minimisation of a prediction error]. NL 9 302 076 A. Filed: Nov. 30, 1993. Published: June 16, 1995. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, US.
- [137] M. W. R. M. van Overbeek (TNO, NL). [System for the rapid convergence of an adaptive filter in the generation of a time variant signal for cancellation of a primary signal]. NL 9 302 013 A. Filed: Nov. 19, 1993. Published: June 16, 1995. *Related*: AT, DE, EP, ES, GR, US.
- [138] M. C. Allie and S. R. Popovich (Digisonix, US). Active adaptive control system with spectral leak. US 5,586,189. Filed: Dec. 14, 1993. Patented: Dec. 17, 1996. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [139] A. Hirano (NEC, JP). [Method and device for identifying system by adaptive filter]. JP 7 202 765 A2. Filed: Dec. 28, 1993. Patent JP 3 008 763 B2, issued: Feb. 14, 2000. *Related*: CA, DE, EP, US.
- [140] B.M. Helf and P.L. Chu (PictureTel Corp., US). Reduction of background noise for speech enhancement. US 5,550,924. First filed: July 7, 1993. Patented: Aug. 27, 1996. *Related*: DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [141] N. Seki et al. (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Howling suppressing device]. JP 7 143 034 A. Filed: Nov. 19, 1993. Patent JP 3 235 925 B2, issued: Dec. 4, 2001. *Related:* US.
- [142] J. J. Trantow and B. M. Finn (Digisonix, US). Active adaptive control system with weight update selective leakage. US 5,586,190. Filed: June 23, 1994. Patented: Dec. 17, 1996. *Related:* CA.
- [143] S.C. Southward et al. (Lord Corp., US). Active control of noise and vibration. US 5,627,896. Filed: June 18, 1994. Patented: May 6, 1997. *Related:* GB.
- [144] G. P. Eatwell and Y. J. Yan (NCT, US). Tracking filter for periodic signals. US 5,745,581. Priority (US): Jan. 27, 1994. Patented: April 28, 1998. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [145] G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Adaptive feedforward and feedback control system. US 5,475,761. Filed: Jan. 31, 1994. Patented: Dec. 12, 1995. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [146] I. Stothers and S. J. Elliott (Lotus Car, GB). Time domain adaptive control system for active noise cancellation. GB 2 287 851 A. Filed: March 25, 1994. Published: Sept. 27, 1995. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [147] I. M. Stothers (Lotus Car, GB). Adaptive control system for controlling repetitive phenomena. GB 2 293 898 B. Filed: Oct. 3, 1994. Patented: Oct. 14, 1998. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [148] M. K. Ferguson et al. (Lord Corp., US). Active noise and vibration cancellation system. US 5,619,581. Filed: May 18, 1994. Patented: April 8, 1997. *Related:* GB.
- [149] M. C. Heath and S. C. Southward (Lord Corp., US). Process and apparatus for providing an analog waveform synchronized with an input signal. US 5,487,027. Filed: May 18, 1994. Patented: Jan. 23, 1996. *Related:* GB.
- [150] D. G. Pedersen and T. A. Laak (Digisonix, US). Coherence optimized active adaptive control system. US 5,680,337. First filed: May 23, 1994. Patented: Oct. 21, 1997. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP.
- [151] J. V. Warner et al. (Digisonix, US). Multi-filter-set active adaptive control system. US 5,557,682. Filed: July 12, 1994. Patented: Sept. 17, 1996. *Related:* CA.
- [152] H. Sano et al. (Honda, JP). [Active vibration control device]. JP 8 030 278 A2. Filed: July 14, 1994. Published: Feb. 2, 1996. Related: US.
- [153] M. A. Swinbanks (GEC Marconi, UK). An apparatus for cancelling vibrations. GB 2 291 559 B. Priority (GB): July 18, 1994. Patented: Nov. 19, 1997. *Related*: CA, EP, JP, US.
- [154] R. A. Shoureshi (Cooper Tire & Rubber, US). An active vibration control method and apparatus. US 6,137,886. Filed: July 18, 1994. Patented: Oct. 24, 2000. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [155] S. R. Popovich (Digisonix, US). Adaptive control system with a corrected-phase filtered error update. US 5,590,205. Filed: Aug. 25, 1994. Patented: Dec. 31, 1996.
- [156] T. A. Laak (Digisonix, US). Active attenuation system with on-line modeling of feedback path. US 5,621,803. First filed: Sept. 2, 1994. Patented: April 15, 1997.
- [157] K. Townsend et al. (North Carolina State University, US). Apparatus and method for echo characterization of a communication channel. US 5,577,116. Filed: Sept. 16, 1994. Patented: Nov. 19, 1996. *Related:* WO.
- [158] R. Deibitsudo (ATR, JP). [Adaptive mutual correlation device]. JP 8101693 A2. Filed: Sept. 30, 1994. Patent JP 8101693, issued: May 13, 1998.
- [159] D. Rainton (ATR Labs., JP). Adaptive cross correlator apparatus comprising adaptive controller for adaptively adjusting transfer functions of two filters. US 5,724,485. Priority (JP): Sept. 30, 1994. Patented: March 3, 1998.

- [160] D. E. Melton et al. (Digisonix, US). System for developing and operating an active sound and vibration control system. US 5,627,747. Filed: Oct. 13, 1994. Patented: May 6, 1997.
- [161] S. Shimauchi et al. (NTT, JP). [Echo cancelling method for multi-channel voice communication conference]. JP 8181 639 A. Priority (JP): Oct. 26, 1994. Patent JP 3 397 269 B2, issued: April 14, 2003. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [162] S. Shimauchi et al. (NTT, JP). [Echo cancelling method and device for multi-channel voice communication conference]. JP 9 312 597 A. Filed: Sept. 26, 1995. Patent JP 3 402 427 B2, issued: May 6, 2003. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [163] P. L. De Léon II and D. R. Morgan (Lucent Technologies, US). Adaptive finite impulse response filtering method and apparatus. US 5,553,014. Filed: Oct. 31, 1994. Patented: Sept. 3, 1996. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [164] S. C. Southward and L. R. Miller (Lord Corp., US). Reduction of computational burden of adaptively updating control filter(s) in Active Systems. US 5,745,580. Filed: Nov. 4, 1994. Patented: April 28, 1998. *Related:* DE, EP, WO.
- [165] S. D. Goodman et al. (Digisonix, US). Transducer daisy chain. US 5,570,425. Filed: Nov. 7, 1994. Patented: Oct. 29, 1996.
- [166] R. B. Coleman et al. (BBN, US). Active noise and vibration control system accounting for time varying plant, using residual signal to create probe signal. US 5,796,849. Filed: Nov. 8, 1994. Patented: Aug. 18, 1998. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [167] M. P. Nowak and B. D. Van Veen (Digisonix, US). Adaptive control system with selectively constrained output and adaptation. US 5,561,598. Filed: Nov. 16, 1994. Patented: Oct. 1, 1996.
- [168] I. Bourmeyster and F. Lejay (Alcatel Mobile Phones, Paris, FR). [Method and device for suppressing background noise in a voice signal and corresponding system with echo cancellation]. FR 2726 392 B1. Filed: Oct. 28, 1994. Patented: Jan. 10, 1997. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, EP, FI, JP, NZ, US.
- [169] J. W. Lechleider (Bell Communications Research, US). Generalized noise cancellation in a communication channel. US 5,621,768. Filed: Nov. 29, 1994. Patented: April 15, 1997.
- [170] S. R. Popovich (Digisonix, US). Adaptive tonal control system with constrained output and adaptation. US 5,633,795. Filed: Jan. 6, 1995. Patented: May 27, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [171] S. R. Popovich (Digisonix, US). Adaptive control system with efficiently constrained adaptation. US 6,094,601. Filed: Oct. 1, 1997. Patented: July 25, 2000. *Related:* AU, EP, WO.
- [172] S.-D. Kim and T.-S. Kim (Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology). Adaptive signal processor using Newton/LMS algorithm. US 5,682,341. Filed: April 19, 1995. Patented: Oct. 28, 1997.
- [173] R. Schirmacher and D. Guicking. Theory and implementation of a broadband active noise control system using a fast RLS algorithm. Acta Acustica 2 (1994) 291–300.
- [174] S.R. Popovich (Digisonix, US). Fast adapting control system and method. US 5,602,929. Filed: Jan. 30, 1995. Patented: Feb. 11, 1997.
- [175] G. P. Eatwell and K. P. Davis (NCT, US). Adaptive speech filter. US 5,768,473. Filed: Jan. 30, 1995. Patented: June 16, 1998. Related: CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [176] K. Bendel et al. (Bosch, DE). [Acoustic feedback compensating device in an active sound dampening system]. DE 195 05 610 A1. Filed: Feb. 18, 1995. Published: Aug. 22, 1996. *Related:* WO.
- [177] K. Bendel et al. (Bosch, DE). [Distortion correction device for a path of propagation in transmission systems]. DE 195 05 611 A1. Filed: Feb. 18, 1995. Patent DE 596 04 046 C0, issued: Feb. 3, 2000. *Related:* EP, ES, JP, WO.
- [178] C. T. Ledinh and J. Xu (Centre de Recherche Industrielle du Québec, CA). Apparatus and method for adaptively attenuating noise or vibration. WO 97/07497 A1. Priority (US): Aug. 11, 1995. Published: Feb. 27, 1997. Related: AU.
- [179] J. Pan and A. J. Brammer (National Research Council of Canada). Digital feed-forward active noise control system. US 5,852,667. First filed: March 7, 1995. Patented: Dec. 22, 1998. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [180] N. R. Shanbhag (Lucent Technologies, US). Pipelined adaptive IIR filter. US 5,745,396. Filed: April 28, 1995. Patented: April 28, 1998. *Related:* JP.
- [181] M.C. Allie et al. (Digisonix, US). Active adaptive selective control system. US 5,715,320. Filed: Aug. 21, 1995. Patented: Feb. 3, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [182] S.K. Steenhagen et al. (Digisonix, US). Frequency selective active adaptive control system. US 5,710,822. Filed: Nov. 7, 1995. Patented: Jan. 20, 1998. *Related*: CA, DE, EP.
- [183] K. Torkkola (Motorola, US). Methods and apparatus for blind separation of delayed and filtered sources. US 5,675,659. Filed: Dec. 12, 1995. Patented: Oct. 7, 1997. *Related:* AU, EP, JP.
- [184] G. Erten and F. M. Salem (Intersignal LLC, US). Continuously adaptive dynamic signal separation and recovery system. US 6,236,862 B1. First filed: Dec. 16, 1996. Patented: May 22, 2001.

- [185] S. D. Blanchard and L. O. Lester (Motorola, US). Method and apparatus for real-time adaptive interference cancellation in dynamic environments. US 5,612,978. Filed: May 30, 1995. Patented: March 18, 1997.
- [186] S. Ikeda (NEC Corp., JP). [Noise suppressor]. JP 8 241 086 A. Filed: March 3, 1995. Patent JP 2 760 373 B2, issued: May 28, 1998. *Related*: CA, EP, US.
- [187] S. Ikeda (NEC Corp., JP). [Method and device for noise elimination]. JP 9 018 291 A. Filed: June 30, 1995. Patent JP 2 685 031 B2, issued: Dec. 3, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP, US.
- [188] S. Ikeda (NEC Corp., JP). [Method and device for erasing noise]. JP 10215193 A. Filed: Jan. 29, 1997. Patent JP 2874679 B2, issued: March 24, 1999. *Related*: AU, CA, EP, US.
- [189] S. Ikeda (NEC Corp., JP). [Noise eliminating device]. JP 10215194 A. Filed: Jan. 29, 1997. Patent JP 2930101 B2, issued: Aug. 3, 1999. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, US.
- [190] F. Rosenthal (Annadale, VA, US). Method and apparatus for multi-channel active control of noise or vibration or of multi-channel separation of a signal from a noisy environment. US 5,917,919. Filed: Dec. 3, 1996. Patented: June 29, 1999.
- [191] M. H. Silverberg and M. A. Zuniga (Lucent Technologies, US). Feedback method of noise control having multiple inputs and ouputs. US 5,953,428. Filed: April 30, 1996. Patented: Sept. 14, 1999. *Related:* EP.
- [192] S. C. Southward and L. R. Miller (Lord Corporation, US). Active noise or vibration control (ANVC) system and method including enhanced reference signals. EP 0814456 A3. Priority (US): June 17, 1996. Published: Oct. 7, 1998.
- [193] J. Minkoff (Lucent Technologies, US). Multidimensional adaptive system. US 5,926,405. Filed: June 24, 1996. Patented: July 20, 1999. *Related:* GB.
- [194] G. P. Eatwell (US). Noise reduction filter. US 5,742,694. Filed: July 12, 1996. Patented: April 21, 1998. Related: EP, WO.
- [195] H. Sano and S. Adachi (Honda, JP). Active noise-suppressive control method and apparatus. US 5,692,055. Filed: Sept. 24, 1996. Patented: Nov. 25, 1997.
- [196] E. A. Wan (Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology, US). Multi-actuator system for active sound and vibration cancellation. US 5,978,489. First filed: May 5, 1997. Patented: Nov. 2, 1999.
- [197] K. Goto (Tokai Rubber Industries, JP). [Adaptive control method of cyclic signal]. JP 11145780 A. Filed: Nov. 7, 1997. Published: May 28, 1999. *Related:* DE, GB, US.
- [198] H. Van Hamme (Lernout & Hauspie Speech Products, BE). Accelerated convolution noise elimination. US 6,044,340. First filed: Feb. 21, 1997. Patented: March 28, 2000. Related: AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [199] J. Vartiainen (Nokia, FI). [A method and an arrangement for attenuating noise in a space by generating antinoise]. FI 973 455 A. Filed: Aug. 22, 1997. Published: Feb. 23, 1999. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [200] N. D. Hemkumar (Cirrus Logic, US). Full-duplex speakerphone circuit including a supplementary echo suppressor. US 6,282,176 B1. Filed: March 20, 1998. Patented: Aug. 28, 2001.
- [201] K. Terai et al. (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Noise controller]. JP 2000 089 770 A. Priority (JP): July 16, 1998. Published: March 31, 2000. *Related:* EP, US.
- [202] K. Terai et al. (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Noise controller]. JP 2000 347 671 A. Priority (JP): July 16, 1998. Patent JP 3 359 301 B2, issued: Dec. 24, 2002. *Related:* EP, US.
- [203] G. P. Eatwell (Tenneco Automotive Operating Co., US). Acoustic system identification using acoustic masking. US 6,594,365 B1. Filed: Nov. 18, 1998. Patented: July 15, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [204] G. W. Elko and D. B. Ward (Lucent Technologies, US). Acoustic crosstalk cancellation system. US 6,424,719 B1. Filed: July 29, 1999. Patented: July 23, 2002. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [205] P. Grundström and F. Ehrenstråle (Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, SE). Methods and apparatus for improved sub-band adaptive filtering in echo cancellation systems. US 6,628,781 B1. Filed: June 3, 1999. Patented: Sept. 30, 2003. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [206] T. A. Laak (Digisonix, US). Active acoustic attenuation system in which regressor filter is determined from overall system test model. EP 1 107 225 A3. Priority (US): Dec. 1, 1999. Published: May 2, 2003.
- [207] T.A. Laak (Digisonix, US). Active acoustic attenuation system based on overall system test modeling. EP 1 107 226 A3. Priority (US): Dec. 1, 1999. Published: May 2, 2003.
- [208] K. G. Moore et al. (Acoustic Technologies, US). Reducing acoustic feedback with digital modulation. US 6,252,967 B1. Filed: Jan. 21, 1999. Patented: June 26, 2001. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [209] S. L. Thomasson (Gilbert, AZ, US). Apparatus and method for reducing acoustic feedback. US 5,412,734. Filed: Sept. 13, 1993. Patented: May 2, 1995. *Related:* AU, EP, WO.

- [210] S. L. Thomasson (Gilbert, AZ, US). Digital apparatus for reducing acoustic feedback. US 5,649,019. First filed: Sept. 13, 1993. Patented: July 15, 1997.
- [211] M. Donaldson (Slab DSP, NZ). Active noise reduction system. US 2001/0036283 A1. Priority (NZ): March 7, 2000. Published: Nov. 1, 2001. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [212] A. N. Kotoulas et al. (General Dynamics Advanced Technology Systems, US). Neural net controller for noise and vibration reduction. US 6,493,689 B2. Filed: Dec. 29, 2000. Patented: Dec. 10, 2002. *Related:* WO.
- [213] A. N. Kotoulas et al. (General Dynamics Advanced Technology Systems, US). Neural net controller for noise and vibration reduction. US 2004/0030664 A1. First filed: Dec. 29, 2000. Published: Feb. 12, 2004.
- [214] X. Fang et al. (Sonic Innovations, US). Method and apparatus for minimizing latency in digital signal processing systems. US 6,717,537 B1. First filed: June 26, 2001. Patented: April 6, 2004. *Related:* CA, EP, WO.
- [215] T. S. Parramore (Secretary of State for Defence, UK). Improvements in or relating to dynamic silencing systems. GB 1 357 330 A. Filed: July 1, 1970. Published: June 19, 1974.
- [216] M. A. Swinbanks (National Research Development, UK). Active control of sound waves. GB 1456018 A. Filed: Nov. 24, 1972. Published: Nov. 17, 1976. *Related:* DE, FR, HK, JP, US.
- [217] R. L. Wanke (Chicago, IL, US). Acoustic abatement method and apparatus. US 3,936,606. First filed: Dec. 7, 1971. Patented: Feb. 3, 1976.
- [218] H. Lawson-Tancred (UK). Preventing or reducing transmission of sound. GB 1 492 963 B1. Filed: Feb. 22, 1974. Patented: Nov. 23, 1977. *Related:* DE.
- [219] L. A. Walker et al. (University of Leeds, UK). Method of attenuating longitudinal compression waves traveling in a gas filled duct. GB 1 512 014 A. Filed: June 21, 1974. Published: May 24, 1978. *Related:* AU, BE, DE, FR, NO, SE, ZA.
- [220] T. Angelini et al. (ANVAR, FR). [Active acoustic sound absorbers for ducts]. FR 2 329 929 B1. Filed: Oct. 31, 1975. Patented: June 12, 1981.
- [221] B. Coxon and H.G. Leventhall (National Research Development, UK). Attenuation of sound waves in ducts. GB 1583758 A. Filed: Oct. 1, 1976. Published: Feb. 4, 1981. *Related:* US.
- [222] M. A. Swinbanks (National Research Development, UK). Active control of sound waves. US 4,171,465. Filed: Aug. 8, 1978. Patented: Oct. 16, 1979.
- [223] M. A. Swinbanks (National Research Development, UK). Signal processing systems. GB 2 054 999 B. Priority: June 28, 1979. Patented: Sept. 7, 1983. *Related:* JP, US.
- [224] A. G. Bose (Bose, US). Electroacoustical audible noise reducing apparatus. EP 0 040 462 A1. Priority (US): May 16, 1980. Published: Nov. 11, 1981. *Related:* JP.
- [225] J. Krüger et al. (Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft, DE). [Reactive sound absorber]. WO 97/43754 A1. Priority (DE): May 14, 1996. Published: Nov. 20, 1997. *Related*:AT, EP, US.
- [226] <> W. Hansen (Deutsche Montan Technologie, DE). [Multichannel anti-noise device]. DE 10061760 C1. Filed: Dec. 12, 2000. Patented: June 20, 2002. *Related:* EP.
- [227] C. F. Ross (National Research Development, UK). Method and apparatus for active sound control. GB 2 097 629 B. Priority (GB): April 15, 1981. Patented: Sept. 26, 1984. *Related:* US.
- [228] M. A. Swinbanks (National Research Development, UK). Attenuation of sound waves. GB 2154 830 B. Priority (GB): Feb. 21, 1984. Patented: Oct. 7, 1987. *Related:* US.
- [229] A. Albarazin et al. (Electricité de France and CNRS, FR). [Method and device for controlling an electroacoustic chain]. FR 2 55 903 B1. Filed: Aug. 19, 1983. Patented: July 25, 1986.
- [230] M. A. Swinbanks (National Research Development, UK). Attenuation of sound waves. GB 2142 091 B. Priority (GB): June 23, 1983. Patented: March 25, 1987. *Related:* JP, US.
- [231] M. A. Swinbanks (National Research Development, UK). Damping for directional sound cancellation. GB 2160742 B. Filed: June 21, 1984. Patented: Feb. 3, 1988. *Related:* US.
- [232] A. Mascioli (Rome, IT). A silencer device for exhausts of motors and similar, with acoustic interference. WO 89/03472 A3. Priority (IT): Oct. 12, 1987. Published: May 5, 1989. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, US.
- [233] J. W. Edwards and N. M. J. Dekker (General Electric, UK). Active noise control. GB 2218 301 B. Filed: April 29, 1988. Patented: June 3, 1992. *Related:* EP, HK, US, ZA.
- [234] H. Hamada et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic silencer system]. JP 62164400 A2. Filed: Jan. 14, 1986. Patent JP 5026200 B4, issued: April 15, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [235] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic silence system]. JP 1058200 A. Filed: Aug. 28, 1987. Patent JP 7027389 B4, issued: March 29, 1995. JP 2006162 C, issued: Jan. 10, 1996.

- [236] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Multi-point electronic silence system]. JP 1058199 A. Filed: Aug. 28, 1987. Published: March 6, 1989.
- [237] L. J. Eriksson et al. (Nelson Industries, US). Hybrid active silencer. US 4,665,549. Filed: Dec. 18, 1985. Patented: May 12, 1987. Related: AT, CA, DE, EP.
- [238] M. T. Zuroski (Digisonix, US). Integral active and passive silencer. US 5,513,266. Filed: April 29, 1994. Patented: April 30, 1996. *Related:* CA.
- [239] D. G. Smith et al. (NCT, US). Active duct silencer kit. WO 96/02910 A1. Priority (US): July 15, 1994. Published: Feb. 1, 1996.
- [240] C. Carme et al. (Technofirst, FR). [Active acoustic attenuation device for use in a duct, particularly for sound-proofing a ventilation and/or air-conditioning network]. FR 2740599 B1. Filed: Oct. 30, 1995. Patented: Dec. 19, 1997. Related: AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, WO.
- [241] S. Saito et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic Silencer]. JP 9 178 256 A. Filed: Dec. 28, 1995. Patent JP 3 523 401 B2, issued: April 26, 2004.
- [242] S. Uosukainen et al. (VTT, FI). [Method and equipment for attenuating sound in a duct]. FI 105 603 B. Filed: Sept. 12, 1997. Patented: Sept. 15, 2000. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [243] T. Nygren et al. (ABB Fläkt, SE). [Sound attenuator]. SE 2000 03350 A. Filed: Sept. 18, 2000. Published: Sept. 18, 2000. Related: EP, WO.
- [244] W. G. Culbreath et al. (US). Method and apparatus for active cancellation of noise in a liquid-filled pipe using an adaptive filter. US H 1445. Filed: Sept. 30, 1992. Published: June 6, 1995.
- [245] M. Tsukuda et al. (JP). [Muffler]. JP 8 069 291 A. Filed: Aug. 27, 1994. Published: March 12, 1996.
- [246] R. Gotoda et al. (Hitachi Plant Engineering and Construction Co., JP). [Noise elimination calculating device for air-conditioning duct system]. JP 8 123 434 A. Filed: Oct. 24, 1994. Patent JP 3 412 718 B2, issued: June 3, 2003.
- [247] R. Gotoda et al. (Hitachi Plant Engineering and Construction Co., JP). [Electronic noise elimination system]. JP 8 263 071 A. Filed: March 27, 1995. Patent JP 3 417 126 B2, issued: June 16, 2003.
- [248] I. Kakubari et al. (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Active noise controller]. JP 2002 186 085 A. Filed: Dec. 15, 2000. Published: June 28, 2002. *Related*: EP, US.
- [249] A. Graefenstein (Filterwerk Mann + Hummel GmbH, DE). Resonator. DE 102 01 494 A1. Filed: Jan. 17, 2002. Published: July 31, 2003. *Related:* EP, US.
- [250] W. P. Patrick (US). Active noise control using phased-array active resonators. US 5,778,081. Filed: March 4, 1996. Patented: July 7, 1998.
- [251] C. A. Jacobson and D. C. McCormick (United Technologies, US). Nonlinear reduced-phase filters for active noise control. US 5,828,760. Filed: June 26, 1996. Patented: Oct. 27, 1998. *Related:* AU, BR, DE, EP, ES, JP, NO, WO.
- [252] L. J. Eriksson et al. (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation system for higher order mode nonuniform sound field in a duct. US 4,815,139. Filed: March 16, 1988. Patented: March 21, 1989. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [253] N. M. J. Dekker et al. (General Electric, UK). Active noise control. GB 2187063 B. Priority (GB): Feb. 14, 1986. Patented: Nov. 15, 1989. *Related:* HK, US.
- [254] S. D. Goodman and K. G. Burlage (Nelson Industries, US). Acoustic system suppressing detection of higher order modes. US 5,283,834. Filed: Aug. 26, 1991. Patented: Feb. 1, 1994.
- [255] M. A. Swinbanks (National Research Development, UK). Reducing noise by cancellation. GB 2 155 734 B. Priority (GB): March 7, 1984. Patented: Dec. 16, 1987. *Related:* US.
- [256] S. D. Goodman (Digisonix, US). Active acoustic attenuation system that decouples wave modes propagating in a waveguide. US 5,420,932. Filed: Aug. 23, 1993. Patented: May 30, 1995.
- [257] G. E. Warnaka (Applied Acoustic Research, US). Active noise control. US 5,511,127. Filed: April 5, 1991. Patented: April 23, 1996. *Related:* CA, EP, WO.
- [258] K. Matsumoto et al. (Hitachi Plant Engineering and Construction Co., JP). [Cell type electronic noise reduction system]. JP 9160567 A. Filed: Dec. 5, 1995. Published: June 20, 1997.
- [259] K. Matsumoto et al. (Hitachi Plant Engineeirng and Construction Co., JP). [Electronic Silencer]. JP 10153995 A. Filed: Nov. 22, 1996. Patent JP 3 422 014 B2, issued: June 30, 2003.
- [260] G. R. Sinambari (IBS, Ludwigshafen, DE). [Active sound attenuator]. DE 196 44 085 C2. Filed: Oct. 31, 1996. Patented: Oct. 21, 1999.
- [261] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Sound eliminating device]. JP 6161475 A. Filed: Nov. 20, 1992. Patent JP 3 395 225 B2, issued: April 7, 2003.

- [262] H. Fuchs, P. Leistner, and J. Krüger (Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft, DE). [Noise absorber for air duct in building glazing]. DE 197 30 355 C1. Filed: July 15, 1997. Patented: March 18, 1999.
- [263] S. Kumar et al. (Echlin, Inc., and Dana Corp., US). Suppression of fluid-borne noise. WO 98/55060 A1. Priority (US): June 6, 1997. Published: Dec. 10, 1998. *Related*: US.
- [264] M. J. Scherrer (FR). [Method of exhaust noise cancellation for internal combustion engines]. FR 1 190 317. Filed: Jan. 21, 1958. Patented: March 31, 1959.
- [265] R. J. Wurm and A. A. Bergson (Quest Electronics, US). Noise cancellation. US 3,826,870. Filed: March 20, 1970. Patented: July 30, 1974.
- [266] M. Kato et al. (Nippondenso Co., JP). Active silencer. US 4,805,733. Filed: July 7, 1987. Patented: Feb. 21, 1989.
- [267] B. M. Fallon (Tenneco, US). Gas silencing system with controlling sound attenuation. US 4,913,260. Filed: Jan. 11, 1988. Patented: April 3, 1990.
- [268] I. Borchers and L. Schauwecker (Dornier, DE). [Method and device for active noise control of gas flows]. DE 196 17 465 A1. Filed: May 2, 1996. Patented: May 20, 1999. *Related*: EP, JP.
- [269] E. W. Ziegler Jr. and J. W. Gardner (NCT, US). Active sound attenuation system for engine exhaust systems and the like. US 5,097,923. First filed: Feb. 19, 1988. Patented: March 24, 1992. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, DE, EP, JP, KR, RU, WO.
- [270] Y. Takemori and K. Ito (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Improving device of exhaust tone for automobile]. JP 3 279 044 A. Filed: March 28, 1990. Published: Dec. 10, 1991.
- [271] Y. Takemori and K. Ito (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Automotive exhaust sound improving device]. JP 3 279 045 A. Filed: March 28, 1990. Published: Dec. 10, 1991.
- [272] Y. Takemori and K. Ito (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Exhaust noise improving device for automobile]. JP 4056642 A. Filed: June 26, 1990. Published: Feb. 24, 1992.
- [273] Y. Takemori and K. Ito (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Exhaust noise improving device for automobile]. JP 4056643 A. Filed: June 26, 1990. Published: Feb. 24, 1992.
- [274] M. Nakamura et al. (Hitachi and Nissan, JP). Apparatus for reducing noise in a closed space having divergence detector. US 5,809,152. Priority (JP): July 11, 1991. Patented: Sept. 15, 1998.
- [275] M. Nakamura et al. (Hitachi and Nissan, JP). [Active muffler device of compartment noise]. JP 5 303 386 A. Filed: April 28, 1992. Published: Nov. 16, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [276] K. Arai and Y. Takemori (Calsonic, JP). [Active Silencer]. JP 8044372 A. Filed: July 26, 1994. Published: Feb. 16, 1996.
- [277] K. Arai and Y. Takemori (Calsonic, JP). [Active Silencer]. JP 8044373 A. Filed: July 26, 1994. Published: Feb. 16, 1996.
- [278] K. Arai and Y. Takemori (Calsonic, JP). [Active Type Muffler]. JP 8044374 A. Filed: July 26, 1994. Published: Feb. 16, 1996.
- [279] S. G. Heuser (US). Noise attenuating apparatus. US 6,024,189. Filed: Aug. 20, 1997. Patented: Feb. 15, 2000. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [280] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active muffler]. JP 8 319 816 A. Filed: May 24, 1995. Published: Dec. 3, 1996.
- [281] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active type muffler]. JP 9 090 961 A. Filed: Sept. 21, 1995. Published: April 4, 1997.
- [282] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active type silencer]. JP 9166987 A. Filed: Dec. 18, 1995. Published: June 24, 1997.
- [283] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active noise eliminator]. JP 9 166 988 A. Filed: Dec. 18, 1995. Published: June 24, 1997.
- [284] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active type silencer]. JP 9166989 A. Filed: Dec. 18, 1995. Published: June 24, 1997.
- [285] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active noise eliminator]. JP 9166990 A. Filed: Dec. 18, 1995. Published: June 24, 1997.
- [286] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active silencer]. JP 9218686 A. Filed: Feb. 9, 1996. Published: Aug. 19, 1997.
- [287] F. Nagel (Zell, DE). [Device and method for reducing the noise emissions of internal combustion engines and for diagnosing the same]. DE 198 32 979 C1. Filed: July 27, 1998. Patented: Nov. 4, 1999. Related: AU, EP, US, WO.
- [288] F. Nagel (Zell, DE). [Device and method for reducing the noise emissions of jet propulsion engines]. DE 198 32 963 C1. Filed: July 22, 1998. Patented: Dec. 19, 1999. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, EP, JP, US, WO.

- [289] F. Nagel (Zell, DE). [Apparatus and method for active reduction of the noise emission from jet engines and for jet engine diagnosis]. DE 198 43 615 C2. Filed: Sept. 23, 1998. Patented: Feb. 1, 2001. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [290] Y. Takemori (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active silencer]. JP 7 064 570 A. Filed: Aug. 24, 1993. Published: March 10, 1995.
- [291] J. C. Shipps and C. J. Renz (Tenneco Automotive, US). Modular active silencer with port dish. US 6,072,880. Filed: Feb. 27, 1998. Patented: June 6, 2000. *Related:* EP.
- [292] C. F. Ross et al. (NCT, US). Active control of machine performance. WO 91/13243 A1. Priority (GB): Feb. 21, 1990. Published: Sept. 5, 1991. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, DK, EP, JP, US.
- [293] J.A. Cain et al. (NCT, US). Electronic muffler. US 5,457,749. First filed: April 9, 1990. Patented: Oct. 10, 1995.
- [294] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Active noise control system with two stage conditoning. US 5,063,598. Filed: April 25, 1990. Patented: Nov. 5, 1991. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [295] E. R. Geddes and T. A. Todd (Ford, US). Electronically tuned intake manifold. US 5,048,470. Filed: Dec. 24, 1990. Patented: Sept. 17, 1991. *Related*: CA, EP.
- [296] S. E. Brackett (Siemens Automotive, CA). Engine intake manifold tuning by active noise control. US 5,176,114. Filed: April 20, 1992. Patented: Jan. 5, 1993.
- [297] C. Eghtesadi (NCT, US). Adaptive multifrequency reactive muffler. WO 93/21428 A1. Filed: April 15, 1992. Published: Oct. 28, 1993. *Related:* AT, DE, DK, EP, ES.
- [298] C. D. Bremigan (Nelson Industries, US). Active acoustic attenuation mixing chamber. US 5,044,464. Filed: Jan. 23, 1990. Patented: Sept. 3, 1991. *Related:* CA.
- [299] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Active muffler transducer arrangement. US 5,119,902. Filed: April 25, 1990. Patented: June 9, 1992. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [300] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Dual bandpass secondary source. US 5,319,165. First filed: April 25, 1990. Patented: June 7, 1994. Related: DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [301] E.R. Geddes (Ford, US). Dual bandpass secondary source. US 5,432,857. First filed: April 25, 1990. Patented: July 11, 1995.
- [302] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Active muffler with dynamic tuning. US 5,060,271. Filed: May 4, 1990. Patented: Oct. 22, 1991. Related: CA, DE, EP.
- [303] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Internal ported band pass enclosure for sound cancellation. US 5,229,556. First filed: April 25, 1990. Patented: July 20, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [304] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Protective anc loudspeaker membrane. US 5,233,137. First filed: April 25, 1990. Patented: Aug. 3, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [305] L. J. Eriksson et al. (Nelson Industries, US). Acoustic system with transducer and venturi. US 5,088,575. Filed: Sept. 13, 1990. Patented: Feb. 18, 1992. *Related:* CA.
- [306] J. J. Cain et al. (ANVT, US). Single cavity automobile muffler. US 5,272,286. First filed: April 9, 1990. Patented: Dec. 21, 1993. Related: AU, CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [307] Y. Takemori and K. Ito (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Muffler system of exhaust system for vehicle]. JP 4 272 417 A. Filed: Feb. 27, 1991. Patent JP 2 836 645 B2, issued: Dec. 14, 1998.
- [308] Y. Takemori and K. Ito (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Muffler system of exhaust system for vehicle]. JP 4 272 418 A. Filed: Feb. 27, 1991. Published: Sept. 29, 1992.
- [309] Y. Takemori et al. (Calsonic Corp., JP). [Active type muffler for automobile]. JP 6 280 540 A. Filed: March 24, 1993. Published: Oct. 4, 1994.
- [310] O. Jones and M.C. Trinder (ANVT, US). Muffler. WO 93/05282 A1. Priority (GB): Aug. 29, 1991. Published: March 18, 1993. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, US.
- [311] A. J. Langley (NCT, US). Actively sound reduced muffler having a Venturi effect configuration. WO 93/09334 A1. Filed: Oct. 30, 1991. Published: May 13, 1993. *Related:* CA, US.
- [312] A. J. Langley (NCT, US). Actively sound reduced muffler having a Venturi effect configuration. US 5,600,106. First filed: Oct. 30, 1991. Patented: Feb. 4, 1997. *Related:* WO.
- [313] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Transducer flux optimization. US 5,210,805. Filed: April 6, 1992. Patented: May 11, 1993. *Related:* WO.
- [314] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Transducer flux optimization. US 5,343,533. First filed: April 6, 1992. Patented: Aug. 30, 1994.
- [315] K. Sakiyama et al. (Fujitsu Ten, JP). [Noise controller]. JP 6 289 879 A2. Filed: May 1, 1992. Patent JP 2 533 713 B2, issued: Sept. 11, 1996. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US, WO.

- [316] K. Sakiyama et al. (Fujitsu Ten, JP). [Noise controller]. JP 6 295 183 A2. Filed: May 18, 1992. Patent JP 2 501 715 B2, issued: May 29, 1996. Related: CA, DE, EP, US, WO.
- [317] K. Sakiyama et al. (Fujitsu Ten, JP). [Noise controller]. JP 6 289 880 A2. Filed: May 19, 1992. Published: Oct. 18, 1994. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US, WO.
- [318] J. K. Krider et al. (Arvin Industries, US). Electronic muffler assembly with exhaust bypass. US 5,336,856. First filed: July 7, 1992. Patented: Aug. 9, 1994.
- [319] D. R. Browning and M. A. Zuniga (AT&T Bell Laboratories, US). Active noise-cancellation system for automotive mufflers. US 5,325,438. Filed: Feb. 1, 1993. Patented: June 28, 1994. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [320] S. Miller and J. C. Shipps (NCT, US). Active noise cancelling muffler. US 5,748,749. First filed: March 24, 1993. Patented: May 5, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [321] C. L. Willard et al. (JBL and Ford, US). **Temperature/environment-resistant transducer suspension**. US 5,455,396. First filed: March 25, 1993. Patented: Oct. 3, 1995.
- [322] S. Geisenberger and H. Gruber (Nokia, DE). [Device for the active attenuation of sound]. DE 43 17 403 A1. Filed: May 26, 1993. Published: Dec. 1, 1994.
- [323] F. J. Lehringer (Leistritz, DE). Active sound damper. WO 95/02238 A1. Priority (DE): July 7, 1993. Published: Jan. 19, 1995. *Related*: AU, CA, CN, CZ, DE, EP, ES, JP, PL, US.
- [324] T. Mori et al. (Calsonic, JP). [Active Type Muffler]. JP 7 042 528 A. Filed: Aug. 3, 1993. Published: Feb. 10, 1995.
- [325] T. Mori et al. (Calsonic, JP). [Active Type Muffler]. JP 7 042 529 A. Filed: Aug. 3, 1993. Published: Feb. 10, 1995.
- [326] Y. Takemori and H. Soma (Calsonic, JP). Active exhaust-noise attenuation muffler. US 5,574,264. Priority (JP): Aug. 12, 1993. Patented: Nov. 12, 1996. *Related:* DE.
- [327] S. Geisenberger (Nokia, DE). [Device for the active attenuation of sound]. DE 43 42 133 A1. Filed: Dec. 10, 1993. Published: June 14, 1995. *Related*: AT, CA, DK, EP, ES, JP, US.
- [328] A. Renzullo and R. Mazzuchecchelli (ELESA, IT). [Active exhaust gas muffler]. IT 1 267 402 B1. Filed: Feb. 22, 1994. Patented: Feb. 5, 1997. *Related:* EP.
- [329] S. Geisenberger and M. Aigner (Nokia, DE). [Loudspeaker]. DE 4419312 A1. Filed: June 1, 1994. Published: Dec. 7, 1995. *Related*: AT, EP, JP, US.
- [330] C. R. Cheng (Digisonix, US). Active exhaust silencer. US 5,541,373. Filed: Sept. 6, 1994. Patented: July 30, 1996.
- [331] C. D. Bremigan and C. R. Cheng (Digisonix, US). Active exhaust silencer. US 5,693,918. First filed: Sept. 6, 1994. Patented: Dec. 2, 1997.
- [332] S. Geisenberger (Nokia, DE). [Device for the cancellation of sound waves]. DE 195 28 888 A1. Filed: Aug. 5, 1995. Published: Jan. 23, 1997. Related: AT, EP, JP, US.
- [333] F. J. Lehringer (Leistritz AG & Co Abgastechnik, Fürth, DE). [Active exhaust silencer]. DE 19751596 A1. Filed: Nov. 21, 1997. Published: June 2, 1999. *Related:* AU, EP, WO.
- [334] T. E. Meeks (Tenneco Automotive, US). Loudspeaker pressure plate. US 6,005,957. Filed: Feb. 27, 1998. Patented: Dec. 21, 1999. *Related:* EP.
- [335] M. Unbehaun and G. Zintel (Faurecia Abgastechnik, DE). [Active exhaust muffler]. EP 1 055 804 B1. Priority (DE): May 19, 1999. Patented: March 12, 2003.
- [336] A. S. Hersh and J. Tso (US). Extended frequency range Helmholtz resonators. US 5,119,247. Filed: March 14, 1988. Patented: June 2, 1992. *Related:* AU, EP, JP, WO.
- [337] H. Kotera and S. Ohki (Tsuchiya, JP). Resonator type silencer. US 5,283,398. Priority (JP): Dec. 26, 1989. Patented: Feb. 1, 1994.
- [338] E. R. Geddes (Ford, US). Tandem transducer magnet structure. US 5,323,466. First filed: April 25, 1990. Patented: June 21, 1994. *Related*: WO.
- [339] J. A. M. Nieuwendijk et al. (Philips, NL). Silencer arrangement for combustion engines. EP 0 589 516 A3. Priority (EP): Sept. 23, 1992. Published: Sept. 20, 1995. *Related:* JP, US.
- [340] H.-P. Keller (Fasag, CH). [Silencer for attenuating discharge noises in installations with pulsatung gas flows]. DE 43 05 333 C1. Filed: Feb. 20, 1993. Patented: July 7, 1994. *Related:* WO.
- [341] I. R. McLean (Siemens Electric, CA). Variably tuned Helmholtz resonator with linear response controller. US 5,771,851. Filed: July 29, 1997. Patented: June 30, 1998. *Related*: EP.
- [342] J. Krüger and P. Leistner (Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft, DE). [Controlled acoustic waveguide as sound damping device]. DE 198 61 018 A1. Filed: Dec. 15, 1998. Published: June 29, 2000. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [343] R. W. Cruickshank (US). A variable exhaust system for an internal combustion engine. AU 3 179 593 A1. Priority (AU): Nov. 19, 1991. Published: June 15, 1993. *Related:* US, WO.

- [344] H. Ito (Daikin Industries, JP). [Electronic silencer]. JP 1 245 795 A2. Filed: March 28, 1988. Published: Sept. 29, 1989. *Related:* US.
- [345] I. U. Borchers and P. Bartels (Dornier, DE). [Method and device for noise reduction in ducts]. DE 198 22 148 C2. Priority (DE): June 19, 1997. Patented: Aug. 17, 2000. *Related:* EP.
- [346] A. M. McDonald et al. (Lotus, UK). Control of pressure vibrations in ducts. GB 8 928 052 A. Filed: Dec. 12, 1989. Published: Feb. 14, 1990. *Related:* WO.
- [347] F. M. Murray and R. L. Weisman (Harman International Industries, US). Acoustic transducer for automotive noise cancellation. US 5,255,321. Filed: Dec. 5, 1990. Patented: Oct. 19, 1993.
- [348] A. Sasaki et al. (Nissan, JP). Vehicular exhaust resonance suppression system and sensing means therefor. US 5,189,266. Priority (JP): June 9, 1989. Patented: Feb. 23, 1993. *Related:* JP.
- [349] G. Patrick and B. Fuhrmann (Heinrich Gillet, DE). [Device for the reduction of pressure pulsations in gas transporting pipes]. DE 44 39 705 A1. Filed: Nov. 5, 1994. Published: May 9, 1996.
- [350] F. N. Gras and P. G. Gerard (Bertin & Cie., FR). [Active noise control device]. FR 2729781 B1. Filed: Jan. 23, 1995. Patented: April 18, 1997. *Related:* AU, WO.
- [351] D. Thompson and R.G. Baines (WABCO Automotive, UK). An exhaust modulator. WO 92/00445 A1. Priority (GB): June 29, 1990. Published: Jan. 9, 1992. *Related:* DE, EP, ES, JP, US.
- [352] B. Fuhrmann (Heinrich Gillet, DE). [Device for the reduction of pressure pulsations in gas transporting pipes]. DE 44 39 704 A1. Filed: Nov. 5, 1994. Published: May 9, 1996.
- [353] M. J. Moody and A. V. Yorke (UK Government). Active control of noise. GB 2 256 676 B. Priority (GB): March 21, 1990. Patented: Nov. 17, 1993. *Related*: DE, EP, ES, US, WO.
- [354] D. P. Pfaff et al. (General Motors, US). Method for actively attenuating engine generated noise. US 5,146,505.
  Filed: Oct. 4, 1990. Patented: Sept. 8, 1992. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [355] Y. Yuan (General Motors, US). Active noise control system for attenuating engine generated noise. US 5,222,148. Filed: April 29, 1992. Patented: June 22, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [356] Y. Yuan (General Motors, US). Active noise control system for attenuating engine generated noise. US 5,321,759. Filed: April 29, 1992. Patented: June 14, 1994. *Related:* EP.
- [357] Y. Yuan et al. (General Motors, US). Active noise control system. US 5,359,662. Filed: April 29, 1992. Patented: Oct. 25, 1994. *Related:* EP.
- [358] F. P. Mechel (Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft, DE). [Hybrid sound damper]. DE 40 27 511 C1. Filed: Aug. 30, 1990. Patented: Oct. 2, 1991. *Related:* EP.
- [359] H. Takeyama et al. (Matsushita, JP). Acoustic conductance in a system of duct. EP 0483921 B1. Priority (JP): Oct. 31, 1990. Patented: Aug. 16, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [360] M. Nagami and K. Sako (Fujitsu Ten, JP). [Noise controller]. JP 5 127 680 A2. Filed: Oct. 31, 1991. Published: May 25, 1993. Related: CA, DE, EP, US.
- [361] M. Nagami and K. Sako (Fujitsu Ten, JP). Automatic sound controlling apparatus for improving accuracy of producing a canceling sound. US 5,649,016. Priority (JP): July 31, 1991. Patented: July 15, 1997.
- [362] M. Eguchi and H. Iida (Sharp, JP). [Active muffling device]. JP 5040486 A2. Filed: Aug. 6, 1991. Patent JP 2886709 B2. Issued: April 26, 1999. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [363] K. Tanaka et al. (Nippondenso, JP). [Engine noise controller]. JP 6 330763 A2. Filed: May 21, 1993. Published: Nov. 29, 1994. *Related:* EP.
- [364] N. Tomizawa (Unisia Jecs, JP). [Active noise control device for automobile]. JP 8 121 139 A2. Filed: Oct. 27, 1994.
  Patent JP 3 008 328 B2, issued: Feb. 14, 2000. *Related:* DE.
- [365] Y. Kameda et al. (Nippondenso, JP). [Noise control device of internal combustion engine]. JP 8 158 966 A2. Filed: Nov. 30, 1994. Published: June 18, 1996. *Related:* DE, US.
- [366] M. Taki et al. (Calsonic, JP). Sound attenuating system. US 5,347,585. Filed: Sept. 10, 1991. Patented: Sept. 13, 1994. *Related:* DE.
- [367] D. P. Pfaff and N. S. Kapsokavathis (General Motors, US). Active noise control system. US 5,386,472. First filed: Aug. 10, 1990. Patented: Jan. 31, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [368] S. Suzuki (Toshiba, JP). [Active control noise reduction device]. JP 5204386 A2. Priority (JP): July 31, 1991. Published: Aug. 13, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [369] M. A. Daniels (Carrier Corp., US). Noise canceling system. US 5,832,095. Filed: Oct. 18, 1996. Patented: Nov. 3, 1998. *Related:* AU, BR, CN, EP, JP, NO, SG.

- [370] M. R. Schroeder (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). System for determining acoustic reflection coefficients. US 3,346,067. Filed: March 16, 1966. Patented: Oct. 10, 1967.
- [371] T.A. Tiernan et al. (Ford, US). Integrated active vibration cancellation and machine diagnostic system. US 5,313,407. Filed: June 3, 1992. Patented: May 17, 1994.
- [372] L. J. Eriksson (Digisonix, US). Active acoustic attenuation system with indirect error sensing. US 5,418,873. Filed: Sept. 9, 1993. Patented: May 23, 1995.
- [373] P. E. Madden et al. (Northrop Grumman, US). Noise cancellation method and apparatus. US 5,650,599. First filed: April 13, 1993. Patented: July 22, 1997.
- [374] T. N. Christenson (Boeing, US). Active noise control in a duct with highly turbulent airflow. US 5,606,622. Filed: Sept. 29, 1994. Patented: Feb. 25, 1997. *Related:* AU, EP, WO.
- [375] N. Tomizawa and S. Ohkuma (Unisia Jecs, JP). [Active noise control device for automobile]. JP 8014128 A2. Priority (JP): April 28, 1994. Published: Jan. 16, 1996. *Related:* DE, KR, US.
- [376] N. Tomizawa (Unisia Jecs, JP). [Active noise control device for automobile]. JP 8 246 969 A2. Filed: March 15, 1995. Published: Sept. 24, 1996. *Related*: DE.
- [377] R. Füßer et al. (Filterwerk Mann + Hummel, DE). [Method and apparatus for actively influencing the intake noise of an internal combustion engine]. DE 19949685 A1. Filed: Oct. 15, 1999. Published: April 19, 2001. *Related:* EP, JP, US, WO.
- [378] R. Burdisso et al. (VPI & SU, Blacksburg, VA, US). Active control of aircraft engine inlet noise using compact sound sources and distributed error sensors. US 5,515,444. Filed: Oct. 7, 1994. Patented: May 7, 1996. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [379] R. E. Kraft and K. B. Kontos (General Electric, US). Active acoustic liner. US 5,498,127. Filed: Nov. 14, 1994. Patented: March 12, 1996.
- [380] R. E. Kraft and A. A. Syed (General Electric, US). Actively controlled acoustic treatment panel. US 5,702,230. Filed: Jan. 29, 1996. Patented: Dec. 30, 1997.
- [381] J. N. Denenberg (NCT, US). Ducted axial fan. US 5,526,432. Filed: Oct. 11, 1994. Patented: June 11, 1996. *Related:* EP. JP, WO.
- [382] A. N. Andersson (Boeing, US). Active control of tone noise in engine ducts. US 5,692,702. First filed: July 28, 1994. Patented: Dec. 2, 1997. *Related:* AU, DE, EP, WO.
- [383] Z. Yanchang et al. (DLR, DE). [Method for the active noise reduction in flow ducts of turbo machinery]. DE 19910169 B4. Filed: Feb. 24, 1999. Patented: Jan. 29, 2004.
- [384] G. Everingham (Siemens Electric, CA). System and method for reducing engine noise. US 5,828,759. Filed: Nov. 30, 1995. Patented: Oct. 27, 1998. *Related:* BR, CN, DE, EP, WO.
- [385] I. R. McLean (Siemens Canada). Active noise attenuation system. US 6,084,971. Filed: June 10, 1997. Patented: July 4, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [386] J.C. Shipps and J.E. Levreault Jr. (Tenneco Automotive, US). Active noise conditioning system. US 5,848,168. Filed: Nov. 4, 1996. Patented: Dec. 8, 1998. *Related:* AT, EP.
- [387] P. E. A. Stuart and I. R. McLean (Siemens Electric, CA). Intake-exhaust manifold bridge noise attenuation system and method. US 5,860,400. Filed: Sept. 17, 1997. Patented: Jan. 19, 1999. *Related:* WO.
- [388] A. L'Espérance et al. (Alumax, US). **Method and apparatus for active noise control of high order modes in ducts**. US 5,748,750. First filed: July 5, 1995. Patented: May 5, 1998. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [389] R. L. Clark and D. G. Cole (Duke University, US). Feedback acoustic energy dissipating device with compensator. WO 96/11466 A1. Priority (US): Oct. 6, 1994. Published: April 18, 1996. *Related:* US.
- [390] P. Leistner and J. Krüger (Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft, DE). [Adaptive acoustic monitor]. DE 197 46 645 C1. Filed: Oct. 22, 1997. Patented: May 20, 1999. *Related*: EP, WO.
- [391] P. A. Swarte (Philips, NL). [Electro-acoustic system]. NL 8 401 041 A. Filed: April 3, 1984. Published: Nov. 1, 1995. *Related:* DE, FR, GB, JP, US.
- [392] N. S. Pass (Threshold Co., US). Active low frequency acoustic resonance suppressor. US 4,899,387. Filed: Dec. 2, 1988. Patented: Feb. 6, 1990.
- [393] G. P. Eatwell and C. F. Ross (Topexpress, UK). Signal processing means for sensing a periodic signal in the presence of another interfering periodic noise. GB 2 222 053 B. Filed: Aug. 17, 1988. Patented: March 31, 1993. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [394] M. H. Hobelsberger (CH). Active reactive acoustical elements. US 6,408,078 B1. First filed: Oct. 30, 1997. Patented: June 18, 2002. *Related:* GB.

- [395] W. C. J. M. Prinssen (NL). [Electro-acoustic system]. NL 8 900 571 A. Filed: March 9, 1989. Published: Oct. 1, 1990. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP, US.
- [396] S. Kishinaga et al. (Yamaha, JP). **[Hall sound field support apparatus]**. JP 3 168 175 A2. Filed: Nov. 28, 1989. Patent JP 7 036 866 B4, issued: April 26, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [397] M. Peevers et al. (DE). [Method and device for the active attenuation of sound]. DE 34 11 494 A1. Filed: March 28, 1984. Published: Oct. 3, 1985.
- [398] E. W. Ziegler Jr. (NCT, US). Sound attenuation system for personal seat. US 4,977,600. Filed: June 7, 1988. Patented: Dec. 11, 1990. *Related:* AU, CA, WO.
- [399] J. J. Cain et al. (ANVT, US). Noise suppression system. US 5,133,017. Filed: April 9, 1990. Patented: July 21, 1992. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, WO.
- [400] A. Krokstad et al. (SINVENT, NO). [Method and device for active noise reduction in a local area]. NO 92 2911 A. Filed: July 22, 1992. Patent NO 175 798 C, issued: Dec. 7, 1994. *Related:* AT, AU, DE, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [401] T. Tamura et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Noise silencer]. JP 6 332 474 A2. Filed: May 25, 1993. Published: Dec. 2, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [402] C. Carme and A. Roure (Technofirst and CNRS, FR). [Personal active noise cancellation method and device having invariant impulse response]. FR 2 732 807 B1. Filed: April 4, 1995. Patented: May 16, 1997. Related: AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, NO, US, WO.
- [403] C. F. Ross (Ultra Electronics, GB). Adjustable quiet seat. WO 00/14722 A1. Priority (GB): Sept. 4, 1998. Published: March 16, 2000. *Related*: AU, CA, EP.
- [404] W. R. Saunders and M. A. Vaudrey (Virginia Tech, US). Adaptive personal active noise system. US 6,078,672. Filed: May 6, 1997. Patented: June 20, 2000.
- [405] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic sound deadening system]. JP 3013998 A. Filed: June 12, 1989,. Published: Jan. 22, 1991.
- [406] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic silencing system]. JP 3076 500 A. Filed: Aug. 18, 1989. Published: April 2, 1991.
- [407] D. Graupe and A. J. Efron (ANVT, US). Noise cancellation system. US 5,140,640. Filed: Aug. 14, 1990. Patented: Aug. 18, 1992.
- [408] K. Sugita and T. Sainen (Tsudakoma, JP). [Noise damping device for weaving machine]. JP 4296897 A2. Filed: March 27, 1991. Published: Oct. 21, 1992. *Related:* EP, US.
- [409] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries and Pioneer Electronics Corp., JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 7 199 960 A. Filed: Dec. 28, 1993. Published: Aug. 4, 1995.
- [410] K. Fukumizu (Ricoh, JP). [Active noise control apparatus]. JP 4165 398 A2. Filed: Oct. 30, 1990. Published: June 11, 1992.
- [411] K. Fukumizu (Ricoh, JP). [Noise control device]. JP 5011771 A2. Filed: Nov. 15, 1991. Published: Jan. 22, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [412] K. Fukumizu (Ricoh, JP). [Noise control system]. JP 4 283 798 A2. Filed: March 12, 1991. Published: Oct. 8, 1992.
- [413] K. Fukumizu (Ricoh, JP). [Noise control system]. JP 4 303 898 A. Filed: March 29, 1991. Published: Oct. 27, 1992.
- [414] J. Lewiner and M. Fink (J.C. Decaux, FR). [Processes and devices for protecting a given volume, preferably arranged inside a room, from outside noises]. FR 2 699 205 B1. Filed: Dec. 11, 1992. Patented: March 10, 1995. *Related:* AT, AU, BR, CA, CN, DE, EP, ES, FI, IL, JP, NO, PL, RU, US.
- [415] N. Amir (Silentium, IL). Active acoustic noise reduction system. EP 1414021 A1. Filed: Oct. 21, 2002. Published: April 28, 2004.
- [416] A. M. Finn (United Technologies, US). Active noise control system using phased-array sensors. US 5,699,437. Filed: Aug. 29, 1995. Patented: Dec. 16, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [417] J. C. Decaux et al. (FR). [Active soundproofing method and device]. FR 2 771 541 B1. Filed: Nov. 21, 1997. Patented: Oct. 20, 2000. Related: AU, EP, JP, WO.
- [418] K. Schweitzer et al. (Schenk, DE). [Method and device for the reduction of infrasound emission from vibratory feeders]. DE 41 40 880 C1. Priority (DE): Dec. 9, 1991. Patented: April 29, 1993.
- [419] M. Parrella and D. Smith (NCT, US). Multiple source self noise cancellation. WO 94/09483 A1. Filed: Oct. 8, 1992. Published: April 28, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [420] G. Stahr (DE). [Method and device for the reduction of sound]. DE 41 27 473 A1. Filed: Aug. 20, 1991. Published: Feb. 25, 1993.

- [421] G. Raviv (SNAP Laboratories, US). Snoring suppression system. US 5,444,786. Filed: Feb. 9, 1993. Patented: Aug. 22, 1995.
- [422] H.-W. Mahlo (DE). [Method and device for the active control of snoring noise and sleep-related breathing problems]. DE 197 06 645 A1. Filed: Feb. 20, 1997. Published: Sept. 3, 1998.
- [423] A. Balkanyi (CH). [Anti-snoring device]. EP 0 664 995 B1. Filed: Jan. 19, 1995. Patented: April 29, 1998. *Related:* AT, DE, ES, HU, IL, US.
- [424] D. Enzmann et al. (Sleep Solutions, US). Active electronic noise suppression system and method for reducing snoring noise. US 5,844,996. First filed: Feb. 4, 1993. Patented: Dec. 1, 1998.
- [425] A. Griese et al. (Institut Dr. Friedrich Förster, DE). Apparatus for producing a region free from interfering magnetic fields. US 3,801,877. Filed: Sept. 15, 1972. Patented: April 2, 1974.
- [426] S. J. Elliott (Adapative Control, UK). Improvements in or relating to reducing the strength of electro-magnetic fields. WO 90/01861 A1. Filed: July 28, 1989. Published: Feb. 22, 1990. *Related:* AU, EP.
- [427] D. M. Schwartz (Los Altos Hills, CA, US). Sound reproduction system. US 4,823,391. Filed: July 22, 1986. Patented: April 18, 1989.
- [428] H. Hashimoto et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Silencer]. JP 4 043 798 A2. Filed: June 11, 1990. Patent JP 8 034 647 B4, issued: March 29, 1996. *Related*: EP, US.
- [429] J. Kuusama and A. Mäkivirta (Nokia, DE). [Device to be used for changing the acoustic properties of a room]. FI 94 565 C. Filed: Feb. 13, 1992. Patented: Sept. 25, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.
- [430] S. E. Boze (The Walt Disney Company, US). Multi-band, digital audio noise filter. US 5,416,847. Filed: Feb. 12, 1993. Patented: May 16, 1995.
- [431] P.C. Eastty (Sony United Kingdom). Audio signal processor. GB 2 330 048 B. Filed: Oct. 2, 1997. Patented: Feb. 27, 2002. Related: US.
- [432] A. Tokuge et al. (Pioneer, JP). [Sound field correcting device]. JP 60214192 A2. Filed: April 9, 1984. Published: Oct. 26, 1985. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [433] Y. Kunugi (Pioneer, JP). Sound field correction system. US 4,868,878. Priority (JP): April 9, 1984. Patented: Sept. 19, 1989. Related: DE, EP, JP.
- [434] T. Yoshimi et al. (Pioneer, JP). [Sound field corrector]. JP 60 223 293 A2. Filed: April 18, 1984. Published: Nov. 7, 1985. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [435] S. J. Elliott et al. (Adaptive Audio, UK). Sound reproduction systems. US 5,727,066. Priority (GB): July 8, 1988. Patented: March 10, 1998. *Related:* AT, AU, DE, EP, GB, WO.
- [436] J. Fujimori (Yamaha, JP). [Sound image localizing device]. JP 4150400 A2. Filed: Oct. 11, 1990. Patent JP 7105 999 B4, issued: Nov. 13, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [437] M. Serikawa et al. (Matsushita, JP). Sound field and sound image control apparatus and method. US 5,684,881. Filed: May 23, 1994. Patented: Nov. 4, 1997.
- [438] T. Mouri (Victor Co. of Japan). [Surround signal processor and video and sound signal reproducing device]. JP 8 265 899 A2. First filed: Jan. 26, 1996. Published: Oct. 11, 1996. *Related:* US.
- [439] J.S. Abel and S.L. Welsh (Aureal Semiconductor, US). Method and apparatus for crosstalk cancellation. US 6,009,178. Filed: Sept. 16, 1996. Patented: Dec. 28, 1999.
- [440] K. Kamata et al. (Kawai Musical Instr. Mfg., JP). [Stereo sound image enlargement device]. JP 10 322 799 A. Filed: May 20, 1997. Published: Dec. 4, 1998. *Related:* US.
- [441] C. L. McShane (Chicago Steel Rule Die & Fabricators, US). Ambient imaging loudspeaker system. US 5,117,459. Filed: May 3, 1990. Patented: May 26, 1992. *Related:* CA.
- [442] S. Sekine and J. Fujimori (Yamaha, JP). [Sound image localization controller]. JP 6 051 759 A. Priority (JP): April 3, 1992. Patent JP 2 973 764 B2, issued: Nov. 8, 1999. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [443] S. Sekine and J. Fujimori (Yamaha, JP). Sound-image position control apparatus. US 5,581,618. Priority (JP): April 3, 1992. Patented: Dec. 3, 1996.
- [444] J. E. M. Pineau (One Inc., CA). Stereophonic reproduction method and apparatus. US 5,553,147. Filed: May 11, 1993. Patented: Sept. 3, 1996. *Related:* AU, CA, CN, DE, EP, JP, SG, WO.
- [445] K. Jyosako (Sharp, JP). Sound image enhancement apparatus. US 5,657,391. Priority (JP): Aug. 24, 1994. Patented: Aug. 12, 1997. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [446] E. C. Johnson Jr. (Bose Corp., US). Near-field reproduction of binaurally encoded signals. US 5,812,676. Filed: May 31, 1994. Patented: Sept. 22, 1998. *Related:* EP, JP.

- [447] T. J. Hawks (Binora Corp., US). Method and apparatus for spatially enhancing stereo and monophonic signals. US 5,692,050. Filed: June 15, 1995. Patented: Nov. 25, 1997. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [448] D. C. Massie et al. (Creative Technology, SG). Method and apparatus for three dimensional audio spatialization. US 5,943,427. Filed: April 21, 1995. Patented: Aug. 24, 1999.
- [449] P. A. Nelson et al. (Adaptive Audio Ltd., GB). Sound recording and reproduction systems. WO 97/30566 A1. Priority (GB): Feb. 16, 1996. Published: Aug. 21, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.
- [450] H. Sotome (Yamaha, JP). [Loudspeaker device]. JP 10108292 A. Filed: Sept. 26, 1996. Published: April 24, 1998. *Related:* US.
- [451] S. J. Eberbach (US). Surround sound loudspeaker system. US 5,809,150. First filed: June 28, 1995. Patented: Sept. 15, 1998.
- [452] T. K. Cashion et al. (QSound Labs, CA). Method and system for sound expansion. US 5,974,153. Filed: May 19, 1997. Patented: Oct. 26, 1999.
- [453] J. Norris and T. Kissel (Harman International Industries, US). Steering of monaural sources of sound using head related transfer functions. US 6,611,603 B1. First filed: June 23, 1997. Patented: Aug. 26, 2003.
- [454] J. Norris and T. Kissel (Harman International Industries, US). Steering of monaural sources of sound using head related transfer functions. US 6,173,061 B1. Filed: June 23, 1997. Patented: Jan. 9, 2001.
- [455] T. Kuwano et al. (Kawai Musical Instruments, JP). [Device and method for stereophony]. JP 2001086597 A. Filed: Sept. 16, 1999. Published: March 30, 2001. *Related:* US.
- [456] T. Kuwano et al. (Kawai Musical Instruments, JP). [Device and method for stereophony]. JP 2001 086 598 A. Filed: Sept. 16, 1999. Published: March 30, 2001. *Related:* US.
- [457] T. Kuwano et al. (Kawai Musical Instruments, JP). [Device and method for stereophony]. JP 2001 086 599 A. Filed: Sept. 16, 1999. Published: March 30, 2001. *Related:* US.
- [458] T. Kishii and M. Noro (Yamaha Corp., JP). [Sound field extending device]. JP 10155199 A. Filed: Aug. 6, 1997. Patent JP 3107006 B2, issued: Nov. 6, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [459] M.S. Hämäläinen and J. Holm (Nokia, FI). [Method and device for synthesizing a virtual sound source]. FI 106 355 B. Filed: May 7, 1998. Patented: Jan. 15, 2001. *Related:* EP, US.
- [460] A. H. Hoover (Thomson Licensing, FR). Expanded stereophonic circuit with tonal compensation. US 6,735,314 B2.
  Filed: May 13, 2002. Patented: May 11, 2004. *Related:* CN, EP, JP.
- [461] H. Tsubonuma and H. Yanagawa (Pioneer, JP). [Sound field generator]. JP 8130799 A2. Filed: Oct. 31, 1994. Published: May 21, 1996. *Related:* US.
- [462] P. W. Dent et al. (Ericsson, US). Apparatus and method for adaptively precompensating for loudspeaker distortions. US 5,600,718. Filed: Feb. 24, 1995. Patented: Feb. 4, 1997. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, CN, EP, FI, JP, WO.
- [463] M. F. Davis and C. C. Todd (Dolby Laboratories, US). Decoder for variable number of channel presentation of multidimensional sound fields. US 5,274,740. First filed: Jan. 8, 1991. Patented: Dec. 28, 1993. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [464] M. F. Davis and C. C. Todd (Dolby Laboratories, US). Decoder for variable-number of channel presentation of multidimensional sound fields. US 5,400,433. First filed: Jan. 8, 1991. Patented: March 21, 1995. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [465] M. F. Davis et al. (Dolby Laboratories, US). Encoder/decoder for multidimensional sound fields. US 5,583,962. First filed: Jan. 8, 1991. Patented: Dec. 10, 1996. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [466] M. F. Davis et al. (Dolby Laboratories, US). Encoder/decoder for multidimensional sound fields. US 5,632,005. Filed: June 7, 1995. Patented: May 20, 1997.
- [467] M. F. Davis (Dolby Laboratories, US). Method and apparatus for adjusting dynamic range and gain in an encoder/decoder for multidimensional sound fields. US 5,633,981. First filed: Jan. 8, 1991. Patented: May 27, 1997. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [468] M. F. Davis and C. C. Todd (Dolby Laboratories, US). Method and apparatus for encoding and decoding audio information representing three-dimensional sound fields. US 5,909,664. First filed: Jan. 8, 1991. Patented: June 1, 1999. Related: AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [469] M. F. Davis and C. C. Todd (Dolby Laboratories, US). Coding method and apparatus for multiple channels of audio information representing three-dimensional sound fields. US 6,021,386. First filed: Jan. 8, 1991. Patented: Feb. 1, 2000. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [470] M. Iwamatsu (Yamaha Corp., JP). [Sound image and sound field controller]. JP 8 146 974 A. Filed: Nov. 15, 1994. Patent JP 2 988 289 B2, issued: Dec. 13, 1999. *Related:* US.

- [471] P. Fels et al. (Deutsche Telekom, DE). [Method and apparatus for multichannel sound reproduction]. DE 44 40 014 A1. Filed: Nov. 9, 1994. Published: May 15, 1996. *Related:* EP, US.
- [472] A. I. Klayman (SRS Labs, US). Audio enhancement system for use in a surround sound environment. US 5,970,152. Filed: April 30, 1996. Patented: Oct. 19, 1999. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [473] B.S. Goldfarb (BSG Laboratories, US). Four dimensional acoustical audio system. US 5,764,777. Filed: April 21, 1995. Patented: June 9, 1998. *Related*: AU, CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [474] B.S. Goldfarb et al. (BSG Laboratories, US). Apparatus for the creation of a desirable acoustical virtual reality. US 6,075,868. First filed: April 21, 1995. Patented: June 13, 2000. *Related:* WO.
- [475] D. H. Griesinger (Lexicon, US). Multichannel active matrix sound reproduction with maximum lateral separation. US 5,796,844. Filed: July 19, 1996. Patented: Aug. 18, 1998. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [476] D. H. Griesinger (Lexicon, US). Multichannel active matrix encoder and decoder with maximum lateral separation. US 5,870,480. First filed: July 19, 1996. Patented: Feb. 9, 1999. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, PL, WO.
- [477] D. H. Griesinger (Harman International Industries, US). 5-2-5 matrix encoder and decoder system. US 6,697,491 B1. First filed: July 19, 1996. Patented: Feb. 24, 2004.
- [478] D. H. Griesinger (Harman International Industries, US). 5-2-5 matrix encoder and decoder system. US 2004/00911180 A1. First filed: July 19, 1996. Published: May 13, 2004.
- [479] Y. Sugimoto (New Japan Radio Co., JP). [Surround reproducing circuit]. JP 2002-354595 A. Priority (JP): March 22, 2001. Published: Dec. 6, 2002. Related: US.
- [480] J. R. Aylward (Bose Corp., US). Surround sound channel encoding and decoding. US 6,711,266. Filed: Feb. 7, 1997. Patented: March 23, 2004. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [481] J. R. Aylward (Bose Corp., US). Sound signal mixing. US 6,721,425 B1. Filed: July 27, 1998. Patented: April 13, 2004. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [482] K. Nakano et al. (Sony Corp., JP). [Acoustic reproducing system and audio signal processor]. JP 10 224 900 A. Filed: Feb. 6, 1997. Published: Aug. 21, 1998. *Related:* US.
- [483] P.A. Nelson et al. (Adaptive Audio Ltd., GB). Adaptive audio systems and sound reproduction systems. US 5,949,894. First filed: July 5, 1993. Patented: Sept. 7, 1999.
- [484] K. Inanaga and Y. Yamada (Sony Corp., JP). Audio reproducing apparatus and headphone. US 5,761,314. Priority (JP): Jan. 27, 1994. Patented: June 2, 1998. *Related:* WO.
- [485] Y. Yamada and K. Inanaga (Sony, JP). [Headphone device]. JP 9 070 094 A. Filed: Aug. 31, 1995. Published: March 11, 1997. Related: EP, US.
- [486] Y. Yamada and K. Inanaga (Sony, JP). [Video and audio signal reproducing device]. JP 9 093 700 A. Filed: Sept. 28, 1995. Published: April 4, 1997. *Related:* US.
- [487] R. J. Meucci Jr. (US). Virtual sound headset and method for simulating spatial sound. US 6,038,330. Filed: Feb. 20, 1998. Patented: March 14, 2000.
- [488] E. R. Geddes and J. W. Whikehart (Ford, US). Signal processor for sound image enhancement. US 5,420,929. Filed: May 26, 1992. Patented: May 30, 1995. *Related:* JP.
- [489] M. L. Petroff (Harman Motive, US). Stereo spatial enhancement system. US 5,970,153. Filed: May 16, 1997. Patented: Oct. 19, 1999.
- [490] R. M. Aarts (Philips, NL). [Signal combining circuit for stereophonic audio reproduction system using cross feeding]. BE 1 008 027 A3. Filed: Jan. 17, 1994. Published: Dec. 12, 1995. *Related:* AU, CN, EP, JP, SG, US.
- [491] H. W. Groves (British Aerospace, UK). Noise suppression. GB 2 126 837 B. Priority (GB): Aug. 19, 1982. Patented: July 23, 1986.
- [492] G. E. Warnaka and J. M. Zalas (Lord Corp., US). Active attenuation of noise in a closed structure. US 4,562,589. Filed: Dec. 15, 1982. Patented: Dec. 31, 1985. *Related:* CA, DE, FR, GB, JP.
- [493] P.A. Nelson and S.J. Elliott (UK Government). Improvements in or relating to active noise reduction. GB 2149614 B. Priority (GB): Oct. 31, 1983. Patented: Feb. 25, 1987.
- [494] M. Salikuddin and H. K. Tanna (Lockheed, US). Active noise control system. US 4,689,821. Filed: Sept. 23, 1985. Patented: Aug. 25, 1987.
- [495] C. F. Ross et al. (Topexpress, UK). Noise reduction in vehicle cabins. WO 90/03026 A1. Priority (GB): Sept. 6, 1988. Published: March 22, 1990. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP.
- [496] H. Hamabe et al. (Nissan, JP). Active noise eliminating system. US 5,426,703. Priority (JP): June 28, 1991. Patented: June 20, 1995.

- [497] H. Saito and M. Kusano (Hitachi and Nissan, JP). [Undistinct sound reduction device]. JP 4316099 A. Filed: April 16, 1991. Patent JP 2 533 695 B2, issued: Sept. 11, 1996. *Related:* US.
- [498] G. D. Billoud (Lord Corp., US). Active noise control system for closed spaces such as aircraft cabins. WO 97/12360 A1. Priority (US): Sept. 25, 1995. Published: April 3, 1997. Related: CA, DE, EP, US.
- [499] M. R. Jolly et al. (Lord Corp., US). Hybrid active-passive noise and vibration control system for aircraft. US 5,845,236. Filed: Oct. 16, 1996. Patented: Dec. 1, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP, WO.
- [500] M. Dussac (Eurocopter, FR). [Device for reducing line noise inside a rotary-wing aircraft, especially a helicopter]. FR 2 769 396 B1. Filed: Oct. 2, 1997. Patented: Nov. 10, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [501] M. Dussac (Eurocopter, FR). [Process and device for reducing the spectral line noise inside an aircraft, especially a rotating-wing aircraft, in particular a helicopter]. FR 2 802 328 B1. Filed: Dec. 10, 1999. Patented: April 18, 2003. *Related:* US.
- [502] C. R. Fuller (US). Apparatus and method for global noise reduction. US 4,715,559. Filed: May 15, 1986. Patented: Dec. 29, 1987.
- [503] R. Burdisso et al. (Virginia State Univ., US). Active control of aircraft engine inlet noise using compact sound sources and distributed error sensors. US 5,355,417. Filed: Oct. 21, 1992. Patented: Oct. 11, 1994.
- [504] S. J. Elliott and P. A. Nelson (UK Government). Aircraft cabin noise control apparatus. WO 87/07974 A1. Priority (GB): June 23, 1986. Published: Dec. 30, 1987. *Related:* BR, CA, DE, EP, ES, GB, JP, US.
- [505] D. Kaptein (Fokker Aircraft, NL). Propeller blade synchrophasing. GB 2 237 415 A. Filed: Oct. 20, 1989. Published: May 1, 1991. *Related*: CA, DE, EP, US.
- [506] D. Kaptein (Fokker Aircraft, NL). Propeller blade position controller. US 5,295,641. Filed: April 10, 1992. Patented: March 22, 1994. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, GB.
- [507] D. Kaptein (Fokker Aircraft, NL). Propeller blade position controller. US 5,551,649. Filed: Jan. 18, 1994. Patented: Sept. 3, 1996. *Related:* EP.
- [508] B. Magliozzi and F. B. Metzger (United Technologies, US). Method for reducing aircraft cabin noise and vibration. US 5,148,402. Filed: Dec. 21, 1990. Patented: Sept. 15, 1992.
- [509] F. G. Pla and G. C. Goodman (General Electric, US). Method and apparatus for synchronizing rotating machinery to reduce noise. US 5,221,185. Filed: Aug. 5, 1991. Patented: June 22, 1993.
- [510] F.G. Pla (General Electric, US). Method for reducing noise and/or vibration from multiple rotating machines. US 5,789,678. Filed: Oct. 22, 1996. Patented: Aug. 4, 1998.
- [511] C. A. Yoerkie Jr. et al. (United Technologies, US). Helicopter active noise control system. US 5,310,137. Filed: April 16, 1992. Patented: May 10, 1994. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, IL, JP, WO.
- [512] W. A. Welsh and C. A. Yoerkie Jr. (Sikorsky Aircraft, US). Active noise control system for a helicopter gearbox mount. US 6,105,900. Filed: Dec. 23, 1997. Patented: Aug. 22, 20002. *Related:* BR, CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [513] B. Magliozzi (United Technologies, US). Adaptive synchrophaser for reducing aircraft cabin noise and vibration. US 5,453,943. Filed: Feb. 18, 1994. Patented: Sept. 26, 1995. *Related:* WO.
- [514] C. F. Ross and A. J. Langley (NCT, US). Active vibration control system for aircraft. US 5,568,557. Filed: July 29, 1994. Patented: Oct. 22, 1996. *Related*: CA, EP, WO.
- [515] M. C. Heath (Lord Corp., US). Active noise and vibration control system. US 5,802,184. Filed: Aug. 15, 1996. Patented: Sept. 1, 1998.
- [516] S. Leth (SAAB, SE). [A method of and a device for actively reducing the level of primary field of sound or vibration in a space]. SE 513754 C2. Filed: Dec. 14, 1998. Patented: Oct. 30, 2000. *Related:* AU, EP, WO.
- [517] A. O. Andersson and R. A. Russo (Boeing, US). Method and aparatus for actively reducing repetitive vibrations. US 5,233,540. Filed: Aug. 30, 1990. Patented: Aug. 3, 1993.
- [518] M. H. Travis (Boeing, US). Method and apparatus for minimizing aircraft cabin noise. US 5,586,065. Filed: May 31, 1994. Patented: Dec. 17, 1996. *Related:* AU, DE, EP, WO.
- [519] D. A. Hodgson et al. (Lord Corp., US). Broadband noise and vibration reduction. US 5,526,292. Filed: Nov. 30, 1994. Patented: June 11, 1996. *Related:* DE, EP, WO.
- [520] M. R. Jolly et al. (Lord Corp., US). Frequency-focused actuators for active vibrational energy control systems. US 5,754,662. Filed: Nov. 30, 1994. Patented: May 9, 1998. *Related:* DE, EP, WO.
- [521] I. Stothers (Lotus, UK). Adaptive control system having multiple inputs and multiple outputs. GB 2310512 A. Priority (GB): Feb. 23, 1996. Published: Aug. 27, 1997.
- [522] D. J. Rossetti et al. (Lord Corp., US). Active structural control system and method including active vibration absorbers (AVAS). US 6,002,778. Filed: Aug. 7, 1996. Patented: Dec. 14, 1999. *Related:* DE, EP, WO.

- [523] E. G. Norris (American Technology Corp., US). Sound reduction method and system for jet engines. US 5,966,452. Filed: March 7, 1997. Patented: Oct. 12. 1999. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, RU, WO.
- [524] P. Seifert (Volkswagen, DE). [Method and device for noise reduction in the head space of vehicle passengers]. DE 31 06 029 A1. Filed: Feb. 19, 1981. Published: Sept. 9, 1982.
- [525] S. Matsui (Nissan, JP). [Control of sound filed in chamber of automobile]. JP 59 009 699 A2. Filed: July 7, 1982. Patent JP 4 000 273 B4, issued: Jan. 6, 1992. *Related:* EP, US.
- [526] A. Lorenzini (Peugeot, FR). [Noise attenuation device for the interior space of an automobile]. FR 2531023 B1. Filed: Aug. 2, 1982. Patented: April 30, 1987.
- [527] J. Nakano and T. Ogawa (Toyota, JP). [Measuring and reproducing instrument for electric noise]. JP 61 254 865 A2. Filed: May 7, 1985. Patent JP 4 045 074 B4, issued: July 23, 1992. *Related:* US.
- [528] G. Kuipers (AUDI, DE). [Device for the attenuation of acoustic noise]. DE 3612204 A1. Filed: April 11, 1986. Published: Oct. 15, 1987.
- [529] R. Freymann et al. (BMW, DE). [Device for reducing the noise level in a vehicle compartment]. DE 38 16 921 A1. Filed: May 18, 1988. Published: Nov. 30, 1989. *Related:* EP.
- [530] S. J. Elliott et al. (Adapative Control, UK). Active vibration control. US 5,170,433. First filed: May 24, 1988. Patented: Dec. 8, 1992.
- [531] M. Nagami et al. (Fujitsu Ten, JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 3050998 A2. Filed: July 19, 1989. Patent JP 7027 391 B4, issued: March 29, 1995. *Related:* CA, US.
- [532] K. Schaaf et al. (Volkswagen, DE). [A device for the active reduction in noise level of a periodically operating noise source]. DE 40 26 070 C2. Priority (DE): Aug. 22, 1989. Patented: May 11, 2000. *Related:* GB.
- [533] Y. Nakaji (Nissan, JP). [Device for reducing noise in vehicle room]. JP 3 054 994 A2. Filed: July 24, 1989. Patent: JP 7 078 680 B4, issued: Aug. 23, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [534] T. Hamabe (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 490 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Published: Sept. 5, 1991.
- [535] A. Kinoshita and H. Aoki (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 491 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Patent JP 2748 625 B2, issued: May 13, 1998.
- [536] A. Kinoshita (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 492 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Patent JP 2 674 251 B2, issued: Nov. 12, 1997.
- [537] A. Kinoshita (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 493 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Published: Sept. 5, 1991.
- [538] A. Kinoshita (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 494 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Patent JP 2 757 514 B2, issued: May 25, 1998.
- [539] A. Kinoshita (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 495 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Patent JP 2 674 252 B2, issued: Nov. 12, 1997.
- [540] A. Kinoshita and H. Aoki (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 496 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Patent JP 2 529 745 B2, issued: Sept. 4, 1996.
- [541] M. Nadim (NCT, US). Active noise reduction. WO 92/18975 A1. Priority (GB): April 9, 1991. Published: Oct. 29, 1992. Related: AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, GR, HU, JP, US.
- [542] T. Hamabe et al. (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 5061483 A2. Filed: Aug. 30, 1991. Patent JP 2 939 017 B2, issued: Aug. 25, 1999. *Related*: DE, GB, US.
- [543] K. Sato et al. (Hitachi and Nissan, JP). [Noise reducer]. JP 5 066 780 A2. Filed: Sept. 5, 1991. Patent JP 2 530 779 B2, issued: Sept. 4, 1996. *Related:* DE, GB, US.
- [544] K. Sato et al. (Hitachi and Nissan, JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 5019775 A. Filed: July 11, 1991. Published: Jan. 29, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [545] J. Kuusama (Nokia, DE). [Active noise cancellation system]. FI 915142 A. Filed: Oct. 31, 1991. Published: May 1, 1993. Related: DE, EP, JP.
- [546] J. Kuusama (Nokia, DE). [Active noise cancellation system]. FI 94 563 C. Filed: Oct. 31, 1991. Patented: Sept. 25, 1995. Related: DE, EP, JP.
- [547] H. Uchida and N. Nakao (Mazda, JP). [Vibration control device for vehicle]. JP 5 232 969 A2. Filed: Feb. 19, 1992. Published: Sept. 10, 1993. *Related:* DE.
- [548] Y. Nakaji et al. (Nissan, JP). [Active noise control device for vehicle]. JP 5 249 984 A2. Filed: March 4, 1992. Patent JP 2 876 874 B2, issued: March 31, 1999. *Related*: DE, US.
- [549] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji and Pioneer, JP). Active noise reduction system for automobile compartment. US 5,485,523. Priority (JP): March 17, 1992. Patented: Jan. 16, 1996. *Related:* DE, GB.
- [550] M. Akiyasu et al. (Alpine Electronics and Honda, JP). [Noise canceling device]. JP 6004083 A2. Filed: June 19, 1992. Published: Jan. 14, 1994.
- [551] N. Saito et al. (Alpine Electronics and Honda, JP). [Noise canceling device]. JP 6 027 967 A2. Filed: July 8, 1992. Published: Feb. 4, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [552] M. Akiho et al. (Alpine Electronics and Honda, JP). Noise-canceling apparatus. US 5,524,057. Priority (JP): June 19, 1992. Patented: June 4, 1996. *Related*: GB.
- [553] N. Nakao et al. (Mazda, JP). [Vibration reducing device for vehicle]. JP 6 087 335 A2. Priority (JP): July 6, 1992. Patent JP 3 320 842 B2, issued: Sept. 3, 2002. *Related:* EP, KR, US.
- [554] S. Imanishii et al. (Alpine Electronics and Honda, JP). [Noise cancellation system]. JP 6 035 483 A2. Filed: July 21, 1992. Patent JP 3 383 325 B2, issued: March 4, 2003.
- [555] H. Iitaka and M. Nohara (Fuji Heavy Industries and Pioneer Electronic Corp., JP). [Noise reducing device]. JP 6 035 487 A. Filed: July 22, 1992. Patent JP 3 502 401 B2, issued: March 2, 2004. Related: US.
- [556] M. Namekawa et al. (Alpine Electronics and Honda, JP). [Noise canceling system]. JP 6 059 681 A2. Filed: Aug. 7, 1992. Published: March 4, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [557] K. Imai et al. (Alpine Electronics and Honda, JP). [Noise cancellation system]. JP 6 138 887 A2. Filed: Oct. 29, 1992. Patent JP 3 439 229 B2, issued: Aug. 25, 2003.
- [558] M. Akiyasu et al. (Alpine Electronics and Honda, JP). [Noise cancellation system]. JP 6 195 088 A2. Filed: Dec. 25, 1992. Published: July 15, 1994.
- [559] K. Imai et al. (Honda, JP). Noise canceling method. US 5,410,606. Filed: July 13, 1993. Patented: April 25, 1995.
- [560] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries and Pioneer Electronic Corp., JP). [Noise reducer in vehicle cabin]. JP 6 059 690 A. Filed: Aug. 13, 1992. Patent JP 3 362 870 B2, issued: Jan. 7, 2003. *Related:* US.
- [561] N. Nakao et al. (Mazda, JP). [Vibration reducing device for vehicle]. JP 6 127 276 A2. Filed: Aug. 6, 1993. Patent JP 3 276 214 B2, issued: April 22, 2002. *Related:* CN, EP, KR, US.
- [562] G. Hettwer et al. (GSP, DE). [Method and device for the active reduction of vehicle interior noise]. DE 42 36 155 C2. Filed: Oct. 20, 1992. Patented: Feb. 8, 1996.
- [563] K. Yokota et al. (Fuji Jukogyo, JP). [In-cabin noise reducing device]. JP 6 149 268 A2. Filed: Nov. 2, 1992. Published: May 27, 1994. Related: DE, GB, US.
- [564] K. Yokota et al. (Fuji Jukogyo, JP). [In-cabin noise reducing device]. DE 43 44 302 C2. Priority (JP): Dec. 25, 1992. Patented: Jan. 29, 1998. *Related*: GB, JP, US.
- [565] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [In-car noise reducing device]. JP 6 230 788 A2. Filed: Feb. 1, 1993. Published: Aug. 19, 1994. *Related*: DE, GB, US.
- [566] H. Uchida et al. (Mazda, JP). [Vibration controller for vehicle]. JP 6 274 184 A2. Filed: March 19, 1993. Patent JP 3 281 101 B2, issued: May 13, 2002. *Related:* DE, US.
- [567] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Jukogyo, JP). [Noise reduction device in vehicle compartment]. JP 6 332 470 A2. Filed: May 21, 1993. Published: Dec. 2, 1994. *Related:* DE, GB, US.
- [568] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Intra-cabin noise reducing device]. JP 7 020 883 A. Filed: July 1, 1993. Published: Jan. 24, 1995.
- [569] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Noise reduction device in vehicle]. JP 7 168 582 A. Filed: Dec. 15, 1993. Published: July 4, 1995.
- [570] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries and Pioneer Electronics Corp., JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 7 199 958 A. Filed: Dec. 28, 1993. Published: Aug. 4, 1995.
- [571] E. Shibata et al. (Fuji Jukogyo, JP). [Intra-cabin noise reducing device]. JP 7 020 884 A2. Filed: July 1, 1993. Published: Jan. 24, 1995. *Related*: DE, GB, US.
- [572] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 7 199 959 A2. Filed: Dec. 28, 1993. Patent JP 3 416 234 B2, issued: June 16, 2003. *Related*: DE, GB, US.
- [573] H. Iitaka et al. (Fuji Jukogyo, JP). [Interior noise reducing device]. JP 6 282 277 A2. Filed: March 29, 1993. Published: March 29, 1993. Related: DE, GB, US.
- [574] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries and Pioneer Electronics Corp., JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 7 199 962 A. Filed: Dec. 28, 1993. Patent JP 3 419 866 B2, issued: June 23, 2003.
- [575] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries and Pioneer Electronics Corp., JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 7 199 971 A. Filed: Dec. 28, 1993. Published: Aug. 4, 1995.

- [576] M. Tamamura et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Device for reducing noise in vehicle]. JP 7 325 585 A. Filed: May 30, 1994. Published: Dec. 12, 1995.
- [577] M. Tamamura and E. Shibata (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [In-vehicle noise reducing device]. JP 8 083 082 A. Filed: Sept. 14, 1994. Published: March 26, 1996.
- [578] M. Tamamura and E. Shibata (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [In-vehicle noise reducing device]. JP 8 083 083 A. Filed: Sept. 14, 1994. Published: March 26, 1996.
- [579] M. Tamamura and E. Shibata (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Device for decreasing noise in vehicle room]. JP 8 095 579 A. Filed: Sept. 21, 1994. Published: April 12, 1996.
- [580] H. Iitaka et al. (Fuji Jukogyo, JP). [Device for reducing noise in car room]. JP 7 239 691 A. Filed: March 1, 1994. Published: Sept. 12, 1995.
- [581] E. Shibata et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Device for reducing noise in vehicle]. JP 7 325 586 A. Filed: May 30, 1994. Published: Dec. 12, 1995.
- [582] E. Shibata et al. (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Device for reducing noise in vehicle]. JP 7 325 587 A. Filed: May 30, 1994. Published: Dec. 12, 1995.
- [583] M. Tamamura and E. Shibata (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Automobile compartment noise reduction device]. JP 9 006 367 A. Filed: June 20, 1995. Published: Jan. 10, 1997.
- [584] M. Tamamura and E. Shibata (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Device for reducing noise in cabin of vehicle]. JP 9 062 270 A. Filed: Aug. 29, 1995. Published: March 7, 1997.
- [585] U. Emborg et al. (SAAB, SE). [A device for active sound control in a space]. SE 9904339 A. Filed: Nov. 30, 1999. Patent SE 518 116 C, issued: Aug. 27, 2002. *Related:* AT, EP, WO.
- [586] J. F. Astorino et al. (Siemens, CA). Active noise cancellation system recalibration. US 2001/0036280 A1. First filed: June 5, 2000. Published: Nov. 1, 2001. *Related:* EP.
- [587] J. F. Astorino et al. (Siemens, CA). Active noise cancellation stability solution. US 2001/0036281 A1. First filed: April 6, 2000. Published: Nov. 1, 2001. *Related:* EP.
- [588] R. Haworth and J.F. Astorino (Siemens Canada). Active noise attenuation inlet microphone system. US 2001/0036282 A1. First filed: May 12, 2000. Published: Nov. 1, 2001. *Related:* EP.
- [589] A. Unno et al. (Victor Company of Japan). **[On-board sound controller]**. JP 9 027 724 A2. Filed: March 29, 1996. Published: Jan. 28, 1997. *Related:* EP, US.
- [590] J. F. Astorino (Siemens, CA). Engine rotation reference signal for noise attenuation. US 2002/0076058 A1. First filed: Dec. 19, 2000. Published: June 20, 2002. *Related:* EP.
- [591] M. Fischer et al. (Fichtel & Sachs, DE). [Controlling vibrations in a passenger compartment of a motor vehicle and detecting defects in a motor vehicle]. DE 195 31 402 C2. Filed: Aug. 26, 1995. Patented: April 1, 1999. *Related:* ES, FR, GB, JP, US.
- [592] K. Schaaf (Volkswagen, DE). [Method and device for operating a microphone system, especially in a motor vehicle]. DE 198 12 697 A1. Filed: March 23, 1998. Published: Sept. 30, 1999. *Related*: EP, JP, US, WO.
- [593] M. Yoshida et al. (Pioneer Electronic Corp., JP). [On-vehicle speaker system]. JP 10224887 A. Filed: Feb. 6, 1997. Published: Aug. 21, 1998. *Related:* US.
- [594] D. Zandonella Necca (Fiat, IT). [A system for reducing noise due to vibrations in a vehicle]. IT 1 268 204 B1. Filed: Dec. 28, 1994. Patented: Feb. 21, 1997. *Related:* EP.
- [595] P. Bartels et al. (DaimlerChrysler, DE). [Method and apparatus for influencing window-generated noise]. DE 198 26 171 C1. Filed: June 13, 1998. Patented: Oct. 28, 1999. *Related:* EP.
- [596] D. Denker et al. (Volkswagen, DE). **[Understructure for an automobile]**. DE 37 20 946 C2. Priority: July 3, 1986. Patented: Feb. 25, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [597] S. Corino et al. (Magneti Marelli Climatizzazione, IT). [Anti-noise generating equipment for motor vehicles with alarm device]. IT 1 276 387 B1. Filed: June 15, 1995. Patented: Oct. 31, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [598] K. Tanaka et al. (Nippondenso and Nippon Soken, JP). [Intake sound controller]. JP 3 222 854 A. Filed: Nov. 20, 1990. Patent JP 2 882 491 B2, issued: April 12, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [599] P.D. Daly (Siemens, CA). Active noise cancellation system with integrated horn function. WO 01/33545 A1. Priority (US): Oct. 29, 1999. Published: May 10, 2001. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [600] J. F. Astorino et al. (Siemens, CA). Active noise control system with horn sound feature. US 2003/0233180 A1. First filed: June 18, 2002. Published: Dec. 18, 2003. *Related:* DE, GB.
- [601] P. D. Daly (Siemens, CA). Active noise cancellation optimized air gaps. US 2001/0046302 A1. First filed: April 14, 2000. Published: Nov. 29, 2001. *Related:* EP.

- [602] P. D. Daly (Siemens, CA). Active noise cancellation system. US 2001/0036279 A1. First filed: May 8, 2000. Published: Nov. 1, 2001. *Related:* EP.
- [603] P. D. Daly (Siemens, CA). Driving mode for active noise cancellation. EP 1 193 683 A3. Priority (US): Sept. 20, 2000. Published: Oct. 1, 2003.
- [604] R. D. McWilliam and I. R. McLean (Siemens Canada). Active dipole inlet using drone cone speaker driver. US 6,557,665 B2. First filed: June 6, 2000. Patented: May 6, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [605] R. D. McWilliam (Siemens Canada). Low frequency active noise control. US 2002/0150260 A1. First filed: April 12, 2001. Published: Oct. 17, 2002. *Related:* EP.
- [606] I. R. McLean (Siemens Canada). Active noise attenuation system. US 2001/0046300 A1. First filed: April 17, 2000. Published: Nov. 29, 2001. *Related:* EP.
- [607] I. R. McLean et al. (Siemens Canada). Environmentally robust noise attenuation system. US 2002/0034309 A1. First filed: Sept. 20, 2000. Published: March 21, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [608] P. D. Daly (Siemens, CA). Active noise cancellation for a vehicle induction system with selectable modelling noise. US 2002/0136415 A1. First filed: March 20, 2001. Published: Sept. 26, 2002. *Related:* EP.
- [609] P. D. Daly (Siemens, US). Active noise cancellation for a vehicle induction system having selectable engine noise profile. US 2002/0168071 A1. First filed: May 8, 2001. Published: Nov. 14, 2002. *Related:* EP.
- [610] P. D. Daly (Siemens, US). Active noise control for vehicle door noise. US 2003/0215099 A1. First filed: May 15, 2002. Published: Nov. 20, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [611] P. D. Daly et al. (Siemens, CA). Active noise cancellation arrangement with heat dissipation. US 6,563,711 B1. First filed: June 6, 2000. Patented: May 13, 2003. *Related:* DE, GB, WO.
- [612] R. D. McWilliam and I. R. McLean (Siemens Canada). Active noise control with on-line-filtered C modeling. US 2003/0112981 A1. First filed: Dec. 17, 2001. Published: June 19, 2003. *Related:* DE, GB.
- [613] P. E. A. Stuart (Siemens Canada). Resonator for active noise attenuation system. US 2002/0126853 A1. Filed: March 9, 2001. Published: Sept. 12, 2002. *Related:* EP.
- [614] P. E. A. Stuart (Siemens Canada). Speaker retention assembly for an active noise control system. US 6,684,977 B2.
   First filed: Sept. 13, 2001. Patented: Feb. 3, 2004. *Related:* EP.
- [615] P. E. A. Stuart (Siemens Canada). Microphone calibration for active noise control system. US 2003/0198354 A1. First filed: April 22, 2002. Published: Oct. 23, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [616] M. Vaishva (Siemens Canada). Digital filter modelling for active noise cancellation. GB 2 383 224 A. Priority (US): Dec. 17, 2001. Published: June 18, 2003.
- [617] M. Vaishva (Siemens Canada). Active noise cancellation using frequency response control. US 2003/0079937 A1. First filed: Oct. 30, 2001. Published: May 1, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [618] M. Vaishva (Siemens Canada). Acoustic enhancement of frequencies with large amplitude variation in an active noise cancellation system. US 2003/0152216 A1. First filed: Jan. 14, 2002. Published: Aug. 14, 2003. Related: DE, GB.
- [619] J. K. Vanderveen and Z. Xia (Siemens Canada). Integrated active noise attenuation system and fluid reservoir. US 2002/0071571 A1. First filed: Sept. 20, 2000. Published: June 13, 2002. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [620] J. K. Vanderveen and R. Haworth (Siemens Canada). Environmentally protected microphone for an active noise control system. US 6,702,061 B2. First filed: March 15, 2001. Patented: March 9, 2004. *Related:* EP.
- [621] J.K. Vanderveen (Siemens Canada). Active noise control system with a Helmholtz resonator. US 2003/0091198 A1. First filed: Nov. 15, 2001. Published: May 15, 2003.
- [622] H. Kobayashi et al. (Pioneer Electronic Corp., JP). [On-vehicle information processor]. JP 2001 213 243 A. Filed: Jan. 31, 2000. Published: Aug. 7, 2001. *Related:* EP, US.
- [623] R. A. Shoureshi (Cooper Tire & Rubber, US). Method and apparatus for intelligent active and semi-active vibration control. US 5,629,986. First filed: July 11, 1994. Patented: May 13, 1997.
- [624] H. Spannheimer and J. Waldinger (BMW, DE). [Active sound absorber for an automobile]. DE 19543128 A1. Filed: Nov. 18, 1995. Published: May 22, 1997. *Related:* EP.
- [625] A. Kinoshita and H. Aoki (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 3 203 496 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1989. Patent JP 2 748 626 B2, issued: May 13, 1998. *Related:* DE, FR, GB, US.
- [626] B. Lange and K. Hieronimus (Opel, DE). [Active noise reduction system for the vehicle interior space]. DE 40 15 313 C2. Filed: May 12, 1990. Patented: Feb. 25, 1993. *Related:* EP.
- [627] H. Sano et al. (Honda, JP). [Active vibration controller]. JP 5019776 A22. Filed: July 9, 1991. Published: Jan. 29, 1993. Related: DE, GB.

- [628] M. Doi et al. (Nissan, JP). [Active noise controller]. JP 5 181 487 A2. Filed: Dec. 27, 1991. Patent JP 2 921 232. Issued: July 19, 1999. *Related:* DE, US.
- [629] A. Kinoshita et al. (Nissan, JP). [Active type noise controller]. JP 5265468 A2. Filed: March 19, 1992. Patent JP 2882170 B2, issued: April 12, 1999. *Related:* DE, US.
- [630] R. Freymann et al. (BMW, DE). [Sound absorptionm means for automobiles]. DE 4226 885 A1. Filed: Aug. 13, 1992. Published: Feb. 17, 1994. *Related:* EP.
- [631] H. Somai et al. (Mazda, JP). [Vibration reducing device for vehicle]. JP 6 222 782 A2. Filed: Aug. 19, 1993. Published: Aug. 12, 1994. *Related:* US, DE, KR.
- [632] H. Seni et al. (Mazda, JP). Vibration damping system for vehicle. US 5,690,321. Filed: July 1, 1996. Patented: Nov. 25, 1997. *Related:* DE, KR.
- [633] K. Terai et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Noise controller]. JP 6110469 A2. Filed: Sept. 30, 1992. Patent JP 2924496 B2, issued: July 26, 1999. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [634] P. Chinmoi and I. Hagiwara (Nissan, JP). [Active control unit]. JP 6 202 672 A. Filed: Jan. 6, 1993. Patent JP 3 345 930 B2, issued: Nov. 18, 2002.
- [635] C. Pal and I. Hagiwara (Nissan, JP). Active control system. US 5,434,783. Priority (JP): Jan. 6, 1993. Patented: July 18, 1995. Related: JP.
- [636] R. Beer (BMW, DE). [Method for the mesaurement of a difference sound by subtracting from a total sound the sound just radiated from a loudspeaker]. DE 43 03 921. Filed: Feb. 10, 1993. Published: Aug. 11, 1994.
- [637] S. M. Kuo et al. (Caterpillar, US). Top speaker mounting for active noise cancellation. WO 94/29845 A1. Priority (US): June 11, 1993. Published: Dec. 22, 1994. *Related:* AU.
- [638] S. M. Kuo et al. (Caterpillar, US). Vehicle operator station with three dimensional active noise cancellation. WO 94/29846 A1. Priority (US): June 11, 1993. Published: Dec. 22, 1994. *Related:* AU, EP, JP.
- [639] S. M. Kuo et al. (Caterpillar, US). Three dimensional sound control with active noise cancellation. WO 94/29847 A1. Priority (US): June 11, 1993. Published: Dec. 22, 1994. *Related:* AU.
- [640] S. M. Kuo et al. (Caterpillar, US). **Indirectly sensed signal processing in active periodic acoustic noise cancellation**. US 5,502,770. Filed: Nov. 29, 1993. Patented: March 26, 1996. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [641] T. Tsuji et al. (Honda, JP). [Active vibration noise control device for vehicle]. JP 7 261 774 A2. Filed: March 16, 1994. Patent JP 2 899 205 B2, issued: June 2, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [642] E. Shibata and M. Tamamura (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [In-cabin noise reducing device]. JP 9146558 A. Filed: Nov. 17, 1995. Published: June 6, 1997.
- [643] E. Shibata and M. Tamamura (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [In-cabin noise reducing device]. JP 9146561 A. Filed: Nov. 24, 1995. Published: June 6, 1997.
- [644] Y. Nakamura et al. (Matsushita and Honda, JP). [Active noise reducing device]. JP 2001 333 490 A. Filed: May 24, 2000. Patent JP 3 502 594 B2, issued: March 2, 2004. *Related:* CA, EP, US.
- [645] H. F. Blind et al. (Ford, US). Arbitration adjustment for acoustic reproduction systems. US 5,469,510. Filed: June 28, 1993. Patented: Nov. 21, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP.
- [646] J. S. Mark (Ford Global Technologies, US). Passenger compartment noise attenuation apparatus for use in a motor vehicle. US 5,812,684. Filed: July 5, 1995. Patented: Sept. 22, 1998.
- [647] K. Murakami et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Method and device for diagnosing contribution of sound source and vibration source]. JP 7 243 906 A. Filed: March 8, 1994. Published: Sept. 19, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [648] J. Pan et al. (The University of Western Australia, Perth, AU). Noise reduction apparatus. WO 00/038173 A1. Priority (AU): Dec. 21, 1998. Published: June 29, 2000. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [649] G. M. S. Joynes (Roke Manor Research, UK). Apparatus and method for reducing noise [using a laser and interferometer]. GB 2 360 900 B. Filed: March 30, 2000. Patented: Jan. 28, 2004. *Related:* DE, FR, US.
- [650] U. Brueckner (DE). [Device for acoustic irradiation]. DE 34 20 463 A1. Filed: June 1, 1984. Published: Dec. 5, 1985.
- [651] A. M. McDonald et al. (Lotus, UK). Sound synthesizer in a vehicle. WO 90/13109 A1. Filed: April 19, 1990. Published: Nov. 1, 1990. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, ES, JP, US.
- [652] A. M. McDonald et al. (Lotus, UK). Vibration and sound generation in vehicles. GB 2 252 657 A. Filed: Oct. 24, 1990. Published: Aug. 12, 1992. *Related:* WO.
- [653] W. Hennl (DE). **[Sound generating device]**. DE 90 05 598 U1. Filed: May 16, 1990. Published: Aug. 30, 1990. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [654] S. A. Shillington et al. (Rover Group, UK). Active enhancement of recurring sounds. GB 2 254 979 B. Filed: April 20, 1991. Patented: Aug. 31, 1994.

- [655] W. Miller (US). Sound effects generating system for automobiles. US 5,237,617. Filed: Oct. 17, 1991. Patented: Aug. 17, 1993.
- [656] H. Spannheimer et al. (BMW, DE). [Electro-acoustic apparatus for sound feedback]. DE 19845736 A1. Filed: Oct. 5, 1998. Published: April 6, 2000. *Related:* EP.
- [657] W. A. Gardner (US). Sound environment control apparatus. US 5,737,433. Filed: Jan. 16, 1996. Patented: April 7, 1998.
- [658] J. Winkler and J. Scheuren (Müller-BBM, DE). [Adaptive control]. DE 196 32 230 C2. Filed: Aug. 9, 1996. Patented: Dec. 16, 1999.
- [659] R. Freymann and T. Raymond (BMW, DE). [Device for the generation of sound in an automobile]. DE 196 43 030 A1. Filed: Oct. 18, 1996. Published: April 23, 1998.
- [660] W. Dreyer et al. (Volkswagen, DE). [Engine sound generation method for automobile]. DE 197 46 523 A1. Priority (DE): Nov. 2, 1996. Published: May 7, 1998.
- [661] R. Schmidetzki and S. Bohlen (Volkswagen, DE). [Device for engine sound design in a vehicle cabin]. DE 199 11 335 A1. Filed: March 15, 1999. Published: Sept. 21, 2000.
- [662] S. Sentpali (BMW, DE). [Method for the active reduction of noise from hydraulic equipment]. DE 19918455 A. Filed: April 23, 1999. Published: Nov. 2, 2000.
- [663] T. Kunimoto (Yamaha, JP). [Engine exhaust sound-synthesizing device]. JP 8152894 A2. Filed: Nov. 25, 1994. Patent JP 2 970 447 B2, issued: Nov. 2, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [664] G. R. Helder (US). Super sound engine/transmission sound enhancer. US 5,820,442. Filed: Dec. 9, 1996. Patented: Oct. 13, 1998.
- [665] J. D. Webb (US). Automotive sound replicator. US 5,586,187. Filed: July 1, 1994. Patented: Dec. 17, 1996.
- [666] J. Ortiz (Michalos & Lampidis, NJ, US). Identifying mechanical damage using sound samples. US 5,884,264. Filed: May 8, 1997. Patented: March 16, 1999.
- [667] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Electronic silencer for radiation sound of aperture]. JP 6 075 581 A. Filed: Aug. 25, 1992. Patent JP 3 505 724 B2, issued: March 15, 2004.
- [668] W. E. Gossman (NCT, US). Active acoustical controlled enclosure. WO 93/25879 A1. Filed: June 10, 1992. Published: Dec. 23, 1993. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [669] W. E. Gossman (NCT, US). Active acoustical controlled enclosure. US 5,790,673. Filed: April 9, 1997. Patented: Aug. 4, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [670] J. M. Powers et al. (US Navy). Energy absorption apparatus. US 5,371,801. Filed: Jan. 4, 1993. Patented: Dec. 6, 1994.
- [671] V. Naumburger (Deutsche Telekom, DE). [Method and device for active noise reduction in open telephone booths]. DE 198 42 804 A1. Filed: Sept. 18, 1998. Published: March 23, 2000. *Related*: EP.
- [672] J. L. Ferguson (US). Apparatus and method for reducing motion sickness. US 5,161,196. Filed: Nov. 21, 1990. Patented: Nov. 3, 1992.
- [673] W. D. De Hart (US Navy). Antijam communications system. US 3,984,774. Filed: Jan. 14, 1959. Patented: Oct. 5, 1976.
- [674] S. Mori and K. Amazawa (Clarion, JP). [Noise deleting system]. JP 52132712 A2. Filed: April 30, 1976. Patent JP 56 009 804 B4, issued: March 4, 1981. *Related:* DE, FR, GB, NL, SE, US.
- [675] F. S. Gutleber (US Army). Interference cancelling system for a mobile subscriber access communications system. US 4,434,505. Filed: Dec. 1, 1982. Patented: Feb. 28, 1984. *Related:* CA.
- [676] R. N. Ghose (US). Intercontinental air to air communications by an optimum mode. US 4,325,141. Filed: Sept. 22, 1977. Patented: April 13, 1982.
- [677] B. A. Stroehlein (US). AC line-correlated noise-canceling circuit. US 5,706,354. Filed: July 10, 1995. Patented: Jan. 6, 1998.
- [678] H. C. Papadopulos and C.-E. W. Sundberg (Lucent Technologies, US). Multiple access cellular communication with signal cancellation to reduce co-channel interference. US 5,768,254. Filed: Sept. 29, 1995. Patented: June 16, 1998. *Related*: CA, EP, JP.
- [679] K. F. Rilling (US). Adaptive array with automatic loop gain control. US 5,608,409. Filed: March 28, 1995. Patented: March 4, 1997.
- [680] K. Shibuya et al. (NHK, JP). **[Sneak canceler]**. JP 11 355 160 A. Filed: June 10, 1998. Published: Dec. 24, 1999. *Related:* EP, WO.

- [681] G. W. Ochs (Westinghouse Electric Corp., US). Power line communications terminal and interface circuit associated therewith. US 4,636,771. Filed: Dec. 10, 1984. Patented: Jan. 13, 1987. *Related:* CA, JP, SE.
- [682] K. Nagata and A. Miura (Nippon Electric Co., JP). Echo suppressor for long-distance communication network. US 3,465,106. Priority (JP): Sept. 10, 1964. Patented: Sept. 2, 1969. *Related:* DE, GB, NL.
- [683] L.S. Moye (International Standard Electric, US). Echo suppression in long distance telephone circuits. GB 1 108 415. Filed: May 6, 1966. Published: April 3, 1968. *Related:* CH, FR, US.
- [684] M. M. Sondhi (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Closed loop adaptive echo canceller using generalized filter networks. US 3,499,999. Filed: Oct. 31, 1966. Patented: March 10, 1970. *Related:* BE, DE, FR, GB, NL, SE.
- [685] J. L. Kelly Jr. (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Self-adaptive echo canceler. US 3,500,000. Filed: Oct. 31, 1966. Patented: March 10, 1970. *Related*: BE, DE, FR, GB, NL, SE.
- [686] S. Chiba and T. Sekimoto (Nippon Electric, JP). Method and apparatus for echo cancellation in telephone networks utilizing two-wire/four-wire equipment. US 3,660,619. Priority (JP): Nov. 21, 1968. Patented: May 2, 1972. *Related:* DE, GB, US.
- [687] L. K. Mackechnie (The Commonwealth of Australia). **Self adaptive filter and control circuit therefor**. US 3,732,410. Priority (AU): Dec. 22, 1969. Patented: May 8, 1973. *Related:* AU, DE, FR, GB, NL, SE.
- [688] R. Wehrmann (Siemens, DE). [Echo compensation circuit to erase echoes in telephone circuits]. DE 2011669 C3. Filed: March 12, 1970. Patented: June 14, 1973. *Related:* GB, JP, NL, SE, US, ZA.
- [689] S. Chiba (Nippon Electric, JP). Adaptive echo canceller using the gradient method and having correlator means. US 3,821,493. Priority (JP): May 15, 1971. Patented: June 28, 1974.
- [690] K. Ochiai and T. Araseki (Nippon Electric Co., JP). Echo canceller having two echo path models. US 3,787,645. Filed: May 17, 1972. Patented: Jan. 22, 1974. *Related:* JP, CA, DE, GB, IT.
- [691] S. J. Campanella et al. (COMSAT, US). Adaptive echo cancellers with compandors. US 3,780,233. Filed: Sept. 20, 1971. Patented: Dec. 18, 1973. *Related:* CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP, NL, SE.
- [692] S. J. Campanella et al. (COMSAT, US). Adaptive echo canceller with multi-increment gain coefficient corrections. US 3,836,734. Filed: Dec. 3, 1971. Patented: Sept. 17, 1974. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP, NL, SE.
- [693] S. J. Campanella et al. (COMSAT, US). Echo canceller with variable threshold. US 3,789,165. Filed: April 24, 1972. Patented: Jan. 29, 1974.
- [694] R. Wehrmann and W. Koch (Siemens, DE). [Echo compensation circuit to erase echoes in telephone circuits]. DE 2316 699 C3. Filed: April 4, 1973. Patented: May 27, 1981.
- [695] S.J. Campanella et al. (Communications Satellite, US). Adaptive echo canceller with digital center clipping. US 3,894,200. Filed: Oct. 10, 1973. Patented: July 8, 1975.
- [696] S. J. Campanella et al. (Communications Satellite, US). Digital echo suppressor. US 3,937,907. Filed: June 13, 1974. Patented: Feb. 10, 1976. *Related*: AU, BE, BR, CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP, NL, SE.
- [697] O. A. Horna (Communications Satellite, US). Logarithmic echo canceller. US 4,064,379. Filed: June 11, 1976. Patented: Dec. 20, 1977. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP, SE.
- [698] J.-P. Baudoux (TRT, FR). [Self-adapting baseband echo canceller]. FR 2 358 790 B1. Filed: July 13, 1976. Patented: July 2, 1982.
- [699] H. Höge (Siemens, DE). [Circuit device for echo cancellation in non-synchronous carrier frequency systems]. DE 27 29 109 A1. Filed: June 28, 1977. Published: Jan. 11, 1979.
- [700] D. L. Duttweiler (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Echo canceller using feedback to improve speech detector performance. US 4,129,753. Filed: Dec. 9, 1977. Patented: Dec. 12, 1978. *Related:* BE, DE, FR, GB, JP, SE.
- [701] H. Höge (Siemens, DE). [Circuit device for the cancellation of echoes]. DE 2833518 A1. Filed: July 31, 1978. Published: Feb. 21, 1980.
- [702] D. D. Falconer (AT&T Bell Laboratories, US). Echo cancellation in two-wire full-duplex data transmission with estimation of far-end data components. US 4,535,206. First filed: April 9, 1980. Patented: Aug. 13, 1985. *Related:* CA, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, IT, JP, NL, SE.
- [703] T.J. Zebo (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Echo canceler far end energy discriminator. US 4,405,840. Filed: March 5, 1981. Patented: Sept. 20, 1983. *Related:* BE, CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, NL, WO.
- [704] C. W. K. Gritton (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Partial band whole band energy discriminator . US 4,426,729. Filed: March 5, 1981. Patented: Jan. 17, 1984. *Related:* BE, CA, JP.
- [705] C. P. Chance et al. (AT&T Bell Laboratories, US). Bidirectional adaptive voice frequency repeater. US 4,584,441. Filed: Sept. 7, 1984. Patented: Apr. 22, 1986. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [706] C. P. Chance et al. (AT&T Bell Laboratories, US). Bidirectional adaptive voice frequency repeater. US 4,628,157.
   Filed: Sept. 7, 1984. Patented: Dec. 9, 1986. *Related:* EP, JP.

- [707] G. Beniston et al. (Plessey Overseas, UK). Echo canceller. GB 2 164 529 B. First filed: Sept. 12, 1984. Patented: Dec. 29, 1988. *Related*: EP, FI, JP, US.
- [708] G. D. Jones Jr. (IBM, US). Method and apparatus for improved control and time sharing of an echo canceller. US 4,712,235. Filed: Nov. 19, 1984. Patented: Dec. 8, 1987. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [709] G. Platel and C. Galand (IBM, US). A process for determining an echo path flat delay and echo canceler using said process. EP 0 221 221 B1. Filed: Oct. 30, 1985. Patented: Dec. 27, 1991. Related: JP, US.
- [710] Z. F. Huang (Racal Data Communications, US). High speed analog echo canceller. US 4,811,342. Filed: Nov. 12, 1985. Patented: March 7, 1989. *Related:* GB, JP.
- [711] D. Sylvain et al. (Northern Telecom, CA). Echo cancellation in two-wire transmission path repeaters. US 4,782,525. Filed: Nov. 27, 1985. Patented: Nov. 1, 1988. *Related:* CA.
- [712] M. Hiraguchi (NEC, JP). [Echo canceller system modem]. JP 63 073 724 A2. Filed: Sept. 16, 1986. Patent JP 6 050 829 B4, issued: June 29, 1994. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [713] J.-L. Connan et al. (FR). [Device for forming the "hands-free" function in a telephone set, associating the gain switching and echo cancelling functions]. FR 2612029 B1. Filed: March 3, 1987. Patented: May 12, 1989. Related: CA, DE, EP, JP, US.
- [714] M. Tsujikado et al. (Oki Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Method for controlling double talk detection of echo canceler]. JP 63 238 727 A. Filed: March 26, 1987. Patent JP 8 021 881 B4, issued: March 4, 1996 and JP 2 105 375 C2, issued: Nov. 6, 1996. *Related:* JP, GB.
- [715] M. Tsujikado et al. (Oki Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Method and device for detecting double talk]. JP 1 007 822 A. Filed: June 30, 1987. Patent JP 7 093 595 B4, issued: Oct. 9, 1995 and JP 2 055 449 C2, issued: May 23, 1996. Related: JP, GB.
- [716] K. P. Vairavan and P. McLeod (Advanced Micro Devices, US). Adaptive echo-canceller with double-talker detection. US 5,014,263. First filed: Oct. 2, 1987. Patented: May 7, 1991. *Related:* DE, EP, JP.
- [717] W. T. Hartwell et al. (AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Automatic speech recognition using echo cancellation. US 4,914,692. Filed: Dec. 29, 1987. Patented: April 3, 1990. *Related:* CA.
- [718] W. Brox and P. Vary (Philips, DE). [Echo canceller]. DE 38 40 433 A1. Filed: Dec. 1, 1988. Published: June 7, 1990. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, US.
- [719] V. R. Raman and M. R. Cromack (Digital Sound Corp., US). Adaptive echo canceller for voice messaging system. US 5,400,394. Filed: June 23, 1994. Patented: March 21, 1995. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [720] J. S. Chow et al. (Amati Communications, US). Mitigating clipping and quantization effects in digital transmission systems. US 5,623,513. Filed: Dec. 13, 1993. Patented: April 22, 1997. *Related:* AU, EP, JP, WO.
- [721] J. S. Chow et al. (Amati Communications, US). Mitigating clipping and quantization effects in digital transmission systems. US 5,787,113. First filed: Dec. 13, 1993. Patented: July 28, 1998.
- [722] P. Gysel et al. (Siemens, CH). [Echo canceler with analog coarse and digital fine compensator]. EP 0 691 756 A1.Priority (CH): July 7, 1994. Published: Jan. 10, 1996. *Related:* IL, NO, US.
- [723] R. L. Goodson and M. C. Rushing (Motorola, US). Method, device, dsp and modem for efficient echo canceler phase roll tracking. US 5,625,646. Filed: June 26, 1995. Patented: April 29, 1997. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, WO.
- [724] S. Jangi (Hughes Electronics, US). Echo canceller and method for a voice network using low rate coding and digital speech interpolation transmission. US 5,606,550. Filed: May 22, 1995. Patented: Feb. 25, 1997.
- [725] Y. Takahashi (NEC Corp., JP). [Echo canceller]. JP 4054718 A. Filed: June 25, 1990. Patent JP 3158414ÄB2, issued: April 23, 2001. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [726] A. Eriksson and J. Karlsén (Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson, SE). [An adaptive echo cancellation method]. SE 9 503 545 A. Filed: Oct. 11, 1995. Patent SE 505 152 C, issued: July 7, 1997. Related: AU, CA, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [727] A. Eriksson and J. Karlsén (Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson, SE). Method and device for echo cancellation using power estimation in a residual signal. SE 505 692 C. Filed: Dec. 18, 1995. Patented: Sept. 29, 1997. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [728] A. Eriksson (Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson, SE). Method and apparatos for echo estimation and suppression. SE 511 073 C. Filed: Sept. 10, 1997. Patented: Aug. 2, 1999. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [729] G. Eriksson et al. (Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson, SE). Method and apparatus for controlling echo on both sides of a connection. US 6,064,873. Filed: March 26, 1997. Patented: May 16, 2000. *Related:* AU, EP, JP, PL, WO.
- [730] J. Karlsen and A. Eriksson (Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson, SE). [An adaptive dual filter echo cancellation method]. SE 9 503 640 A. Filed: Oct. 18, 1995. Patent SE 505 150 C9, issued: Aug. 4, 1997. *Related:* AU, CA, EE, EP, JP, NO, US, WO.

- [731] D. L. Duttweiler et al. (Lucent Technologies, US). Echo canceler e-side speech detector. US 5,668,865. Filed: Feb. 26, 1996. Patented: Sept. 16, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [732] A. Klindworth et al. (Infineon Technologies, US). Adaptive filter divergence control in echo cancelers by means of amplitude distribution evaluation with configurable hysteresis. EP 1052619 A2. Priority (US): May 12, 1999. Published: Nov. 15, 2000. *Related:* CN, JP.
- [733] S. Oshima and A. Sato (Kokusai Denshin Denwa, JP). [Echo cancelling system]. JP 51102510 A2. Filed: March 7, 1975. Published: Sept. 10, 1976. *Related:* US.
- [734] T. J. Makovicka (Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, SE). Cancellation of loudspeaker words in speech recognition. US 6,725,193 B1. Filed: Sept. 13, 2000. Patented: April 20, 2004. *Related:* AU, WO.
- [735] W. V. Sorin et al. (Novera Optics, US). Acousto-optic variable attenuator with active cancellation of back reflections. US 6,510,261 B2. Priority (KR): June 6, 1997. Patented: Jan. 21, 2003. *Related:* WO.
- [736] K. H. Mueller (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Echo canceller for two-wire full duplex data transmission. US 4,087,654. Filed: Nov. 28, 1975. Patented: May 2, 1978. *Related:* AU, BE, CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP, NL, SE.
- [737] H. C. van den Elzen et al. (Philips, NL). [Arrangement for simultaneous two-way data transmission over two-wire circuits]. NL 170 688 C. Filed: June 28, 1976. Patented: Dec. 1, 1982. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP, SE, US.
- [738] S. B. Weinstein (Bell Telephone Labs., US). Echo cancellation in two-wire, two-way data transmission systems. US RE 31,253. First filed: Sept. 7, 1976. Patented: May 24, 1993.
- [739] W. A. M. Snijders et al. (U.S. Philips Corp.). [Echo canceler with high-pass filter]. NL 7903759 A. Filed: May 14, 1979. Published: Nov. 18, 1980. *Related:* CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP, SE, US.
- [740] E. Paneth et al. (Brooktree Corp., US). System for, and method of, transmitting and receiving through telephone lines signals representing data. US 5,627,885. Filed: Feb. 14, 1994. Patented: May 6, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [741] C. Reese and B. Bielsker (Coherent Communications Systems, US). Automatic echo cancellation for an integrated services digital network interface. US 5,631,958. Filed: Oct. 4, 1994. Patented: May 20, 1997.
- [742] W. L. Betts and E. S. Zuranski (Paradyne, US). Listener echo cancellation for modems. US 5,680,451. Filed: Nov. 14, 1995. Patented: Oct. 21, 1997. *Related:* WO.
- [743] D. J. Pope (EMI, UK). An echo cancelling system. GB 2159376 B. Filed: May 22, 1984. Patented: Aug. 26, 1987. *Related:* US.
- [744] P. M. Brown et al. (Cirrus Logic, US). Method and apparatus for emulating telephonic communications with a modem and headset. US 5,533,105. Filed: Sept. 15, 1993. Patented: July 2, 1996.
- [745] P. M. Brown et al. (Cirrus Logic, US). Method and apparatus for emulating telephonic communications with a modem and headset. US 5,524,047. First filed: Sept. 15, 1993. Patented: June 4, 1996.
- [746] P. M. Brown (Cirrus Logic, US). Method and apparatus for minimizing system oscillations caused by acoustical coupling. US 5,771,278. First filed: Dec. 27, 1993. Patented: June 23, 1998.
- [747] P. M. Brown (Cirrus Logic, US). Method and apparatus for minimizing system oscillations caused by acoustical coupling. US 5,999,597. First filed: Dec. 27, 1993. Patented: Dec. 7, 1999.
- [748] S.Z.M. Gabr (A.R.D. Anstalt, FL). Telephone systems with reduced acoustic feedback. US 3,889,058. Priority (GB): Jan. 15, 1969. Patented: June 10, 1975. *Related:* DE, FR.
- [749] S. Z. M. Gabr (A.R.D. Anstalt, FL). Improvements in or relating to microphone circuits. GB 1458663 A. Filed: Dec. 15, 1972. Published: Dec. 15, 1976. *Related:* CA, US.
- [750] J. L. Flanagan (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Dereverberation by spectral measurement. US 3,542,954. Filed: June 17, 1968. Patented: Nov. 24, 1970.
- [751] J. L. Flanagan (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Apparatus for reducing multipath distortion of signals utilizing cepstrum technique. US 3,662,108. Filed: June 8, 1970. Patented: May 9, 1972.
- [752] D. H. Nash (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Analog dereverberation system. US 4,069,395. Filed: April 27, 1977. Patented: Jan. 17, 1978.
- [753] H. Fuda (NEC, JP). [Training method for echo canceller for voice conference equipment]. JP 2-280422 A. Filed: April 20, 1989. Published: Nov. 16, 1990. *Related:* CA, US.
- [754] R. Miyamoto et al. (Oki Electric Industrial Co., JP). **Double-talk detection in an echo canceller**. US 4,894,820. Priority (JP): March 24, 1987. Patented: Jan. 16, 1990. *Related:* JP, GB.
- [755] T. Okuno et al. (Pioneer Electronic Corp., JP). [Acoustic echo erasion device]. JP 9 261 135 A. Filed: March 25, 1996. Published: Oct. 3, 1997. *Related:* US.
- [756] J.-P. Jullien and G. Le Tourneur (France Telecom). [Device for processing echo, particularly acoustic echo in a telephone line]. FR 2 651 077 B1. Filed: Aug. 18, 1989. Patented: June 10, 1994. *Related:* DE, EP, US, WO.

- [757] J. P. Thomas et al. (France Telecom, FR). [Method and device for reducing multi-channel acoustic echo and adapting sound to space conditions]. FR 2763774 B1. Filed: May 21, 1997. Patented: Aug. 6, 1999. Related: EP, JP, US, WO.
- [758] A. Sendyk and J. E. Austin (JRC International, US). Double echo cancelling system. US 5,353,348. Filed: May 14, 1993. Patented: Oct. 4, 1994.
- [759] S. Minami and O. Okada (Toshiba, JP). [Stereo sound encoding/decoding system]. JP 6 236 200 A. Filed: Feb. 12, 1993. Published: Aug. 23, 1994. *Related:* CA, US.
- [760] S. Minami and O. Okada (Toshiba, JP). [Stereo audio signal transmitter]. JP 6252863 A. Filed: Feb. 26, 1993. Published: Sept. 9, 1994. *Related:* CA, US.
- [761] R. Fujiwara (NEC, JP). [Echo suppressor]. JP 8-204619 A. Filed: Jan. 26, 1995. Published: Aug. 9, 1996. Related: US.
- [762] H. J. McLaughlin (Signalworks, US). System and method for an efficiently constrained frequency-domain adaptive filter. US 5,526,426. Filed: Nov. 8, 1994. Patented: June 11, 1996.
- [763] M. Fukushima et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Hand-free talking device]. JP 8223274 A. Filed: Feb. 15, 1995. Published: Aug. 30, 1996.
- [764] M. Fukushima et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Hand-free talking device]. JP 8223275 A. Filed: Feb. 15, 1995. Published: Aug. 30, 1996.
- [765] M. Fukushima et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Hand-free talking device]. JP 8 288 998 A. Filed: Aug. 28, 1995. Published: Nov. 1, 1996.
- [766] T. Tanaka et al. (Matsushita Electric Works, JP). [Handfree speech system for multiple dwelling house]. JP 9 121 253 A. Filed: Oct. 25, 1995. Published: May 6, 1997.
- [767] S. Gustafsson and J.-E. S. Eriksson (Telefonaktiebolaget L.M. Ericsson, SE). [A method and arrangement for elimination of acoustic echoes in a telecommunication system]. SE 506 152 C. Filed: Dec. 6, 1995, Patented: Nov. 17, 1997. Related: AU, CN, EP, NO, US, WO.
- [768] H. J. Matt et al (Alcatel, FR). [Method of adaptively adjusting the coefficients of a digital filter in an echo canceller]. DE 19647 276 A1. Filed: Nov. 15, 1996. Published: May 20, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, US.
- [769] E. D. Romesburg (Ericsson, US). Method and apparatus for adaptive volume control for a radiotelephone. US 5,966,438. Filed: March 5, 1996. Patented: Oct. 12, 1999. *Related:* AU, EE, EP, JP, PL, WO.
- [770] T. Kanesumi (Toshiba Corp., JP). [Handfree unit]. JP 11163762 A. Filed: Nov. 28, 1997. Published: June 18, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [771] K. Shiraki (Mitsubishi, JP). [Hand-free telephone set]. JP 10 322 441 A. Filed: May 16, 1997. Published: Dec. 4, 1998. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, US.
- [772] P. Taege (Deutsche Telekom, DE). [Method and device for suppressing echo in a hands free device such as a telephone]. DE 197 53 224 C2. Filed: Dec. 1, 1997. Patented: May 25, 2000. *Related:* EP, JP, US, WO.
- [773] Y. Ono (NEC Corp., JP). [Sound echo canceler]. JP 2000 059 270 A. Filed: Aug. 14, 1998. Patent JP 3 211 884 B2, issued: Sept. 25, 2001. Related: US.
- [774] J. Galties (Dresden University, DE). [Method for the suppression of echoes]. DE 196 06 543 A1. Filed: Feb. 22, 1996. Published: Aug. 28, 1997.
- [775] A. Mäkivirta and A. Varla (Genelec Oy, FI). [Sound reproduction equipment and method for reducing the level of acoustical reflections in a room]. FI 981 409 A. Filed: June 17, 1998. Published: Dec. 18, 1999. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [776] B. M. Finn and T. O. Roe (Digisonix, US). Coupled acoustic echo cancellation system. US 6,496,581 B1. Filed: Sept. 11, 1997. Patented: Dec. 17, 2002. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [777] B. M. Finn (Digisonix, US). DVE system with customized equalization. US 2002/0071573 A1. First filed: Sept. 11, 1997. Published: June 13, 2002. *Related:* WO.
- [778] R. Mcleod (Mitel Corp., CA). Circuit to improve transducer separation in handsfree telephone. US 6,438,240 B1. Filed: Feb. 18, 1997. Patented: Aug. 20, 2002. *Related:* CA, GB.
- [779] Youhong Lu (Tellabs Operations, US). Double talk detection and echo control circuit. US 6,052,462. Filed: July 10, 1997. Patented: April 18, 2000.
- [780] J. Rasmusson et al. (Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, SE). Generating calibration signals for an adaptive beamformer. US 6,549,627 B1. Filed: Jan. 30, 1998. Patented: April 15, 2003. *Related:* AU, EP, JP, WO.
- [781] B. Debail (France Télécom, FR). [Echo attenuating method and device]. FR 2 828 326 A1. Filed: Oct. 3, 2000. Published: Feb. 7, 2003. *Related:* AT, AU, EP, NO, US, WO.
- [782] B. Debail (France Télécom, FR). [Echo attenuating method and device]. FR 2 828 327 B1. Priority (FR): Oct. 3, 2000. Patented: Dec. 12, 2003.

- [783] M. T. Moyski and R. C. Spitz (Ford Motor Co., US). Communication system for passenger vehicle. US 5,185,803. Filed: Dec. 23, 1991. Patented: Feb. 9, 1993.
- [784] B. M. Finn and M. P. Nowak (Digisonix, US). Integrated vehicle voice enhancement system and hands-free cellular telephone system. US 6,505,057 B1. Filed: Jan. 23, 1998. Patented: Jan. 7, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [785] B. M. Finn et al. (Digisonix, US). Simplified communication system. US 6,295,364 B1. Filed: March 30, 1998. Patented: Sept. 25, 2001. *Related:* AU, EP.
- [786] K. Tontch et al. (Volkswagen, DE). [Method for operating a multiple microphones arrangement in a motor vehicle for spoken command input]. DE 199 42 868 A1. Filed: Sept. 8, 1999, Published: March 15, 2001. Related: EP.
- [787] T. Ohashi (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Noise reduction device]. JP 2001 056 693 A. Filed: Aug. 20, 1999. Published: Feb. 27, 2001. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [788] E. D. Romesburg (Ericsson, US). Noise suppressor circuit and associated method for suppressing periodic interference component portions of a communication signal. US 5,903,819. Filed: March 13, 1996. Patented: May 11, 1999. *Related:* AU, EE, EP, JP, WO.
- [789] J. Schultz and K. Schaaf (Volkswagen, DE). [Method for the reconstruction of low speech frequencies from midrange frequencies]. DE 10010037 A1. Filed: March 2, 2000. Published: Sept. 6, 2001. Related: EP.
- [790] K. Schaaf et al. (Volkswagen, DE). [Method and device for operating a telephone system, particularly in motor vehicles]. DE 198 23 007 A1. Filed: May 22, 1998, Published: Nov. 25, 1999.
- [791] <> S. R. Venkatesh and A. M. Finn (Lear Corp., US). Cabin communication system without acoustic echo cancellation. US 6,748,086 B1. Filed: Oct. 19, 2000. Patented: June 8, 2004. *Related:* AU, WO.
- [792] S. R. Venkatesh et al. (Lear Corp., US). Automatic volume control for communication system. US 6,674,865 B1. Filed: Oct. 19, 2000. Patented: Jan. 6, 2004.
- [793] T. Harley and S. Leese (NCT, US). Acoustic echo canceller. US 6,091,813. Filed: June 23, 1998. Patented: July 18, 2000. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [794] Y. Ariyama (OKI Electric Industry, JP). [Echo canceler]. JP 2000 115 033 A. Filed: Sept. 30, 1998. Patent JP 3 241 328 B2, issued: Dec. 25, 2001. Patent JP 3 241 328 B2, issued: Dec. 25, 2001. Related: US.
- [795] P. Faraci and P. Lang (Tellabs Operations, US). Teleconferencing device having acoustic transducers positioned to improve acoustic echo return loss. US 6,275,580 B1. Filed: July 7, 1998. Patented: Aug. 14, 2001.
- [796] S. K. Gupta (Qualcomm, US). System and method for implementation of an echo canceller. US 6,606,382 B2. Filed: Jan. 27, 2000. Patented: Aug. 12, 2003. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, NO, WO.
- [797] J. F. Kaiser (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Normalized sound control system. US 3,057,960. Filed: March 13, 1961. Patented: Oct. 9, 1962.
- [798] O. M. M. Mitchell et al. (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Ambient noise suppressor. US 3,644,674. Filed: June 30, 1969. Patented: Feb. 22, 1972.
- [799] J. A. Shaw (JAS Electronics, US). Fireman's safety apparatus. US 4,475,232. Filed: Oct. 18, 1982. Patented: Oct. 2, 1984.
- [800] J. B. Allen (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Method and apparatus for cancelling room reverberation and noise pickup. US 4,066,842. Filed: April 27, 1977. Patented: Jan. 3, 1978. *Related:* AU, BE, CA, CH, DE, ES, FR, GB, IL, IT, JP, NL, SE.
- [801] M. Miyoshi and Y. Kaneda (NTT, JP). [Multi-input controller]. JP 62190935 A2. Filed: Feb. 17, 1986. Patent JP 6054 883 B4, issued: July 20, 1994. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [802] A. J. Singer et al. (Interval Research Corp., US). Methods and systems for creating a spatial auditory environment in an audio conference system. US 5,889,843. Filed: March 4, 1996. Patented: March 30, 1999. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [803] P. Jeanlin (Société le Téléambiophone, Paris, FR). Two-way loudspeaker telephone installations. US 2,743,314. Priority (FR): Sept. 6, 1950. Patented: April 24, 1956.
- [804] D. A. Berkley and O. M. M. Mitchell (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Speech suppression by predictive filtering. US 3,784,747. Filed: Dec. 3, 1971. Patented: Jan. 8, 1974. *Related:* CA, DE, GB, JP.
- [805] D. C. Cox and D. O. J. Reudink (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Delay equalizing circuit for an audio system using multiple microphones. US 3,794,766. Filed: Feb. 8, 1973. Patented: Feb. 26, 1974.
- [806] S. W. Christensen and C. H. Coker (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Multiple microphone dereverberation system. US 4,131,760. Filed: Dec. 7, 1977. Patented: Dec. 26, 1978.
- [807] S. Bernard et al. (CIT-Alcatel, FR). [A loudspeaking telephone]. FR 2412210 B1. Filed: Dec. 14, 1977. Patented: Sept. 11, 1981. *Related*: BE, CA, DE, GB, IE, IT, JP, LU, NL, PL, SE, US.
- [808] T. K. Duong et al. (T.R.T., FR). [Acoustic feedback cancelling electro-acoustic transducer network]. FR 2 509 938 B1. Filed: April 1, 1981. Patented: Nov. 13, 1987. *Related:* EP, JP, US.

- [809] T. Arazeki and K. Ochiai (NEC, JP). [Suppressing device of detouring signal]. JP 58 003 430 A2. Filed: June 30, 1981. Published: Jan. 10, 1983. *Related:* CA, US.
- [810] S. Muraoka and M. Sakamoto (JP). [Howling preventing method]. JP 58 064 895 A2. Filed: Oct. 14, 1981. Patent JP 63 010 640 B4, issued: March 8, 1988. JP 1 464 714 C, issued: Nov. 10, 1988. *Related:* US.
- [811] Y. Ito (NEC, JP). [Echo signal suppressor]. JP 59 061 321 A2. Filed: Sept. 30, 1982. Patent JP 63 040 052 B4, issued: Aug. 9, 1988. JP 1 492 489 C, issued: April 20, 1989. *Related:* CA, US.
- [812] L.N. Schiff (RCA, US). Speakerphone with adaptive cancellation of room echoes. US 4,636,586. Filed: Sept. 20, 1985. Patented: Jan. 13, 1987.
- [813] D. Graupe et al. (Intellitech, US). Method and means for adaptively filtering screeching noise caused by acoustic feedback. US 4,783,818. Filed: Oct. 17, 1985. Patented: Nov. 8, 1988.
- [814] P. J. Van Gerwen et al. (Philips, NL). [Digital echo canceller]. NL 8701633 A. Filed: July 10, 1987. Published: Feb. 1, 1989. Related: CA, DE, EP, JP, KR, US.
- [815] Y. Yamamura and H. Fuda (NEC, JP). [Voice conference equipment]. JP 1319360 A2. Filed: June 20, 1988. Published: Dec. 25, 1989. *Related*: AU, GB, US.
- [816] Y. Arbel (IBM, US). Full-duplex digital speakerphone. US 4,912,758. Filed: Oct. 26, 1988. Patented: March 27, 1990. Related: DE, EP, JP.
- [817] S. D. Julstrom (Shure Bros., US). Echo reduction circuit. US 4,991,166. Filed: Oct. 28, 1988. Patented: Feb. 5, 1991.
- [818] D. E. Kobylarz and D. K. Ford (Motorola, US). Method for active feedback suppression. US 5,010,570. Filed: Feb. 20, 1990. Patented: April 23, 1991. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, MX, WO.
- [819] M. P. Lewis et al. (Sabine Musical Manufacturing Co., US). Method and apparatus for adaptive audio resonant frequency filtering. US 5,245,665. First filed: June 13, 1990. Patented: Sept. 14, 1993. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [820] P. L. Chu (PictureTel, US). Adaptive acoustic echo canceller having means for reducing or eliminating echo in a plurality of signal bandwidths. US 5,305,307. First filed: Jan. 4, 1991. Patented: April 19, 1994. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [821] P. L. Chu (PictureTel, US). Method and apparatus for estimating the level of acoustic feedback between a loudspeaker and microphone. US 5,236,019. First filed: Jan. 4, 1991. Patented: Nov. 16, 1993. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [822] K. Otsuka et al. (Toshiba, JP). [Voice communication equipment and training method for acoustic echo canceller]. JP 4 329 031 A2. Filed: April 30, 1991. Patent JP 3 253 646 B2, issued: Feb. 4, 2002. Related: US, WO.
- [823] Y. Umemoto et al. (Toshiba, JP). Voice communication device provided with echo canceler. WO 92/20170 A1. Priority (JP): April 30, 1991. Published: Nov. 12, 1992. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, KR, US.
- [824] N. Hirasawa and Y. Murata (NEC, JP). [Radio loudspeaking telephone system]. JP 5122325 A2. Filed: Oct. 28, 1991. Patent JP 2 894 881 B2, issued: May 24, 1999. *Related:* GB, US.
- [825] S. Fujiwara et al. (Mitsubishi, JP). [Silencing system]. JP 5313670 A2. Priority (JP): March 11, 1992. Patent JP 3370115 B2, issued: Jan. 27, 2003. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [826] S. Fujiwara et al. (Mitsubishi, JP). Silencing apparatus operable to reduce vehicle noise at a telephone. US 5,594,803. Priority (JP): March 11, 1992. Patented: Jan. 14, 1997.
- [827] H. Masada et al. (Fujitsu, JP). [Loudspeaking telephone set]. JP 6104970 A2. Filed: Sept. 18, 1992. Published: April 15, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [828] S. D. Julstrom (Shure Bros., US). Microphone actuation control system. US 5,297,210. Filed: April 10, 1992. Patented: March 22, 1994.
- [829] A. Hirano (NEC, JP). [Multi-channel echo eliminating device]. JP 6 197 050 A2. Filed: Nov. 10, 1992. Patent JP 2 508 574 B2, issued: June 19, 1996. *Related:* US.
- [830] S. Park and D. M. Funderburk (Motorola, US). Apparatus and method for noise reduction for a full-duplex speakerphone or the like. US 5,410,595. Filed: Nov. 12, 1992. Patented: April 25, 1995. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [831] D. Ramm and D. Chazan (IBM, US). Adaptive noise cancellation device. GB 2274372 A. Filed: Dec. 2, 1992. Published: July 20, 1994. *Related:* JP, US.
- [832] Y. Umemoto and K. Otsuka (Toshiba, JP). [Voice communication equipment containing echo canceller]. JP 6216811 A2. Filed: Jan. 20, 1993. Published: Aug. 5, 1994. *Related:* CA, EP, FI, KR, US, WO.
- [833] A. Hirano (NEC, JP). [Multi-channel echo eliminating method/device]. JP 6 343 051 A2. Filed: May 31, 1993. Patent JP 8 004 243 B4, issued: Jan. 17, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP, US.

- [834] S. F. Russell and J. F. Doherty (Iowa State University, US). Communication device, apparatus, and method utilizing pseudonoise signal for acoustical echo cancellation. US RE 35574. Filed: May 23, 1995. Patented: July 29, 1997. Reissue of US 5,327,496. *Related:* WO.
- [835] S. Park and G. D. Hillmann (Motorola, US). Echo cancellation in a full-duplex speakerphone. US 5,323,458. Filed: Oct. 25, 1993. Patented: June 21, 1994.
- [836] S. A. Urbanski (Motorola, US). Audio signal processor and method therefor for substantially reducing audio feedback in a communication unit. US 5,668,871. Filed: April 29, 1994. Patented: Sept. 16, 1997.
- [837] S. A. Urbanski (Motorola, US). Radiotelephone and method therefor for substantially reducing audio feedback. US 5,696,821. First filed: April 29, 1994. Patented: Dec. 9, 1997.
- [838] Y. Nagata et al. (Yamaha, JP). [Howling preventing device]. JP 8130792 A2. Priority (JP): Sept. 9, 1994. Patent JP 2773678 B2, issued: July 9, 1998. *Related*: GB, SG, US.
- [839] W. Armbrüster (US Philips). [Method and device for echo cancellation for full duplex connection]. DE 44 45 877 A1. Filed: Dec. 22, 1994. Published: Dec. 27, 1996. *Related:* EP, JP, US.
- [840] S.S. Oh (Texas Instruments, US). Method and apparatus for detecting a near-end speech signal. US 5,633,936. Filed: Jan. 9, 1995. Patented: May 27, 1997. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [841] T. Yoshida (NEC, JP). [Echo canceller]. JP 8 204 618 A2. Filed: Jan. 24, 1995. Patent JP 2 728 120 B2, issued: March 18, 1998. Related: AU, EP, US.
- [842] W. Taeger and G. Le Tourneur (France Télécom, FR). [Sound pick-up and reproduction system for reducing an echo resulting from acoustic coupling between a sound pick-up and a sound reproduction device]. FR 2785 481 A1. Filed: Oct. 28, 1998. Published: May 5, 2000. Related: EP, US.
- [843] W. Taeger and G. Le Tourneur (France Télécom, FR). [Sound pick-up and reproduction system for reducing an echo resulting from acoustic coupling between a sound pick-up and a sound reproduction device]. FR 2 785 482 B1. Priority (FR): Oct. 28, 1998. Patented: Nov. 24, 2000. *Related*: EP, US.
- [844] S.K. Steenhagen (Digisonix, US). **DVE system with instability detection**. US 6,665,411 B2. Filed: Feb. 21, 2001. Patented: Dec. 16, 2003. *Related:* WO.
- [845] T. Ura (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Howling detecting apparatus]. JP 2 003 259 480 A. Filed: March 5, 2002. Published: Sept. 12, 2003. *Related:* EP, US.
- [846] D. Graupe (GS Systems, US). Artificial intelligence pattern-recognition-based noise reduction system for speech processing. US 5,097,510. Filed: Nov. 7, 1989. Patented: March 17, 1992. *Related:*.
- [847] C. Todter et al. (US and AU). Noise cancelling systems. WO 92/05538 A1. Priority (AU): Sept. 14, 1990. Published: April 2, 1992. Related: US.
- [848] W.G. Eppler Jr. and D. Neaderland (Sound Control Technologies, US). Conference telephone using dynamic modeled line hybrid. US 5,600,714. Filed: Jan. 4, 1994. Patented: Feb. 4, 1997.
- [849] T. Yoshida and D. Shishido (NEC, JP). [Echo canceller]. JP 7 264 279 A2. Filed: March 18, 1994. Published: Oct. 13, 1995. *Related:* AU, GB, US.
- [850] K. Terai and H. Hashimoto (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Noise control transmitter-receiver]. JP 9 036 784 A. Filed: July 24, 1995. Patent JP 2 843 278 B2, issued: Jan. 6, 1999. Related: EP, US.
- [851] W. Wang and J. H. Boyden (Interval Research, US). Systems for feedback cancellation in an audio interface garment. US 5,664,019. Filed: Feb. 8, 1995. Patented: Sept. 2, 1997.
- [852] D. L. Duttweiler (Lucent Technologies, US). Acoustic echo canceler. US 5,631,899. Filed: May 31, 1995. Patented: May 20, 1997. Related: CA, EP, JP.
- [853] D.G. Shaw (Lucent Technologies, US). Multistage echo canceler including time variation compensation. US 5,610,909. Filed: May 31, 1995. Patented: March 11, 1997. *Related*:CA, EP, JP.
- [854] Xu Li (Rockwell International, US). Loop gain processing system for speakerphone applications. US 5,612,996. Filed: Sept. 21, 1995. Patented: March 18, 1997. *Related*: EP, JP.
- [855] Xu Li (Rockwell International, US). Efficient speakerphone anti-howling system. US 5,646,990. Filed: Sept. 21, 1995. Patented: July 8, 1997. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [856] S. McClennon et al. (Northern Telecom, CA). Dynamic optimization of handsfree microphone gain. US 5,796,818. Filed: Aug. 8, 1996. Patented: Aug. 18, 1998. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, WO.
- [857] K. Furuya and Y. Kaneda (NTT, JP). [Reverberation elimination method and equipment therefor]. JP 9 321 860 A2. Priority (JP): March 25, 1996. Published: Dec. 12, 1997. *Related:* US.
- [858] B. M. Finn (Digisonix, US). Acoustic echo cancellation in an integrated audio and telecommunication system. US 5,706,344. Filed: March 29, 1996. Patented: Jan. 6, 1998.

- [859] J. Boudy and F. Capman (Matra Communication, FR). [Acoustic echo canceller with subband filtering]. FR 2729 024 B1. Filed: Dec. 30, 1994. Patented: Feb. 28, 1997. Related: AU, BR, CA, CN, EP, FI, US, WO.
- [860] A. Hirano (NEC, JP). [Method and device for identifying system by use of adaptive filter]. JP 8 130 495 A2. Filed: Nov. 2, 1994. Patent JP 2 947 093 B2, issued: Sept. 13, 1999. *Related:* CA, EP, US.
- [861] K. P. Händel and J. A. J. Rasmusson (Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, SE). Methods and apparatus for measuring signal level and delay at multiple sensors. US 6,430,295 B1. Filed: July 11, 1997. Patented: Aug. 6, 2002. *Related:* AU, BR, CN, EE, EP, JP, PL, SG, WO.
- [862] W. L. Betts and G. Bremer (Paradyne, US). Audio distortion canceler method and apparatus. US 6,157,680. First filed: March 5, 1997. Patented: Dec. 5, 2000.
- [863] J. M. Dunn et al. (IBM, US). Enhanced audio teleconferencing with sound field effect. US 5,991,385. Filed: July 16, 1997. Patented: Nov. 23, 1999.
- [864] S. A. Johnson (Meta-C Corp., US). Method and apparatus for enhancing noise-corrupted speech. US 6,415,253 B1. First filed: Feb. 20, 1998. Patented: July 2, 2002.
- [865] D. V. Anderson et al. (Polycom, US). Speech activity detector for use in noise reduction system, and methods therefore. US 6,453,285 B1. First filed: Aug. 21, 1998. Patented: Sept. 17, 2002.
- [866] A. D. Michel and W. A. Weise (Lucent Technologies, US). Intelligent acoustic systems peripheral. US 5,764,512. Filed: Oct. 4, 1996. Patented: June 9, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [867] P. M. Zurek et al. (MIT, US). Adaptive beamforming for noise reduction. US 4,956,867. Filed: April 20, 1989. Patented: Sept. 11, 1990. *Related:* WO.
- [868] E. J. Addeo et al. (Bell Communic. Res., US). Sound localization system for teleconferencing using self-steering microphone arrays. US 5,335,011. Filed: Jan. 12, 1993. Patented: Aug. 2, 1994. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [869] B. B. Stewart (Morgan Stanley & Co., US). Directional microphone utilizing spaced apart omni-directional microphones. US 6,535,610 B1. Filed: Feb. 7, 1996. Patented: March 18, 2003. *Related:* WO.
- [870] C. P. Janse (Philips, US). [A noise reduction system and device, and a mobile radio station]. WO 95/16259 A1. Priority (EP): Dec. 6, 1993. Published: June 15, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, SG, US.
- [871] W. T. Moseley (MNC, US). Apparatus for performing noise cancellation in telephonic devices and headwear. US 5,524,058. Filed: Jan. 12, 1994. Patented: June 4, 1996.
- [872] G. W. Elko et al. (AT&T Bell Laboratories, US). Noise reduction processing arrangement for microphone arrays. US 4,802,227. Filed: April 3, 1987. Patented: Jan. 31, 1989.
- [873] J. Cezanne and G. W. Elko (AT&T, US). Adaptive microphone array. US 5,473,701. Filed: Nov. 5, 1993. Patented: Dec. 5, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP.
- [874] M. S. Brandstein and H. F. Silverman (Brown University, US). Methods and apparatus for adaptive beamforming. US 5,581,620. Filed: April 21, 1994. Patented: Dec. 3, 1996. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [875] G. W. Elko et al. (AT&T Bell Laboratories, US). Electret transducer array and fabrication technique. US 5,388,163. Filed: Dec. 23, 1991. Patented: Feb. 7, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, ES, JP.
- [876] N. Grbic et al. (Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, SE). A method and an apparatus for enhancing received desired sound signals from a desired sound source and of suppressing undesired sound signals from undesired sound sources. EP 1 343 351 A1. Filed: March 8, 2002. Published: Sept. 10, 2003.
- [877] M. Shitaya and K. Kaneko (Mitsubishi, JP). [Noise suppressing microphone system]. JP 2246599 A2. Filed: March 20, 1989. Patent JP 2680401 B2, issued: Nov. 11, 1997.
- [878] P. V. F. Clough and N. A. Lobo (Racal Acoustics, UK). Improvements in or relating to communications systems. GB 2 113 952 B. Priority (GB): Jan. 27, 1982. Patented: July 24, 1985. *Related:* DE, EP, IN, JP, US, ZA.
- [879] T. J. Poikela (FI). Voice operated switch. US 4,625,083. Filed: April 2, 1985. Patented: Nov. 25, 1986. Related: DE, GB.
- [880] H. B. Miller (US Navy). Electronic noise-reducing system. US 4,589,137. Filed: June 3, 1985. Patented: May 13, 1986.
- [881] S. Taguchi (NEC, JP). [Noise erasing device]. JP 62 135 019 A2. Priority (JP): Oct. 30, 1985. Patent JP 6 085 500 B4, issued: Oct. 26, 1994. JP 1 951 093 C, issued: July 10, 1995. *Related:* CA, US.
- [882] S. Taguchi (NEC, JP). [Noise erasing device]. JP 62 135 020 A2. Filed: Dec. 6, 1985. Patent JP 5 035 930 B4, issued: May 27, 1993. JP 1 827 327 C, issued: Feb. 28, 1994. *Related:* CA, US.
- [883] S. Nakamura (Ricoh, JP). [Noise suppression device]. JP 1118 900 A2. Filed: Nov. 1, 1987. Published: May 11, 1989. *Related:* DE, GB, US.
- [884] R. W. Chang (IBM, US). Distributed noise cancellation system. US 4,912,767. Filed: March 14, 1988. Patented: March 27, 1990. *Related:* DE, EP, JP.

- [885] G. E. Warnaka and L. A. Poole (Applied Acoustic Research, US). Noise reducing system for voice microphones. US 5,046,103. Filed: June 7, 1988. Patented: Sept. 3, 1991.
- [886] M. Hunt (NRC, CA). System for separating speech from background noise. GB 2 239 971 B. Filed: Dec. 6, 1989. Patented: Sept. 29, 1993. *Related:* CA, EP, US.
- [887] L.J. Eriksson and M.C. Allie (Nelson Industries, US). **Communication system with active noise cancellation**. US 5,033,082. Filed: July 31, 1989. Patented: July 16, 1991. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, JP.
- [888] P.L. Regen and W.H. Kingsley II (Plantronics, US). Noise cancelling apparatus for a telephone handset. US 5,239,578. Filed: May 15, 1990. Patented: Aug. 24, 1993.
- [889] M. P. Hollier and K. Welsby (British Telecom, UK). Noise-cancelling handset. EP 0 547 138 B1. Priority (GB): Sept. 6, 1990. Patented: June 26, 1996. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, ES, HK, IE, JP, KR, NZ, US, WO.
- [890] A.F. Matouk et al. (Local Silence, US). Active noise suppression system for telephone handsets and method. US 5,625,684. First filed: Feb. 4, 1993. Patented: April 29, 1997.
- [891] J. Yang and A. Sendyk (NovAtel Communications, CA). Noise-reduction system. US 5,432,859. Filed: Feb. 23, 1993. Patented: July 11, 1995. *Related:* CA.
- [892] M. H. Silverberg et al. (AT&T, US). Outbound noise cancellation for telephonic handset. US 5,406,622. Filed: Sept. 2, 1993. Patented: April 11, 1995.
- [893] M. Kubo (NEC, JP). [Telephone set provided with peripheral noise eliminating function]. JP 7 202 998 A2. Filed: Dec. 29, 1993. Published: Aug. 4, 1995. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, US.
- [894] L.J. Eriksson and C.D. Bremigan (Digisonix, US). Multi-channel communication system. US 5,602,928. Filed: Jan. 5, 1995. Patented: Feb. 11, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [895] C. Carme and A. Roure (Technofirst, FR). [Method and device for recovering a wanted acoustic signal from a composite acoustic signal including interference components]. FR 2741182 B1. Filed: Nov. 13, 1995. Patented: Jan. 2, 1998. *Related:* AU, DE, EP, US, WO.
- [896] R. L. Zinser Jr. et al. (General Electric, US). Two-input crosstalk-resistent adaptive noise canceller. US 4,649,505. Filed: July 2, 1984. Patented: March 10, 1987.
- [897] T. Yoshida et al. (Oki Electric Industry, JP). [Adaptive noise canceller device]. JP 6 054 394 A2. Priority (JP): June 3, 1992. Patent JP 3 176 474 B2, issued: June 18, 2001. *Related:* DE, US.
- [898] P. Gopalakrishnan et al. (IBM, US). Method and apparatus for suppressing background music or noise from the speech input of a speech recognizer. US 5,848,163. Filed: Feb. 2, 1996. Patented: Dec. 8, 1998. *Related:* EP.
- [899] P. M. Castello Da Costa et al. (Philips, NL). An adaptive noise cancelling arrangement, a noise reduction system and a transceiver. EP 0 809 900 A2. Priority (EP): Dec. 15, 1995. Published: Dec. 3, 1997. *Related:* CN, JP, US, WO.
- [900] Y. Gong (Texas Instruments, US). Method and system for adaptive speech recognition in a noisy environment. US 6,418,411 B1. First filed: March 12, 1999. Patented: July 9, 2002.
- [901] L. Krasny and A. S. Khayrallah (Ericsson, US). Methods and systems for noise reduction for spatially displaced signal sources. WO 01/65540 A1. Priority (US): Feb. 29, 2000. Published: Sept. 7, 2001. Related: AT, EP.
- [902] H. S. Ho et al. (DSC Communications, US). Voice enhancement system and method. US 5,592,545. First filed: Dec. 2, 1993. Patented: Jan. 7, 1997.
- [903] Y. Ono (NEC Corp., JP). [Noise suppression transmitter]. JP 10155194 A. Filed: Nov. 22, 1996. Patent JP 2950260 B2, issued: Sept. 20, 1999. *Related:* AU, GB, US.
- [904] R. Ormson (NEC Technologies, GB). A system for reducing the background noise on a telecommunication transmission. GB 2 394 391 A. Filed: Oct. 17, 2002. Published: April 21, 2004.
- [905] J. D. McIntosh (Motorola, US). Communication device with active equalization and method therefor. US 6,639,987 B2. Filed: Dec. 11, 2001. Patented: Oct. 28, 2003. *Related:* WO.
- [906] D. L. Berger and D. G. Jones (US). Voice transmission systems with voice cancellation. US 5,526,421. Filed: Feb. 16, 1993. Patented: June 11, 1996. *Related:* AU, EP, JP, WO.
- [907] B. D. Young and A. F. Dadds (Philips Electronics, NL). Apparatus including a sound translator. WO 95/29571 A3. Priority (GB): April 20, 1994. Published: Nov. 16, 1995.
- [908] R. Botros and R. A. Goubran (Botrus Teleconferencing & Acoustics Consulting, CA). Invisible acoustic screen for open-plan offices and the like. CA 2,179,794 A1. Filed: June 24, 1996. Published: Dec. 25, 1997. *Related:* US.
- [909] J. A. Bridges (British Telecommunications, GB). Voice activity detection. EP 0 809 841 B1. Priority (EP): Feb. 15, 1995. Patented: April 11, 2001. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, FI, JP, NO, US, WO.
- [910] A. V. Bykhovskii (SU). [Technique for noise suppression in the ear] (in Russian). SU 133 631. Filed: Aug. 24, 1949. Published: Invention Bulletin No. 22 (1960).

- [911] M. E. Hawley and E. D. Simshauser (RCA, US). Noise reduction system. US 2,972,018. Filed: Nov. 30, 1953. Patented: Feb. 14, 1961.
- [912] N. Hesselmann (DE). [Device for the active reduction and compensation of sound at the human ear]. DE 24 01 523 C2. Filed: Jan. 14, 1974. Patented: July 28, 1983.
- [913] I. Veit (Battelle, DE). [Apparatus for hearing protection]. DE 26 01 661 A1. Filed: Jan. 17, 1976. Published: July 21, 1977.
- [914] M. K. Goom and P. P. S. Smith (British Aircraft, UK). Improvements relating to hearing protection devices. GB 1 530 814 A. Filed: June 19, 1976. Published: Nov. 1, 1978.
- [915] R. C. Twiney and A. J. Salloway (Siemens Plessey, UK). Improvements relating to noise reduction arrangements. GB 2 172 470 B. Priority (GB): March 16, 1985. Patented: Jan. 11, 1989. *Related:* AT, DE, EP.
- [916] R.C. Twiney and A.J. Salloway (Siemens Plessey, UK). Improvements relating to noise reduction system. WO 89/00746 A1. Priority (GB): July 20, 1987. Published: Jan. 26, 1989. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, US.
- [917] J. Vermorel and F. Bauer (Deutsch-Französisches Forschungs-Institut, FR). [Devices for active vibration attenuation, in particular noise reduction, in series arrangement]. FR 2 632 473 B1. Filed: June 1, 1988. Patented: Sept. 7, 1990. Related: DE.
- [918] J. Vermorel and K. Buck (Deutsch-Französisches Forschungs-Institut, FR). [Device for active vibration and noise reduction without acoustic delay]. FR 2 632 474 B1. Filed: June 1, 1988. Patented: April 26, 1991. Related: DE.
- [919] C. F. Ross et al. (NCT, US). Noise reducing system. WO 91/13429 A1. Priority (GB): Feb. 21, 1990. Published: Sept. 5, 1991. *Related*: AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [920] V. Bartels and R. Lundin (Sennheiser, DE). [Device for the acoustic reduction of disturbing sound]. DE 42 00 811 C2. Filed: Jan. 15, 1992. Patented: Feb. 24, 1994.
- [921] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Local sound-proof device]. JP 5279 903 A. Filed: March 30, 1992. Published: Oct. 26, 1993.
- [922] C.S. Bartlett et al. (AT&T Bell Laboratories, US). Telephone headset structure for reducing ambient noise. US 5,343,523. Filed: Aug. 3, 1992. Patented: Aug. 30, 1994. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, SG.
- [923] V. Bartels and B. Markmann (Sennheiser electronic, DE). [Sound reproduction device with active noise compensation]. DE 195 26 124 C2. Filed: July 19, 1995. Patented: June 26, 1997. *Related*: EP, US.
- [924] V. Bartels and B. Markmann (Sennheiser electronic, DE). Sound reproduction device with active noise compensation. US 5,949,897. Priority (DE): July 19, 1995. Patented: Sept. 7, 1999.
- [925] A. J. Brammer and J. Pan (National Research Council of Canada). Digital feed-forward active noise control system. EP 1 074 970 B1. Priority (US): March 7, 1995. Patented: April 23, 2003.
- [926] C. Carme and A. Preumont (Technofirst, FR). [Hybrid active vibration control method and device, particularly for mechanical and acoustic vibration and the like]. FR 2739214 B1. Filed: Sept. 29, 1995. Patented: Dec. 19, 1997. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, US, WO.
- [927] J. A. Murphy (Gentex, US). Earcup assembly incorporating mechanical active noise reduction. US 5,148,887. Filed: April 1, 1991. Patented: Sept. 22, 1992. *Related:* DE, FR, GB, IT, SE.
- [928] R. J. Kelsey and L. D. Aschliman (Westinghouse Electric Corp., US). Frequency selective sound blocking system for hearing protection. US 5,355,418. First filed: Oct. 7, 1992. Patented: Oct. 11, 1994.
- [929] O. Jones (NCT, US). Reduced VLF overload susceptibility active noise cancellation headset. US 5,452,361. Filed: June 22, 1993. Patented: Sept. 19, 1995. *Related:* AU, DE, EP, ES, WO.
- [930] W. zum Berge (Sennheiser electronic, DE). [Device for the reduction of disturbing noise]. DE 596 05 337 C0. First filed: April 7, 1995. Patented: July 6, 2000. *Related:* EP, US.
- [931] S.H. Goodwin-Johansson (MCNC, US). Active noise supressors and methods for use in the ear canal. US 5,740,258. Filed: June 5, 1995. Patented: April 14, 1998.
- [932] C. F. Ross et al. (NCT, US). Noise reducing system. US 5,652,799. First filed: June 6, 1994. Patented: July 29, 1997.
- [933] D. A. Hotvet (3M, US). Directional ear device with adaptive bandwidth and gain control. US 5,550,923. Filed: Sept. 2, 1994. Patented: Aug. 27, 1996. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, ES, JP, WO.
- [934] H.-J. Griese (Sennheiser, DE). [Hearing protector]. DE 29 25 134 A1. Filed: June 22, 1979. Published: Jan. 8, 1981.
- [935] K. Beuter (Battelle, DE). [Method and device for the suppression of acoustic vibrations]. DE 3025 391 C2. Filed: July 4, 1980. Patented: Dec. 10, 1987.
- [936] G. B. B. Chaplin et al. (Sound Attenuators Ltd., UK). Method and apparatus for reducing repetitive noise entering the ear. GB 2 104 754 B. Priority (GB): June 12, 1981. Patented: April 24, 1985. *Related:* AT, AU, EP, NO, US, WO, ZA.

- [937] V. Lehnert et al. (DE). [Hearing protection headset]. DE 31 33 107 A1. Filed: Aug. 21, 1981. Published: March 10, 1983.
- [938] A.G. Bose and J. Carter (Bose, US). Headphoning. US 4,455,675. Filed: April 28, 1982. Patented: June 19, 1984.
- [939] M. J. Saxon (Topexpress, UK). Improvements in acoustic attenuation. GB 2172769 B. Filed: March 21, 1985. Patented: July 6, 1988.
- [940] M. J. Saxon (Topexpress, UK). Improvements in electrical transfer filters. GB 2172762 A. Filed: March 21, 1985. Published: Sept. 24, 1986.
- [941] R. Sapiejewski (Bose, US). Headphone with sound pressure sensing means. US 4,644,581. Filed: June 27, 1985. Patented: Feb. 17, 1987. *Related:* DE, EP, JP.
- [942] C. E. Carme and A. R. Roure (CNRS, Marseille, FR). [Method and apparatus for attenuating external origin noise reaching the eardrum, and for improving intelligibility of electro-acoustic communications]. FR 2595498 B1. Filed: March 7, 1986. Patented: June 2, 1989. *Related:* AT, BR, CA, DE, EP, FI, GR, JP, NO, US, WO.
- [943] J. Vermorel et al. (Deutsch-Französisches Forschungs-Institut, FR). [Device for protection against noise]. FR 2 604 551 B1. Filed: Sept. 26, 1986. Patented: Dec. 30, 1988. *Related:* DE.
- [944] M. W. Miles (US Air Force). Headset for ambient noise suppression. U.S. Statutory Invention Registration US H 417. Filed: March 5, 1987. Published: Jan. 5, 1988.
- [945] E. Langberg and F. G. Caruso (Sensor Electronics, US). Active noise reduction system. US 4,985,925. Filed: June 24, 1988. Patented: Jan. 18, 1991. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [946] T. Sasaki and A. Kimura (Sony, JP). [Noise decreasing device]. JP 2 254 898 A2. Filed: March 29, 1989. Patent JP 3112 268 B2, issued: Nov. 27, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP, KR, US.
- [947] A. Kimura et al. (Sony, JP). [Noise reducing device]. JP 2 252 398 A2. Filed: March 25, 1989. Published: Oct. 11, 1990. *Related:* DE, EP, KR, US.
- [948] W. T. Moseley (MNC, US). Electroacoustic device for hearing needs including noise cancellation. US 5,001,763. Filed: Aug. 10, 1989. Patented: March 19, 1991. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, DK, EP, ES, JP.
- [949] W. T. Moseley (MNC, US). Electroacoustic device for hearing needs including noise cancellation. US 5,117,461. Filed: July 10, 1990. Patented: May 26, 1992.
- [950] W. T. Moseley (MNC, US). Method and apparatus for performing noise cancelling and headphoning. US 5,134,659. First filed: Aug. 10, 1989. Patented: July 28, 1992. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [951] W.T. Moseley (MNC, US). Method and apparatus for performing noise cancelling and headphoning. US 5,138,663. First filed: Aug. 10, 1989. Patented: Aug. 11, 1992.
- [952] R. Sapiejewski (Bose, US). Earphoning. US 5,305,387. Filed: Oct. 27, 1989. Patented: April 19, 1994. Related: AT, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [953] T. R. Bourk (Telex Communic., US). Noise cancellation headset. US 5,182,774. Filed: July 20, 1990. Patented: Jan. 26, 1993. *Related:* CA.
- [954] D. Andrea and J. Kowalski (Andrea Electronics, US). Adaptive noise cancellation and speech enhancement system and apparatus therefor. US 5,251,263. Filed: May 22, 1992. Patented: Oct. 5, 1993.
- [955] C. S. Bartlett et al. (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Noise-cancelling telephone handset. US 5,491,747. Filed: July 25, 1994. Patented: Feb. 13, 1996. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, ES, JP, SG.
- [956] H. Nishimoto et al. (Sony, JP). [Headphone equipment]. JP 6 070 391 A2. Filed: Aug. 19, 1992. Patent JP 3 097 340 B2, issued: Oct. 10, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [957] D. Andrea and M. Topf (Andrea Electronics, US). Noise cancellation apparatus. US 5,381,473. Filed: Oct. 29, 1992. Patented: Jan. 10, 1995. *Related*: BR, CA, CN, EP, IL, JP, KR, MX.
- [958] D. Andrea and M. Topf (Andrea Electronics, US). Noise cancellation apparatus. US 5,673,325. Filed: Nov. 14, 1994. Patented: Sept. 30, 1997. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, CN, EP, FI, JP, WO.
- [959] D. Andrea and M. Topf (Andrea Electronics, US). Noise cancellation apparatus. US 5,732,145. Filed: June 7, 1995. Patented: March 24, 1998. *Related*: AU, BR, CA, CN, EP, FI, IL, WO.
- [960] D. Andrea and M. Topf (Andrea Electronics, US). Noise cancellation headset for use with stand or worn on ear. US 5,715,325. Filed: Oct. 23, 1995. Patented: Feb. 3, 1998. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, CN, EP, FI, IL, JP, WO.
- [961] D. Andrea and M. Topf (Andrea Electronics, US). Noise cancellation apparatus. US 5,825,897. Filed: Aug. 18, 1997. Patented: Oct. 20, 1998.
- [962] P. Darlington and G. A. Powell (UK Government). Ear defenders employing active noise control. GB 2 274 757 A. Filed: Jan. 28, 1993. Published: Aug. 3, 1994. *Related:* DE, EP, ES, JP, US, WO.

- [963] O. Jones (NCT, US). Variable gain active noise canceling system with improved residual noise sensing. US 6,118,878. First filed: June 23, 1993. Patented: Sept. 12, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [964] J. N. Denenberg (NCT, US). Remote siren headset. US 5,375,174. Filed: July 28, 1993. Patented: Dec. 20, 1994. Related: WO.
- [965] R. D. Evans et al. (NCT, US). Industrial headset. US 5,604,813. Filed: May 2, 1994. Patented: Feb. 18, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [966] K. Abe and T. Muraguchi (Sony, JP). [Headphone device]. JP 8 079 878 A2. Filed: Sept. 5, 1994. Published: March 22, 1994. Related: US.
- [967] T. P. Brittain (Amarillo, TX, US). Active noise reduction headset. US 5,675,658. Filed: July 27, 1995. Patented: Oct. 7, 1997.
- [968] O. Jones (NCT, US). Headset with means to limit cushion compression. GB 2 305 063 A. Filed: Sept. 7, 1995. Published: March 26, 1997. Related: AT, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [969] C. Gulli and G. Raynaud (Sextant Avionique, FR). [Sound-capture and listening system for head equipment in noisy environment]. FR 2744 320 B1. Filed: Jan. 26, 1996. Patented: March 6, 1998. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, NO, US, WO.
- [970] J. Housni (SAGEM, FR). [Anti-noise device with threshold detection]. FR 2 774 796 B1. Filed: Feb. 6, 1998. Patented: Oct. 5, 2001. *Related:* EP.
- [971] E. R. Wilcox Jr. (Highland, CA, US). Ear-mic headset/eardefender. US 4,972,491. Filed: Nov. 30, 1988. Patented: Nov. 20, 1990.
- [972] R. D. Benning et al. (Lucent Technologies, US). Electronic cancellation of ambient noise in telephone headset. US 5,774,565. Filed: Oct. 28, 1996. Patented: June 30, 1998. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, SG.
- [973] D. Andrea (Andrea Electronics, US). Active noise reduction apparatus having a headset with dual stereo jacks and an electronic device having switch means. US 2001/0050993 A1. First filed: March 19, 1997. Published: Dec. 13, 2001. Related: AU, EP, WO.
- [974] O. Jones (NCT, US). Active headset. US 6,069,959. Filed: April 30, 1997. Patented: May 30, 2000. Related: CA, WO.
- [975] D. Claybaugh and J. N. Denenberg (NCT, US). Hands free noise canceling headset. WO 93/21876 A1. Filed: April 30, 1992. Published: Nov. 11, 1993. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, US.
- [976] G. P. Eatwell and A. J. Langley (NCT, US). Audio reproduction system. US 5,481,615. Filed: April 1, 1993. Patented: Jan. 2, 1996. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [977] C. Carme et al. (Technofirst and Gaz de France, FR). [Device for detecting and locating fluid leaks]. FR 2 724 729 B1. Filed: Sept. 20, 1994. Patented: Jan. 31, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP, US.
- [978] B. Rafaely et al. (University of Southampton, GB). Noise reducing headsets. GB 2 361 379 B. Priority (GB): Dec. 10, 1998. Patented: March 19, 2003. *Related:* WO.
- [979] R. Sato and M. J. Monahan (Bose, US). Headset noise reducing. US 6,597,792 B1. Filed: July 15, 1999. Patented: July 22, 2003. *Related*: EP, JP.
- [980] A. Sibbald (Central Research Laboratories, GB). A method of improving the audibility of sound from a loudspeaker located close to an ear. GB 2 375 915 A. Filed: March 7, 2000. Published: Sept. 12, 2001. *Related:* EP, JP, US, WO.
- [981] A. Sibbald (Central Research Laboratories, GB). A method of improving the audibility of sound from a loudspeaker located close to an ear. GB 2 375 916 A. Priority (GB): March 7, 2000. Published: Nov. 27, 2002. *Related:* EP, JP, US, WO.
- [982] M. Trajkovic et al. (Philips Electronics North America, US). Active noise canceling headset and devices with selective noise suppression. US 2002/0141599 A1. Filed: April 3, 2001. Published: Oct. 3, 2002. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [983] G. M. Stites III (US). Voice transmission system and method for high ambient noise conditions. US 5,327,506. First filed: April 5, 1990. Patented: July 5, 1994. *Related:* AU, WO.
- [984] G. Bolognesi and S. Iacopini (Silicomp, IT). Control device for telephone station and acoustic headset usable in said telephone stations. EP 1 150 539 A1. Filed: April 28, 2000. Published: Oct. 31, 2002.
- [985] J. Wuttke (Sennheiser electronic, DE). [Headset with microphone]. DE 199 26 552 A1. Filed: June 11, 1999. Published: Dec. 14, 2000.
- [986] B. Widrow and M. N. Brearley (Stanford University, US). Directional hearing aid. US 4,751,738. Filed: Nov. 29, 1984. Patented: June 14, 1988.
- [987] R. Martin and J. Sauer (Siemens Audiologische Technik, DE). [Hearing aid wherein the direction of incoming sound is determined by different transit times to multiple microphones in a sound channel]. DE 196 35 229 C2. Filed: Aug. 30, 1996. Patented: April 26, 2001. *Related:* DK, US.

- [988] L.G. Bordewijk (Philips, NL). [Hauling preventive hearing aid]. NL 88 02516 A. Filed: Oct. 13, 1988. Published: May 1, 1990. *Related:* DE, DK, EP, JP, US.
- [989] D. M. Chabries et al. (US). Digital hearing enhancement apparatus. US 5,029,217. First filed: Jan. 21, 1986. Patented: July 2, 1991. *Related*: CA, DK, EP, JP.
- [990] A. M. Engebretson (Central Inst. for the Deaf, US). Electronic filters, hearing aids and methods. US 5,475,759. First filed: March 23, 1988. Patented: Dec. 12, 1995.
- [991] R. E. Morley Jr. et al. (Central Inst. for the Deaf, US). Electronic filters, signal conversion apparatus, hearing aids and methods. US 5,111,419. First filed: March 23, 1988. Patented: May 5, 1992. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, DK, EP, JP, KR.
- [992] R. E. Morley Jr. et al. (Central Inst. for the Deaf, US). Electronic filters, repeated signal charge conversion apparatus, hearing aids and methods. US 5,225,836. First filed: March 23, 1988. Patented: July 6, 1993.
- [993] M. J. Williamson and D. K. Bustamante (Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, US). Feedback suppression in digital signal processing hearing aids. US 5,091,952. Filed: Nov. 10, 1988. Patented: Feb. 25, 1992. *Related:* WO.
- [994] R. L. A. Goodings et al. (GN Danavox, UK). Hearing aid having compensation for acoustic feedback. EP 0415 677 B1. Priority (GB): Aug. 30, 1989. Patented: June 23, 1999. *Related:* DE, JP, US.
- [995] O. Jones and M. C. J. Trinder (University of Essex, UK). Headphone assemblies. GB 2218 304 B. Filed: March 15, 1989. Patented: Nov. 11, 1992. *Related*: EP, JP, MX, US.
- [996] E. Langberg (US). Active sound absorber. US 5,267,321. Filed: Nov. 19, 1991. Patented: Nov. 30, 1993.
- [997] A. M. Engebretson and M. P. O'Connel (Central Inst. for the Deaf, US). Adaptive noise reduction circuit for a sound reproduction system. US 5,412,735. Filed: Feb. 27, 1992. Patented: May 2, 1995. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [998] S. D. Soli et al. (Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., US). Auditory prosthesis, noise suppression apparatus and feedback suppression apparatus having focused adaptive filtering. US 5,402,496. Filed: July 13, 1992. Patented: March 28, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [999] R.S. Hansen (GN Danavox, DK). [Hearing aid compensating for acoustic feedback]. DK 170600 B1. Filed: March 31, 1992. Patented: Nov. 6, 1995. *Related:* AU, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1000] R. S. Hansen (Danovox, DK). [Hearing aid compensating for acoustic feedback]. DK 169 958 B1. Filed: Oct. 20, 1992. Patented: April 10, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1001] A. N. Kälin and P.G. Estermann (Phonak, CH). [Hearing aid apparatus]. EP 0585976 A3. Filed: Nov. 10, 1993. Published: June 1, 1994. *Related:* AT, US.
- [1002] A. N. Kälin et al. (Phonak, CH). [Hearing aid apparatus with acoustic feedback cancellation]. EP 0656737 B1. Priority (EP): Nov. 10, 1993. Patented: Jan. 21, 1998. *Related*: AT, US.
- [1003] J. C. Taenzer et al. (GN Resound North America, US). Noise cancellation earpiece. US 6,445,799 B1. Filed: April 3, 1997. Patented: Sept. 3, 2002. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [1004] J. M. Kates (AudioLogic Hearing Systems, US). Feedback cancellation apparatus and methods. US 6,072,884. Filed: Nov. 18, 1997. Patented: June 6, 2000. *Related:* AT, AU, DE, EP, WO.
- [1005] J. M. Kates and J. L. Melanson (GN ReSound, DK). Apparatus and methods for combining audio compression and feedback cancellation in a hearing aid. US 6,434,246 B1. First filed: Nov. 18, 1997. Published: Aug. 13, 2002. *Related:* AU, EP, WO.
- [1006] J. M. Kates and J. L. Melanson (GN ReSound, DK). Feedback cancellation improvements. US 6,219,427 B1. First filed: Nov. 18, 1997. Patented: April 17, 2001. *Related:* AT, EP, WO.
- [1007] J. M. Kates (GN ReSound, DK). Feedback cancellation improvements. US 6,498,858 B2. First filed: Nov. 18, 1997. Patented: Dec. 24, 2002. *Related*: AU, EP.
- [1008] J. M. Kates (GN ReSound, DK). Feedback cancellation in a hearing aid with reduced sensitivity to low-frequency tonal inputs. US 2003/0053647 A1. First filed: Nov. 18, 1997. Published: March 20, 2003.
- [1009] R. Leber and A. Schaub (Bernafon, CH). Circuit and method for the adaptive suppression of an acoustic feedback. US 6,611,600 B1. Priority (CH): Jan. 14, 1998. Patented: Aug. 26, 2003. *Related:* AU, EP.
- [1010] H. Leysieffer and H. Delfs (Cochlear Ltd., AU and Implex Hearing Technology, DE). [Hearing aid with compensation of acoustic and/or mechanical feedback]. DE 198 02 568 C2. Filed: Jan. 23, 1998. Patented: May 28, 2003. *Related:* AU, CA, US.
- [1011] R. Brennan and A. T. Schneider (Dspfactory, CA). Method and apparatus for feedback reduction in acoustic systems, particularly in hearing aids. US 6,347,148 B1. Filed: April 16, 1998. Patented: Feb. 12, 2002.
- [1012] J. M. Kates and J. L. Melanson (GN ReSound, DK). Feedback cancellation apparatus and methods utilizing adaptive reference filter mechanisms. US 6,434,247 B1. Filed: July 30, 1999. Patented: Aug. 13, 2002. *Related:* AT, EP, WO.

- [1013] X. Fang et al. (Sonic Innovations, US). Subband acoustic feedback cancellation in hearing aids. US 6,480,610 B1. Filed: Sept. 21, 1999. Patented: Nov. 12, 2002. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [1014] J. L. Melanson (GN ReSound, DK). Output regulator for feedback reduction in hearing aids. US 6,173,063 B1. Filed: Oct. 6, 1998. Patented: Jan. 9, 2001.
- [1015] W. Meyer (Siemens, DE). [Programmable hearing aid with automatic adaption to auditory conditions]. EP 0 681 411 B1. Filed: May 6, 1994. Patented: Jan. 29, 2003. Related: US.
- [1016] O. Weinfurtner (Siemens, DE). [Programmable hearing aid]. EP 0788 290 A1. Filed: Feb. 1, 1996. Published: Aug. 6, 1997.
- [1017] I. Holube and O. Weinfurtner (Siemens, DE). [Programmable hearing-aid system and method for determining an optimal set of parameters in an acoustic prosthesis]. EP 0 814 634 B1. Filed: June 21, 1996. Patented: Oct. 2, 2002.
- [1018] I. Holube et al. (Siemens, DE). [Programmable hearing aid operable in a mode for tinnitus therapy]. EP 0 820 211 B1. Filed: July 9, 1996. Patented: Sept. 19, 2001. Related: AT, JP, US.
- [1019] W. S. Koroljow and G. L. Gibian (Planning Systems, US). Hybrid adaptive beamformer. US 6,154,552. Filed: May 14, 1998. Patented: Nov. 28, 2000.
- [1020] O. Saltykov (Siemens Hearing Instruments, US). Hearing aid having input AGC and output AGC. US 6,049,618. Filed: June 30, 1997. Patented: April 11, 2000. *Related*: CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [1021] U. Sigwanz and F. Zöls (Siemens Audiologische Technik, DE). [Method for producing a variable directional microphone characteristic and digital hearing aid operating according to the method]. DE 19814180 C1. Filed: March 30, 1998. Patented: Oct. 7, 1999. Related: DK, US.
- [1022] E. Fischer (Siemens Audiologische Technik, DE). [Hearing aid and method for processing microphone signals in a hearing aid]. WO 00/19770 A1. Priority (DE): Sept. 29, 1998. Published: April 6, 2000. Related: EP, US.
- [1023] N. P. Matzen and M. Killion (Etymotic Research, US). Hearing aid having externally controlled amplifier gain and method of using same. US 5,696,833. Filed: March 20, 1995. Patented: Dec. 9, 1997.
- [1024] M. A. Lehr and B. Widrow (Cardinal Sound Labs, US). Directional hearing system. US 5,793,875. Filed: April 22, 1996. Patented: Aug. 11, 1998. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, WO.
- [1025] B. Widrow (Cardinal Sound Labs, US). Directional hearing aid. US 5,737,430. First filed: July 22, 1993. Patented: April 7, 1998.
- [1026] L. A. Marcus (Lucent Technologies, US). Active noise control earpiece being compatible with magnetic coupled hearing aids. US 5,740,257. Filed: Dec. 19, 1996. Patented: April 14, 1998.
- [1027] K. Nagayasu (Toshiba, JP). [Silencer]. JP 2 094 999 A2. Filed: Sept. 30, 1988. Published: April 5, 1990. Related: DE, EP, KR, US.
- [1028] K. Nakanishi and Y. Sekiguchi (Toshiba, JP). [Silencer for cooling device]. JP 2 097 877 A2. Filed: Sept. 30, 1988. Patent: JP 8 003 395 B4, issued: Jan. 17, 1996. JP 2 093 615 C, issued: Oct. 2, 1996. Related: DE, GB, KR, US.
- [1029] K. Nakanishi and Y. Sekiguchi (Toshiba, JP). [Noise suppressor for cooling device]. JP 2 225 966 A2. Filed: Feb. 27, 1989. Published: Sept. 7, 1990. Related: DE, GB, KR, US.
- [1030] Y. Sekiguchi and K. Nakanishi (Toshiba, JP). [Noise suppressor for cooling device]. JP 2 225 983 A2. Filed: Feb. 28, 1989. Patent JP 8 007 002 B4, issued: Jan. 29, 1996. *Related*: DE, GB, KR, US.
- [1031] S. Saruta (Toshiba, JP). [Silencer]. JP 3 088 600 A2. Filed: Aug. 31, 1989. Patent JP 2 685 917 B2, issued: Dec. 8, 1997. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1032] K. Nakanishi and Y. Sekiguchi (Toshiba, JP). [Low noise refrigerator]. JP 3 191 279 A2. Filed: Dec. 18, 1989. Patent JP 7 037 871 B4, issued: April 26, 1995. *Related:* DE, GB, KR, US.
- [1033] K. Nakanishi and Y. Sekiguchi (Toshiba, JP). [Low noise refrigerator]. JP 3 191 278 A2. Filed: Dec. 18, 1989. Patent JP 7 037 870 B4, issued: April 26, 1995. *Related:* DE, GB, KR, US.
- [1034] K. Nakanishi and Y. Sekiguchi (Toshiba, JP). [Muffling device for cooling equipment]. JP 3263574 A2. Filed: March 13, 1990. Published: Nov. 25, 1991. Related: DE, GB, KR, US.
- [1035] M. Sugawara et al. (Toshiba, JP). [Low-noise refrigerator]. JP 4 013 073 A2. Filed: May 1, 1990. Patent JP 6 084 860 B4, issued: Oct. 26, 1994. JP 1 954 849 C, issued: July 28, 1995. *Related:* DE, GB, KR, US.
- [1036] S. Suzuki et al. (Toshiba, JP). [Adaptive control device and adaptive type active silencing device]. JP 4 358 712 A2. Filed: June 27, 1991. Patent JP 2 996 770 B2, issued: Jan. 11, 2000. *Related:* EP, KR, US.
- [1037] Y. Sudo et al. (Toshiba, JP). Active noise cancelling apparatus. US 5,499,301. Priority (JP): Sept. 19, 1991. Patented: March 12, 1996. *Related:* JP, KR.
- [1038] S. Saruta and Y. Sekiguchi (Toshiba, JP). [Adaptive active silencer device]. JP 6 272 684 A2. Filed: March 17, 1993. Patent JP 2 856 625 B2, issued: Sept. 24, 1994. *Related:* EP, KR, US.

- [1039] M. P. McLoughlin et al. (NCT, US). Active noise control of an enclosure with multiple transducers. WO 93/11529 A1. Filed: Dec. 2, 1991. Published: June 10, 1993. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [1040] B.K. Bauman and C.F. Boal (York International, US). System and method for controlling a variable geometry diffuser to minimize noise. US 5,146,764. Filed: July 25, 1990. Patented: Sept. 15, 1992.
- [1041] S. H. Grunwald et al. (Whirlpool Corp., US). Motor control for refrigeration appliance. US 5,428,965. Filed: Dec. 10, 1993. Patented: July 4, 1995.
- [1042] P. D. Hill (Westinghouse, US). Active acoustic attenuation system for reducing tonal noise in rotating equipment. US 5,010,576. Filed: Jan. 22, 1990. Patented: April 23, 1991.
- [1043] B. H. A(h)n (Gold Star, KR). [Apparatus and method for reducing noise-pollution of an air conditioner]. KR 9 307 959 B1. Filed: Dec. 19, 1990. Published: Aug. 25, 1993. *Related:* EP, JP, US.
- [1044] M. Takahashi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Local air conditioner]. JP 4 226 499 A. Filed: June 5, 1991. Published: Aug. 17, 1992.
- [1045] E. W. Ziegler Jr. et al. (NCT, US). High efficiency fan with adaptive noise cancellation. WO 93/02445 A1. Filed: July 16, 1991. Published: Feb. 4, 1993. *Related:* CA, CZ, EP, FI, JP, NO, SK.
- [1046] S. Okabe et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Active silencer in three dimensional space]. JP 6 102 885 A2. Filed: Sept. 21, 1992. Published: April 15, 1994. *Related:* CN, EP, US.
- [1047] T. Ohashi et al. (Fujitsu, JP). [Sneaking sound control type active noise elimination device]. JP 6242787 A2. Filed: Feb. 17, 1993. Published: Sept. 2, 1994. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1048] W.F. Klute-Möllers (WFK Furniture, DE). [Device for the reduction of fan noise of domestic appliances]. DE 43 43 819 C2. Priority (DE): April 16, 1993. Patented: June 4, 1998.
- [1049] E. Makabe et al. (Fujitsu, JP). [Noise reducing device]. JP 7 104 890 A. Filed: Oct. 1, 1993. Published: April 21, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [1050] K. Oki (Hitachi, JP). [Active silencer and electronic equipment provided with the same]. JP 7160277 A. Filed: Dec. 8, 1993. Published: June 23, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [1051] M. Watabe et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Method of actively controlling noise and device for executing relevant method]. JP 7 175 486 A. Filed: Dec. 17, 1993. Patent JP 3 404 840 B2, issued: May 12, 2003.
- [1052] T. Burward-Hoy (Sun Microsystems, US). Apparatus and method for cooling with noise control. US 5,452,362. Filed: Jan. 26, 1994. Patented: Sept. 19, 1995.
- [1053] Y.-K. Hong and Y.-D. Bae (Samsung Electronics, KR). [Device for preventing noise from airconditioner]. KR 154 445 B1. Filed: Feb. 19, 1994. Patented: Sept. 30, 1997. Related: JP, US.
- [1054] J. R. Guerci (Raymond Guerci International, US). Active fan blade noise cancellation system. US 5,448,645. Filed: Feb. 28, 1994. Patented: Sept. 5, 1995.
- [1055] G. P. Eatwell et al. (NCT, US). Multimedia personal computer with active noise reduction and piezo speakers. US 5,828,768. Filed: May 11, 1994. Patented: Oct. 27, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [1056] T. Okada (NEC Corporation, JP). [Fan noise muffler]. JP 10 020 866 A. Filed: July 9, 1996. Patent JP 2 939 940 B2, issued: Aug. 25, 1999. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1057] T. Okada (NEC Corporation, JP). Fan noise canceller. US 6,188,770 B1. Priority (JP): July 9, 1996. Patented: Feb. 13, 2001. Related: JP, EP.
- [1058] F. Arnold and R.S. O'Dea (Bose Corp., US). Noise attenuating in ported enclosure. US 5,792,999. Filed: Jan. 23, 1997. Patented: Aug. 11, 1998. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [1059] S.-T. R. Chou et al. (Carrier Corp., US). Integrated active noise control system for air handling unit. U.S. Patent US 6,049,615. Filed: June 27, 1997. Patented: April 11, 2000. *Related:* AU, EP, JP.
- [1060] R. A. Kubli and D. A. Quinlan (Lucent Technologies, US). Apparatus and method for the active control of air moving device noise. US 5,636,287. Filed: Nov. 30, 1994. Patented: June 3, 1997. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [1061] E. W. Ziegler Jr. et al. (NCT, US). Low cost controller. WO 94/01810 A1. Filed: July 14, 1992. Published: Jan. 20, 1994. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, US.
- [1062] L. W. Castwall (Electrolux, SE). [Kitchen ventilator]. SE 505194 C2. Filed: Nov. 3, 1992. Patented: July 14, 1997. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, FI, JP, NO, US.
- [1063] J. Damrath and M. Kornberger (Gaggenau, DE). [Kitchen hood with at least partial cancellation of fan noise]. DE 44 08 278 A1. Filed: March 11, 1994. Published: Sept. 14, 1995. *Related:* EP.
- [1064] J. Fischer and K.-P. Nick (STN Atlas Elektronik, DE). [Device for active damping of sound]. DE 44 21 803 C2. Filed: June 22, 1994. Patented: Nov. 20, 1997.

- [1065] J. Fischer (STN Atlas Elektronik, DE). [Method for maximum damping adjustment of a device for active noise control]. DE 195 26 098 C1. Filed: July 18, 1995. Patented: Sept. 12, 1996.
- [1066] D.G. Smith et al. (NCT, US). High volume, high performance, ultra quiet vacuum cleaner. US 5,502,869. First filed: Feb. 9, 1993. Patented: April 2, 1996. *Related:* CA, JP, WO.
- [1067] J.-M. Joo and Y.-W. Kim (Samsung, KR). Vacuum cleaner. GB 2 279 778 B. Priority (KR): May 19, 1993. Patented: June 4, 1997. *Related*: JP, US.
- [1068] J.S. St. Clair (Clayton, MO, US). Impact printer print head with active sound pressure attenuation means. US 5,046,874. Filed: March 13, 1990. Patented: Sept. 10, 1991.
- [1069] T. Koike et al. (Ricoh, JP). [Image forming device]. JP 5 249 983 A2. Priority (JP): May 15, 1991. Published: Sept. 28, 1993. *Related*: DE, US.
- [1070] H. Ohkubo et al. (Sony, JP). [Microphone Equipment]. JP 3 291 098 A. Filed: April 9, 1990. Patent JP 2 993 038 B2, issued: Dec. 20, 1999. *Related*: DE, EP, US.
- [1071] H. Ohkubo et al. (Sony, JP). [Microphone Device]. JP 3295400 A. Filed: April 12, 1990. Patent JP 3000617 B2, issued: April 12, 2000.
- [1072] K. Ono et al. (Matsushita, JP). [Sound gathering device for video camera]. JP 6 253 387 A2. Filed: Feb. 26, 1993. Patent JP 2 839 815 B2, issued: Dec. 16, 1998. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1073] J. N. Denenberg (NCT, US). Active noise attenuated DSP unit. US 5,546,467. Filed: March 14, 1994. Patented: Aug. 13, 1996. *Related:* WO.
- [1074] T. Shimazaki (Toshiba, JP). [Sound insulation device for elevator machine room]. JP 4213 589 A. Priority (JP): Feb. 28, 1990. Patent JP 2772 149 B2, issued: July 2, 1998. *Related:* US.
- [1075] R. J. Hudson (Henley-on-Thames, UK). Active noise cancellation using recorded sounds. GB 2378 081 A. Filed: June 21, 2001. Published: Jan. 29, 2003.
- [1076] R. Jäger and P. von Volckamer (Messerschmitt-Bölkow-Blohm, DE). [Locating signal sources under suppression of noise]. DE 34 06 343 A1. Filed: Feb. 22, 1984. Published: Aug. 29, 1985. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1077] R. N. Ghose (Technology Research Intnl., US). Adaptive noise abatement system. US 4,829,590. Filed: Jan. 13, 1986. Patented: May 9, 1989.
- [1078] J. R. Martinez and V. B. Mason (SRI International, US). Method and apparatus for the active reduction of compression waves. US 5,224,168. Filed: May 8, 1991. Patented: June 29, 1993. *Related:* WO.
- [1079] J. R. Martinez and V. B. Mason (SRI International, US). Method and apparatus for the active reduction of compression waves. US 5,363,451. First filed: May 8, 1991. Patented: Nov. 8, 1994.
- [1080] L. Gaudriot and J. Martinat (Le Comptoir de la Technologie, FR). [Active device for attenuating the intensity of sound]. FR 2 799 873 B1. Filed: Oct. 18, 1999. Patented: Feb. 8, 2002. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1081] O. Bschorr (MBB, DE). [Noise reduction for propellers]. DE 20 09 105 A. Filed: Feb. 26, 1970. Published: Sept. 2, 1971. *Related:* FR, GB, US.
- [1082] O. Bschorr (MBB, DE). [Reduction of noise of rotors]. DE 21 33 378 A1. Filed: July 5, 1971. Published: Jan. 18, 1973.
- [1083] M. Kallergis (DFVLR, DE). [Method of reducing the overflying noise of airplanes having a propeller driven by a piston engine]. DE 37 35 421 C2. Filed: Oct. 20, 1987. Patented: Nov. 2, 1989. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1084] W. Eichenberger (CH). [Method for noise reduction at a propeller device]. CH 677 844 A5. Filed: Jan. 6, 1989. Patented: June 28, 1991.
- [1085] G. P. Succi (Technology Integration, US). System and method for suppressing noise produced by rotors. US 5,217,349. Filed: Aug. 31, 1989. Patented: June 8, 1993. *Related*: AU, EP, WO.
- [1086] M. Kallergis and J. Delfs (DLR, DE). [Device for reducing the overflying noise of airplanes having a propeller driven by a piston engine]. DE 40 26 112 C1. Filed: Aug. 17, 1990. Patented: Sept. 12, 1991.
- [1087] B. D. Charles et al. (McDonnell Douglas Helicopter, US). Blade vortex interaction noise reduction techniques for a rotorcraft. US 5,588,800. Filed: May 31, 1994. Patented: Dec. 31, 1996.
- [1088] B. D. Charles et al. (McDonnell Douglas Helicopter, US). Blade vortex interaction noise reduction techniques for a rotorcraft. US 5,711,651. First filed: May 31, 1994. Patented: Jan. 27, 1998.
- [1089] G. Rahier (ONERA, FR). [Method for reducing blade-vortex interaction noise generated by a rotary wing]. FR 2 782 307 B1. Filed: Aug. 17, 1998. Patented: Oct. 13, 2000. *Related:* AU, DE, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1090] H. T. Ngo (McDonnell Douglas Helicopter, US). Tip vortex reduction system. US 5,791,875. Filed: Sept. 10, 1996. Patented: Aug. 11, 1998.
- [1091] D. B. Domzalski et al. (McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Co., US). Oscillating air jets on aerodynamic surfaces. US 5,938,404. Filed: June 5, 1997. Patented: August 17, 1999.

- [1092] A. A. Hassan et al. (McDonnell Douglas, US). Oscillating air jets for helicopter rotor aerodynamic control and BVI noise reduction. US 6,092,990. Filed: June 5, 1997. Patented: July 25, 2000.
- [1093] A. A. Hassan et al. (McDonnell Douglas, US). Oscillating air jets for reducing HSI noise. US 6,234,751 B1. First filed: June 5, 1997. Patented: May 22, 2001.
- [1094] A. A. Hassan et al. (McDonnell Douglas, US). Oscillating air jets for implementing blade variable twist, enhancing engine and blade efficiency, and reducing drag, vibration, download and IR signature. US 6,543,719 B1. First filed: June 5, 1997. Patented: April 8, 2003.
- [1095] K. Matsuzaki (Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, JP). [Helicopter blade noise reducing device]. JP 6-127489 A. Filed: Oct. 20, 1992. Published: May 10, 1994.
- [1096] T. Shimizu and M. Takigawa (Fuji Heavy Industries, JP). [Active noise control device for helicopter]. JP 7 160 273 A. Filed: Dec. 3, 1993. Published: June 23, 1995.
- [1097] P. A. André et al. (SNECMA, FR). [Reduction of the noise produced in rotary machines]. FR 2 370 170 B1. Filed: Nov. 5, 1976. Patented: Dec. 11, 1981. *Related:* GB, US.
- [1098] P.A. André et al. (SNECMA, FR). Method and device for reducing the noise of turbo-machines. US 4,419,045. Priority (FR): Nov. 5, 1976. Patented: Dec. 6, 1983.
- [1099] T. Angelini et al. (ANVAR, FR). [Active acoustic sound absorber device]. FR 2385972 B2. Filed: April 1, 1977. Patented: Sept. 18, 1981. Related: US.
- [1100] F.G. Pla (General Electric, US). Active control of aircraft engine noise using vibrational inputs. US 5,370,340. Filed: April 21, 1993. Patented: Dec. 6, 1994.
- [1101] F. G. Pla et al. (General Electric, US). Active noise control using noise source having adaptive resonant frequency tuning through stiffness variation. US 5,382,134. Filed: Nov. 1, 1993. Patented: Jan. 17, 1995.
- [1102] F.G. Pla et al. (General Electric, US). Active noise control using noise source having adaptive resonant frequency tuning through variable ring loading. US 5,423,658. Filed: Nov. 1, 1993. Patented: June 13, 1995.
- [1103] F.G. Pla (General Electric, US). Active noise control using noise source having adaptive resonant frequency tuning through variable panel loading. US 5,391,053. Filed: Nov. 1, 1993. Patented: Feb. 21, 1995.
- [1104] F.G. Pla and H. Rajiyah (General Electric, US). Active noise control of aircraft engine discrete tonal noise. US 5,558,298. Filed: Dec. 5, 1994. Patented: Sept. 24, 1996.
- [1105] F. G. Pla (General Electric, US). Noise control using a plate radiator and an acoustic resonator. US 5,584,447. Filed: Dec. 19, 1994. Patented: Dec. 17, 1996.
- [1106] F.G. Pla and H. Rajiyah (General Electric, US). Active noise control using a tunable plate radiator. US 5,618,010. Filed: Dec. 19, 1994. Patented: April 8, 1997.
- [1107] F.G. Pla (General Electric, US). Active noise control using an array of plate radiators and acoustic resonators. US 5,590,849. Filed: Dec. 19, 1994. Patented: Jan. 7, 1997.
- [1108] P. R. Gliebe (General Electric, US). Active low noise fan assembly. US 5,478,199. Filed: Nov. 28, 1994. Patented: Dec. 26, 1995. *Related*: DE, EP.
- [1109] W.C. Van Nostrand et al. (Northrop Grumman, US). Noise absorption system having active acoustic liner. US 5,919,029. Filed: Nov. 15, 1996. Patented: July 6, 1999. Related: EP, WO.
- [1110] I. L. Ver (BBN, US). Active muffler. US 6,160,892. First filed: Dec. 30, 1993. Patented: Dec. 12, 2000. Related: WO.
- [1111] M. Nishimura et al. (Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, JP and United Technology Corp., US). [Active sound absorbing wall]. JP 10063 271 A. Filed: Aug. 15, 1996. Patent JP 3 510 427 B2, issued: March 29, 2004. Related: DE, EP, US.
- [1112] R. H. Schlinker and E. J. Kerschen (United Technologies, US). Airfoil noise control. US 5,613,649. Filed: July 21, 1994. Patented: March 25, 1997. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [1113] A. R. D. Curtis et al. (GTE Internetworking, US). Sound attenuation system and related method. US 5,952,621. First filed: April 16, 1997. Patented: Sept. 14, 1999.
- [1114] R. F. Olsen and J. M. Orzechowski (Boeing, US). Jet engine fan noise reduction system utilizing electro pneumatic transducers. US 5,732,547. First filed: Oct. 13, 1994. Patented: March 31, 1998. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [1115] P. Joseph et al. (UK Government). Method and apparatus for the active control of sound radiated from flow ducts. WO 97/35300 A1. Priority (GB): March 19, 1996. Published: Sept. 25, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, GB.
- [1116] T. Jost et al. (Fa. Carl Freudenberg, DE). [Wheel lining]. DE 42 41 518 C1. Filed: Dec. 12, 1992. Patented: Feb. 24, 1994. *Related:* JP, US.
- [1117] T. Dodt et al. (Continental, DE). [Device for reducing tire/road surface noise]. DE 44 02 699 C2. Filed: Jan. 29, 1994. Patented: Jan. 11, 1996. *Related*: EP, ES, JP, US.
- [1118] S.L. Marquiss (US). Noise abatement device. US 5,872,853. Filed: June 26, 1996. Patented: Feb. 16, 1999.

- [1119] I. Veit (Continental, DE). [Method and device for the active reduction of tire vibrations]. DE 197 23 516 C1. Filed: June 5, 1997. Patented: Oct. 29, 1998.
- [1120] R. Ufermann (Moers, DE). [Device for the active reduction of tire or road noise]. DE 199 00 782 A1. Filed: Jan. 12, 1999. Published: July 13, 2000.
- [1121] J. Gauermann et al. (DE). [Anti-noise system]. DE 200 00 581 U1. Filed: Jan. 14, 2000. Published: Sept. 28, 2000.
- [1122] F. Pricken and R. Schirmacher (Filterwerk Mann + Hummel, DE). [Duct system with electromechanical transducer for generating corrective noise]. DE 10021031 A1. Filed: May 2, 2000. Published: Nov. 8, 2001. Related: EP, JP.
- [1123] W. B. Conover and W. F. M. Gray (General Electric, US). Noise reducing system for transformers. US 2,776,020. Filed: Feb. 9, 1955. Patented: Jan. 1, 1957. *Related:* GB.
- [1124] G. B. B. Chaplin et al. (Sound Attenuators, UK). The cancelling of vibrations transmitted through a fluid in a containing vessel. WO 81/01479 A1. Priority (GB): Nov. 10, 1979. Published: May 28, 1981. *Related:* AU, EP.
- [1125] L. R. Riddle et al. (Martin Marietta, US). Method and apparatus for acoustic attenuation. US 5,394,376. Filed: Dec. 17, 1993. Patented: Feb. 28, 1995.
- [1126] W. E. Gossman and G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Active high transmission loss panel. US 5,315,661. Filed: Aug. 12, 1992. Patented: May 24, 1994. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [1127] S. Hildebrand and Ziqiang Hu (NCT, US). Global quieting system for stationary induction apparatus. US 5,617,479. First filed: Sept. 3, 1993. Patented: April 1, 1997. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [1128] H. Shima et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Noise reducing device]. JP 2 019731 A. Filed: July 6, 1988. Published: Jan. 23, 1990. *Related*: DE, US.
- [1129] O. Bschorr (DASA, DE). Noise reduction by acoustic short-circuit. DE 42 17767 C1. Filed: May 29, 1992. Patented: Aug. 26, 1993. *Related:* FR, GB.
- [1130] P. D. Hill and T. H. Putman (Westinghouse, US). Adaptive system for controlling noise generated by or emanating from a primary noise source. US 5,347,586. Filed: April 28, 1992. Patented: Sept. 13, 1994. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [1131] Y. Takano et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Soundproof wall with active noise controller]. JP 10 268 873 A. Filed: March 26, 1997. Published: Oct. 9, 1998. *Related:*.
- [1132] A. Ebato (or: Enamito) et al. (Toshiba, JP). [Active silencer and active silencing method]. JP 2004 004 583 A. Priority: March 29, 2002. Published: Jan. 8, 2004. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1133] M. Möser (Deutsche Bahn, DE). [Noise barrier]. DE 195 09 678 C2. Filed: March 7, 1995. Patented: May 30, 1996. Modified: Aug. 21, 2003.
- [1134] K. Onishi et al. (Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, JP). [Active sound reducing device and active sound insulating wall having the same]. JP 2002 006 854 A. Priority (JP): April 21, 2000. Published: Jan. 11, 2002. Related: AU, EP, US.
- [1135] L. Gaudriot and J. Martinat (Le Comptoir de la Technologie, FR). [Active device for attenuating the sound intensity]. FR 2726 115 B1. Filed: Oct. 20, 1994. Patented: Dec. 6, 1996. Related: DE, EP, US, WO.
- [1136] C. F. Ross and G. P. Eatwell (NCT, US). Active vibration control system. WO 92/08223 A1. Priority (GB): Oct. 29, 1990. Published: May 14, 1992. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, ES, JP.
- [1137] S. R. Popovich (Digisonix, US). Active acoustic control in remote regions. US 5,701,350. Filed: June 3, 1996. Patented: Dec. 23, 1997.
- [1138] C. D. Lovell (Marconi Commerce Systems, US). Noise cancellation for a retail transaction station. EP 1 193 684 A2. Priority (US): Sept. 14, 2002. Published: April 3, 2002. *Related:* JP.
- [1139] A. R. Davidson Jr. and T. G. F. Robinson (Westinghouse, US). Noise cancellation apparatus. US 4,025,724. Filed: Aug. 12, 1975. Patented: May 24, 1977. *Related:* AU, BE, CA, CH, DE, ES, FR, GB, IT, JP, NL, SE.
- [1140] A. P. Berkhoff et al. (TNO, NL). Noise reduction panel arrangement and method of calibrating such a panel arrangement. EP 0 999 540 A1. Filed: Nov. 3, 1998. Published: May 10, 2000. *Related:* AT, JP, WO.
- [1141] A. P. Berkhoff et al. (TNO, NL). Noise reduction panel arrangement and method of calibrating such a panel arrangement. EP 1 127 348 B1. Priority (EP): Nov. 3, 1998. Patented: Nov. 27, 2002. *Related:* DE.
- [1142] E. Horvath (Elin-Union, AT). [Device for the attenuation, reduction or cancellation of sound waves resp. noise]. AT 117 186 A. Filed: April 30, 1986. Published: April 15, 1990. *Related:* DE, SE.
- [1143] M. Yamaguchi et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Sound insulation device]. JP 1 007 797 A2. Filed: June 30, 1987. Published: Jan. 11, 1989. *Related*: DE.
- [1144] K. P. Shepherd and F. M. W. A. Grosveld (US Government). Sound attenuation apparatus. US 5,024,288. Filed: Aug. 10, 1989. Patented: June 18, 1991.
- [1145] O. Bschorr (DASA, DE). [Device for the compensation of sound transmission through a vibrating wall]. DE 41 33 407 C2. Filed: Oct. 9, 1991. Patented: Jan. 20, 1994. *Related:* EP.

- [1146] V. B. Mason and K. Naghshineh (SRI International, US). Method and apparatus for reducing noise radiated from a complex vibrating surface. US 5,410,607. Filed: Sept. 24, 1993. Patented: April 25, 1995. *Related:* WO.
- [1147] W. Y. Kim et al. (Samsung Heavy Industries, KR). [Method and apparatus for measuring radiation pressure for removing noise from an enclosure]. KR 174 104 B1. Filed: Sept. 30, 1995. Patented: Sept. 30, 1997. Related: EP, JP, US.
- [1148] R. T. Harrold and Z. N. Sanjana (Westinghouse, US). Method and apparatus for selective transmission and reflection of sound through a solid. US 5,024,092. Filed: Aug. 16, 1990. Patented: June 18, 1991.
- [1149] P. Bouvet et al. (CSTB, FR). [Noise attenuation device with active double wall]. FR 2704969 B1. Filed: May 6, 1993. Patented: July 28, 1995. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, US, WO.
- [1150] L. Gagliardini and J. Roland (CSTB, Paris, FR). [Acoustic attenuation device with active double wall]. FR 2 726 681 B1. Filed: Nov. 3, 1994. Patented: Jan. 17, 1997. *Related:* EP, FI, JP, NO, US.
- [1151] A. J. Salloway and R. C. Twiney (GEC-Marconi, UK). A sound control device. GB 2 260 874 A. Filed: Oct. 21, 1991. Published: April 28, 1993. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [1152] C. R. Fuller et al. (NCT, US). Active acoustic transmission loss box. WO 94/09484 A1. Filed: Oct. 8, 1992. Published: April 28, 1994. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [1153] S. Hildebrand (NCT, US). Low voltage bender piezo-actuators. US 5,473,214. Filed: May 7, 1993. Patented: Dec. 5, 1995. Related: CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [1154] K. A. Kousen et al. (United Technologies, US). Anti-sound arrangement for multi-stage blade cascade. US 5,420,383. Filed: Oct. 22, 1993. Patented: May 30, 1995. *Related*: DE, EP, WO.
- [1155] M. Bebesel et al. (EADS, DE). [Active noise cancellation system for noise-emitting surfaces]. DE 198 22 582 B4. Filed: May 20, 1998. Patented: Feb. 12, 2004. *Related:* EP.
- [1156] S. E. Burke (Trustees of Boston University, US). Sound and vibration control windows. WO 97/16817 A1. Priority (US): Nov. 2, 1995. Published: May 9, 1997. *Related:* EP.
- [1157] W. Petiet (Hollandse Signaalapparaten, NL). [Acoustic vibration generator]. NL 1 000 275 C. Filed: May 2, 1995. Patented: Jan. 6, 1997. Related: CA, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1158] K. Kirjavainen (Panphonics Oy, FI). Method and apparatus for processing sound. WO 98/24088 A1. Priority (FI): Nov. 27, 1996. Published: June 4, 1998. Related: CA, EP, JP, NO, US.
- [1159] C. R. Fuller and P. E. Cambou (Virginia Tech Intellectual Properties, US). Active/passive distributed absorber for vibration and sound radiation control. US 2003/0234598 A1. First filed: April 22, 1998. Published: Dec. 25, 2003.
- [1160] C. R. Fuller and P. E. Cambou (Virginia Tech Intellectual Properties, US). Active/passive distributed absorber for vibration and sound radiation control. US 6,700,304 B1. Filed: April 20, 1999. Patented: March 2, 2004.
- [1161] A. H. von Flotow et al. (Hood Technology, US). Apparatus and method for active control of sound transmission through aircraft fuselage walls. US 6,078,673. Filed: Oct. 3, 1997. Patented: June 20, 2000.
- [1162] S. C. Southward and J. H. Highfill III (Lord Corporation, US). Control system and method for resonant apparatus such as adaptive tunable vibration absorbers. WO 99/22361 A1. Priority (US): Oct. 24, 1997. Published: May 6, 1999. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [1163] G. Mathur (McDonnell Douglas, US). Wavenumber-adaptive control of sound radiation from structures using a "Virtual" Microphone Array. US 5,519,637. Filed: Aug. 20, 1993. Patented: May 21, 1996.
- [1164] M. A. Sartori and J. A. Clark (US Navy). Far field acoustic radiation reduction. US 5,381,381. Filed: Sept. 30, 1993. Patented: Jan. 10, 1995.
- [1165] V.K. Varadan et al. (Owens-Corning Fiberglas Technology, US). Active noise control using piezoelectric sensors and actuators. US 5,668,744. Filed: May 5, 1995. Patented: Sept. 16, 1997.
- [1166] I. Kakubari et al. (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). Noise control apparatus. US 2004/0057584 A1. Priority (JP): Sept. 20, 2002. Published: March 25, 2004. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [1167] R. Schleicher et al. (DaimlerChrysler, DE). [Method and system for influencing possible structure-borne sound conductions and possible noise radiations of objects]. DE 198 26 175 B4. Filed: June 13, 1998. Patented: March 25, 2004. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1168] J. Wiseman (Titon Hardware, GB). Ventilation assemblies. WO 01/018458 A1. Priority (GB): Sept. 3, 1999. Published: March 15, 2001. Related: EP, US.
- [1169] M. Strasberg (U.S. Navy). Interference reduction apparatus. US 3,071,752. Filed: Jan. 2, 1958. Patented: Jan. 1, 1963.
- [1170] E. C. Fischer (US Government). Noise control composite. US 5,420,825. Filed: Aug. 31, 1982. Patented: May 30, 1995.
- [1171] R. A. Christman (US Navy). Adaptively formed signal-free reference system. US 5,226,016. Filed: April 16, 1992. Patented: July 6, 1993.

- [1172] B. Fromont (Thomson-CSF, FR). [Method and device for correcting the signals given by the hydrophones of an antenna]. FR 2 647 909 A1. Filed: June 2, 1989. Published: Dec. 7, 1990. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1173] C. Giangreco et al. (French Army). [Method and apparatus for acoustic detection of a signal with a low signal-tonoise ratio, in the presence of a noise source]. FR 2772 472 B1. Filed: Dec. 17, 1997. Patented: Feb. 4, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [1174] J. J. Sallas (Halliburton Geophysical Services, US). Suppression of air-coupled noise produced by seismic vibrators. US 4,930,113. Filed: March 30, 1989. Patented: May 29, 1990. *Related:* CA, EP.
- [1175] R.E. Motsinger and E.B. Smith (General Electric, US). Reduction of gas turbine engine noise annoyance by modulation. US 3,693,749. Filed: April 26, 1971. Patented: Sept. 26, 1972. *Related:* BE, DE, FR, GB, IT.
- [1176] L. V. Rennick and J. W. Julian (Northrop, US). Noise cancellation using tracking filter. US 4,232,381. Filed: June 8, 1979. Patented: Nov. 4, 1980.
- [1177] B. Widrow (Stanford University, US). ECG enhancement by adaptive cancellation of electrosurgical interference. US 4,537,200. Filed: July 7, 1983. Patented: Aug. 27, 1985.
- [1178] M. W. Kroll (Cherne Industries, US). Lung sound cancellation method and apparatus. US 4,672,977. Filed: June 10, 1986. Patented: June 16, 1987.
- [1179] T. F. Callahan and M. G. Callahan (University Research Engineers and Associates, Maynard, MA, US). Modular auscultation sensor and telemetry system. US 5,467,775. Filed: March 17, 1995. Patented: Nov. 21, 1995. Related: WO.
- [1180] J. W. Joyce et al. (US Army). Fluidic heart-sound monitor and esophageal stethoscope. US 5,076,284. Filed: Oct. 23, 1990. Patented: Dec. 31, 1991.
- [1181] T. R. Harley (University of Mississippi, US). Active noise control stethoscope. US 5,610,987. First filed: Aug. 16, 1993. Patented: March 11, 1997.
- [1182] G. D. Jay (US). Stethoscopic system for high-noise environments. US 5,497,426. Filed: Nov. 15, 1993. Patented: March 5, 1996.
- [1183] D. Andrea (Andrea Electronics, US). Noise cancellation improvement to stethoscope. US 5,909,495. Filed: Nov. 3, 1997. Patented: June 1, 1999.
- [1184] W. A. Gardner (US). Method and apparatus for intracranial noise suppression. US 5,570,426. Filed: Dec. 7, 1994. Patented: Oct. 29, 1996.
- [1185] W. A. Gardner (US). Method and apparatus for intracranial noise suppression. US 5,692,056. First filed: Dec. 7, 1994. Patented: Nov. 25, 1997.
- [1186] B. L. Lonsbury-Martin and G. K. Martin (Baylor College of Medicine, TX, US). Method and apparatus for distortion product emission testing of heating. US 5,526,819. First filed: Jan. 25, 1990. Patented: June 18, 1996.
- [1187] B. L. Lonsbury-Martin and G. K. Martin (Baylor College of Medicine, TX, US). Method and apparatus for distortion product emission testing of hearing. US 5,664,577. First filed: Jan. 25, 1990. Patented: Sept. 9, 1997.
- [1188] L. Y. L. Mo and R. M. Kulakowski (General Electric, US). Method and apparatus for dynamic noise reduction for doppler audio output. US 6,251,077 B1. Filed: Aug. 13, 1999. Patented: June 26, 2001. *Related:* DE, JP.
- [1189] D. C. Moss (Bolton, GB). Actively reducing noise produced by dental equipment. GB 2 388 998 A. Priority (GB): May 23, 2002. Published: Nov. 26, 2003.
- [1190] P. Friedlander (NCT, US). Active attenuation system for medical patients. US 5,313,945. Priority: Sept. 16, 1988. Patented: May 24, 1994. *Related:* CA, WO.
- [1191] S. Shimoide et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Medical diagnosing apparatus]. JP 5015505 A2. Filed: June 21, 1991. Patent JP 3140074 B2, issued: Dec. 4, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1192] F. G. Pla et al. (General Electric, US). Active control of noise and vibrations in magnetic resonance imaging systems using vibrational inputs. US 5,548,653. First filed: Feb. 14, 1992. Patented: Aug. 20, 1996.
- [1193] A. F. Mehlkopf and C. L. G. Ham (Philips Electronics, NL). Magnetic resonance apparatus with noise cancellation. EP 0 597 528 B1. Priority (EP): Nov. 10, 1992. Patented: Feb. 4, 1998. *Related:* DE, JP, US.
- [1194] A. J. Salloway and D. J. Gilderdale (GEC-Marconi, UK). Magnetic resonance apparatus. GB 2 281 970 B. Priority (GB): Sept. 21, 1993. Patented: Nov. 26, 1997. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1195] A. Egozi (Elscint, IL). Patient operator intercom arrangements for magnetic resonance imaging systems. US 4,696,030. Filed: Dec. 16, 1987. Patented: Sept. 22, 1987.
- [1196] R. Martin (Siemens, DE). [Apparatus for improving disturbed speech signals]. DE 19524847 C1. Filed: July 7, 1995. Patented: Feb. 13, 1997. Related: JP, US.
- [1197] C. L. G. Ham (Philips Electronics N.V., NL). [Magnetic resonance imaging apparatus comprising a communication system]. BE 1 007 806 A. Filed: Nov. 30, 1993. Published: Oct. 24, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.

- [1198] G. R. Morris Sr. et al. (Magnetic Resonance Equipment Corp., US). Audio speaker for use in an external magnetic field. US 5,450,499. Filed: Nov. 25, 1992. Patented: Sept. 12, 1995.
- [1199] F. Baumgart (Institut f
  ür Neurobiologie, Magdeburg, DE). [Antisound loudspeaker]. DE 197 27 657 C1. Filed: June 30, 1997. Patented: Jan. 14, 1999.
- [1200] Y. Ito and E. Moriya (Toshiba Corp., JP). [MRI Diagnostic Device]. JP 5 305 067 A. Filed: May 7, 1992. Published: Nov. 19, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [1201] P. Mansfield (Nottingham, GB). Active control of acoustic output in gradient coils. WO 98/13821 A1. Priority (GB): Sept. 27, 1996. Published: April 2, 1998. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [1202] H. Yoshino (Hitachi Medical Corp., JP). [Magnetic resonance imaging apparatus]. JP 7 079 952 A. Filed: Sept. 17, 1993. Patent JP 3 364 855 B2, issued: Jan. 8, 2003. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1203] H. Yoshino (Hitachi Medical Corp., JP). [Magnetic resonance imaging device]. JP 7 275 220 A. Filed: April 6, 1994. Patent JP 3 478 866 B2, issued: Dec. 15, 2003.
- [1204] H. Yoshino (Hitachi Medical Corp., JP). [Magnetic resonance imaging device]. JP 8 038 442 A. Filed: July 29, 1994. Published: Feb. 13, 1996. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1205] H. Yoshino (Hitachi Medical Corp., JP). [Magnetic resonance imaging device]. JP 8 038 443 A. Filed: Aug. 2, 1994. Patent JP 3 434 896 B2, issued: Aug. 11, 2003. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1206] A. Karakasoglu et al. (Local Silence, US). Sleep apnea screening and/or detecting apparatus and method. US 5,797,852. First filed: Feb. 4, 1993. Patented: Aug. 25, 1998.
- [1207] C. G. Hutchens and S. A. Morris (Amoco, US). Method for acoustic reverberation removal. US 4,796,237. Filed: Jan. 28, 1987. Patented: Jan. 3, 1989. *Related:* CA.
- [1208] D. H. Gilbert (Boeing, US). Echo cancellation system. US 4,875,372. Filed: May 3, 1988. Patented: Oct. 24, 1989.
- [1209] D. Hassler (Siemens, DE). [Apparatus and method for suppressing reflections at an ultrasound transducer]. EP 0541 856 B1. Filed: Nov. 15, 1991. Patented: May 24, 1995. *Related:* AT, DE, JP, US.
- [1210] P. W. Lorraine (General Electric, US). Ultrasonic transducer with lens having electrorheological fluid therein for dynamically focusing and steering ultrasound energy. US 5,477,736. Filed: March 4, 1994. Patented: Dec. 26, 1995. *Related:* JP.
- [1211] Y. Washiyama and H. Hashizume (Kawai, JP). [Wind instrument provided with means processing acoustic signal electronically]. JP 7 146 678 A2. Filed: Nov. 22, 1993. Patent JP 2 844 417 B2, issued: Jan. 6, 1999.
- [1212] H. Hashizume and Y. Washiyama (Seisakusho, JP). [Electronic tube length control unit]. JP 7146677 A2. Filed: Nov. 22, 1993. Patent JP 2844416 B2, issued: Jan. 6, 1999. *Related*: US.
- [1213] J. E. Barger et al. (BBN, US). Active cancellation of noise at gear mesh frequencies for a gear assembly under load. US 5,809,843. Filed: Jan. 7, 1997. Patented: Sept. 22, 1998. *Related*: EP, WO.
- [1214] K. Matsumoto (NEC Corp., JP). [Small portable equipment with reduced noise in EL device contrived]. JP 2000 010 570 A. Filed: June 24, 1998. Published: Jan. 14, 2000. *Related:* AU, GB, US.
- [1215] W. R. Babcock and A. G. Cattaneo (United Aircraft, US). Method and apparatus for generating an acoustic output from an ionized gas stream. US 3,516,286. Filed: Oct. 16, 1967. Patented: June 23, 1970. *Related:* BE, DE, FR, GB, NL.
- [1216] W. R. Babcock and A. G. Cattaneo (United Aircraft, US). Method and apparatus for generating an acoustic output from an ionized gas stream. US 3,565,209. Filed: Feb. 28, 1968. Patented: Feb. 23, 1971.
- [1217] R. Mani (General Electric, US). Method and aparatus for promoting a surge condition in a gas turbine. US 4,449,358. Filed: July 24, 1881. Patented: May 22, 1984. *Related*: DE, FR, GB, IT, JP.
- [1218] Y. Neumeier and B. T. Zinn (Georgia Tech Research Corp., US). Methods, apparatus and systems for real time identification and control of modes of oscillation. US 5,719,791. Filed: March 17, 1995. Patented: Feb. 17, 1998.
- [1219] Y. Neumeier and B. T. Zinn (Georgia Tech Research Corp., US). Methods, apparatus and systems for real time identification and control of modes of oscillation. US 5,784,300. First filed: March 17, 1995. Patented: July 21, 1998.
- [1220] J. A. Hogle et al. (General Electric, US). Systems and methods for suppressing pressure waves using corrective signal. US 2003/0051479 A1. Filed: Sept. 19, 2001. Published: March 20, 2003.
- [1221] R. J. Iasillo et al. (General Electric Co., US). Apparatus and method for active control of oscillations in gas turbine combustors. US 6,205,765 B1. Filed: Oct. 6, 1999. Patented: March 27, 2001.
- [1222] P. J. Dines (British Gas, UK). Active control of flame noise. GB 2 149 273 A. Filed: Nov. 2, 1983. Published: June 5, 1985.
- [1223] J. E. Ffowcs Williams et al. (GB and DE). Combustion system for a gas turbine engine. GB 2165964 B. Filed: Oct. 19, 1984. Patented: Nov. 11, 1987. *Related:* DE, FR, IT, JP, US.

- [1224] J. P. Roberts and M. L. Vuillermoz (National Research Development, UK). Active control of acoustic instability in combustion chambers. GB 2 161 916 B. Priority (GB): July 16, 1984. Patented: Feb. 17, 1988. *Related:* US.
- [1225] J. E. Ffowcs Williams (Topexpress, UK). Reducing combustion vibration. GB 2275738 B. Filed: Jan. 24, 1987. Patented: Jan. 25, 1995.
- [1226] W. H. Greenhalgh (Greenhalgh Technologies, US). System for suppressing sound from a flame. US 5,488,666. Filed: Oct. 1, 1993. Patented: Jan. 30, 1996. *Related*: EP, RU, WO.
- [1227] E. Gutmark et al. (ABB, CH). [Method and apparatus for controlling thermoacoustic vibrations in a combustion system]. EP 0918 152 A1. Filed: Nov. 24, 1997. Published: May 26, 1999. Related: US.
- [1228] C. O. Paschereit et al. (ABB Alstom, CH). [Method of controlling thermoacoustic vibrations in a combustion system, and combustion system]. DE 19928 226 A1. Filed: May 7, 1999. Published: Feb. 1, 2001. Related: EP, US.
- [1229] W. Lurz (MBB, DE). [Method and device for controlling the boundary layer of bodies in a flow with essentially stochastic fluctuations]. DE 3316393 C2. Filed: May 5, 1983. Patented: Dec. 21, 1989.
- [1230] D. Egelhof (Voith, DE). [Device for vibration damping]. DE 35 41 201 A1. Filed: Nov. 21, 1985. Published: May 27, 1987.
- [1231] R. A. Mangiarotty (Boeing, US). Control of laminar flow in fluids by means of acoustic energy. US 4,802,642.
   Filed: Oct. 14, 1986. Patented: Feb. 7, 1989. *Related*: DE, EP.
- [1232] D. L. Kamen (DEKA Products, US). Flow control system with volume-measuring system using a resonatable mass. US 5,575,310. First filed: March 4, 1986. Patented: Nov. 19, 1996. *Related:* AT, AU, BR, CA, DE, EP, FI, IL, JP, KR, MX, WO.
- [1233] J. W. Crouse (Beloit Corp., US). Active attenuator and method. US 4,750,523. Filed: Oct. 30, 1987. Patented: June 14, 1988. Related: CA, JP.
- [1234] J. L. Peube et al. (CNRS, FR). [Inlet or exhaust line for reciprocating machine]. FR 2 693 505 B1. Filed: July 7, 1992. Patented: Sept. 9, 1994. *Related:* DE, EP, ES, JP, US, WO.
- [1235] M. Kondou et al. (Matsushita, JP). Adaptive noise silencing system of combustion apparatus. US 5,445,517. Filed: Oct. 13, 1993. Patented: Aug. 29, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, KR.
- [1236] M. J. Burke and G. W. Tye (NCT, US). Piezoelectric driven flow modulator. US 5,493,615. Filed: May 26, 1993. Patented: Feb. 20, 1996. *Related*: DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [1237] R. A. Katz (US Navy). Method and system for suppressing noise induced in a fluid medium by a body moving therethrough. US 5,359,663. Filed: Sept. 2, 1993. Patented: Oct. 25, 1994.
- [1238] S. Lee (Samsung, KR). Noise killing system of fans. US 5,791,869. Priority (KR): Sept. 18, 1995. Patented: Aug. 11, 1998. Related: JP.
- [1239] C. S. Allen (NASA, US). In-flow acoustic sensor. US 5,477,506. Filed: Nov. 10, 1993. Patented: Dec. 19, 1995.
- [1240] J. T. Rainey et al. (Carrier Corp., US). A turbulence-shield for a mcirophone in a wall-cavity. EP 0 883 104 A3. Priority (US): June 6, 1997. Published: Sept. 12, 2001. *Related:* AU, JP.
- [1241] R.S. Eisenhour (Nissan, US). Air conditioner with power recovery device having a sound suppression device. US 6,655,165 B1. Filed: Dec. 19, 2002. Patented: Dec. 2, 2003.
- [1242] E. Gutmark et al. (ABB, CH). [Method for controlling thermoacoustic vibrations in gas turbine combustion chambers]. EP 0918 153 B1. Filed: Nov. 24, 1997. Patented: March 3, 2004.
- [1243] K. Bauer (Eurocopter Deutschland, DE). [Helicopter rotor blade with a movable flap]. DE 198 08 196 C2. Filed: Feb. 27, 1998. Patented: June 5, 2003. *Related*: EP, US.
- [1244] R. M. Miller and R. N. Tunkel (United Technologies, US). Vibration-driven acoustic jet controlling boundary layer separation. US 6,109,566. Filed: Feb. 25, 1999. Patented: Aug. 29, 2002. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [1245] G. Wickern et al. (AUDI, DE). [Wind tunnel]. DE 197 02 390 A1. Filed: Jan. 24, 1997. Published: July 30, 1998. Related: EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1246] I. U. Borchers and L. Schauwecker (Dornier, DE). [Method for reducing the periodical pressure variations of an external stream flowing around the opening of a cavity]. DE 197 50 218 C2. Filed: Nov. 13, 1997. Patented: Sept. 30, 1999. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [1247] N. J. Doelman and R. Parchen (TNO, NL). Preventing oscillations in flow systems. WO 02/37468 A1. Filed: Oct. 31, 2000. Published: May 10, 2002. Related: AU, EP.
- [1248] A. Vang (Stevenson, Jordan & Harrison, US). Vibration dampening. US 2,361,071. Filed: Sept. 23, 1942. Patented: Oct. 24, 1944.
- [1249] W.P. Mason (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Piezoelectric damping means for mechanical vibrations. US 2,443,417. Filed: March 29, 1945. Patented: June 15, 1948.

- [1250] K. Znamirovsky et al. (CS). Improvements in or relating to damping devices. GB 974 578. Filed: Feb. 28, 1963. Published: Nov. 4, 1964.
- [1251] D. Alleai (Quality Research Development & Consulting, US). Vibration control by confinement of vibration energy. US 6,032,552. First filed: Aug. 7, 1995. Patented: March 7, 2000. Related: AU, EP, WO.
- [1252] D. Alleai (Quality Research Development & Consulting, US). Apparatus and method for confinement and damping of vibration energy. US 6,116,389. First filed: Aug. 7, 1995. Patented: Sept. 12, 2000.
- [1253] D. Alleai (Quality Research Development & Consulting, US). Apparatus and method for confinement and damping of vibration energy. US 6,394,242 B1. First filed: Aug. 7, 1995. Patented: May 28, 2002.
- [1254] D. Alleai (Quality Research Development & Consulting, US). Vibration control by confinement of vibration energy. US 6,666,108 B1. First filed: Aug. 7, 1995. Patented: Dec. 23, 2003.
- [1255] D. Alleai (Quality Research Development & Consulting, US). Vibration control by confinement of vibration energy. US 2004/0084267 A1. First filed: Aug. 7, 1995. Published: May 6, 2004.
- [1256] S. A. Austin (US Navy). Vibration damping device. US 5,472,069. Filed: Oct. 27, 1993. Patented: Dec. 5, 1995.
- [1257] C. S. Swigert (US Air Force). Electronic cancelling of acoustic traveling waves. US 4,449,235. Filed: July 14, 1982. Patented: May 15, 1984.
- [1258] C. S. Swigert (US Air Force). System for wide bandwidth damping. US 4,633,982. Filed: Feb. 11, 1985. Patented: Jan. 6, 1987.
- [1259] M. Harper (ANVT, US). Active vibration control. GB 2 229 511 B. Filed: March 16, 1989. Patented: Nov. 10, 1993. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1260] M. Harper (ANVT, US). Improved methods and apparatus for the active control of vibration. GB 2 229 789 B. Filed: March 16, 1989. Patented: Aug. 5, 1992. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1261] A. V. Srinivasan et al. (United Technologies, US). Integral structural component vibratory behavior control. US 4,901,573. Filed: Dec. 27, 1988. Patented: Feb. 20, 1990.
- [1262] G. J. Julien and S. P. Robinson (Boeing, US). Method and apparatus for sensing and damping vibration. US 5,005,678. Filed: March 3, 1989. Patented: April 9, 1991.
- [1263] J. E. Hubbard Jr. (MIT, US). Method and apparatus using a piezoelectric film for active control of vibrations. US 4,565,940. Filed: Aug. 14, 1984. Patented: Jan. 21, 1986.
- [1264] J. E. Hubbard Jr. (MIT, US). Method and apparatus for active control of vibrations. US 4,626,730. First filed: Aug. 14, 1984. Patented: Dec. 2, 1986.
- [1265] K.-J. Schalz (Leitz, DE). [Device and method for active vibration damping]. DE 39 11 341 C1. Filed: April 7, 1989. Patented: Oct. 11, 1990. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1266] J. Onoda et al. (Nissan, JP). [Vibration control method of structure]. JP 3 025 098 A2. Filed: June 22, 1989. Patent JP 2 945 684 B2, issued: Sept. 6, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [1267] R. J. Silcox et al. (NASA, US). Method and apparatus for minimizing multiple degree of freedom vibration transmission between two regions of a structure. US 5,156,370. Filed: March 4, 1991. Patented: Oct. 20, 1992.
- [1268] J.-M. Simon and A. Gennesseaux (Hutchinson, FR). [Elastic connecting bar for a system for active control of vibrations]. FR 2 675 558 B1. Filed: April 22, 1991. Patented: Jan. 13, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1269] R. C. Leung and M. F. L. Harper (UK Government). Vibration reduction. WO 94/04844 A1. Priority (GB): Aug. 13, 1992. Published: March 3, 1994. *Related*: AU, CA, DE, DK, EP, ES, GB, JP, US.
- [1270] S. F. Griffin (US Government). Vibration damping system. US 5,370,974. Filed: July 2, 1993. Patented: Jan. 3, 1995.
- [1271] D. J. Phillips et al. (Harris Corp., US). Concentrically mounted vibration attenuator and method. US 5,682,069. Filed: Aug. 30, 1994. Patented: Oct. 28, 1997.
- [1272] F.G. Pla and H. Rajiyah (General Electric, US). Active vibration control of structures undergoing bending vibrations. US 5,458,222. Filed: Dec. 5, 1994. Patented: Oct. 17, 1995.
- [1273] A. J. Salloway and G. Humpston (GEC-Marconi, UK). Vibration control. GB 2312972 B. Filed: May 11, 1996. Patented: Feb. 9, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [1274] H.-M. Lent-Philipps (Volkswagen, DE). [Method and device for the active cancellation or attenuation of vehice body vibrations]. DE 199 23 704 A1. Filed: May 22, 1999. Published: Nov. 23, 2000. *Related:* EP.
- [1275] R. A. Shimmel (Ford, US). Method and apparatus for damping vibrations in an automotive vehicle having a convertible roof. US 5,494,131. Filed: July 5, 1994. Patented: Feb. 27, 1996.
- [1276] A. M. Baz (US). Method and device for active constrained layer damping for vibration and sound control. US 5,485,053. Filed: Oct. 15, 1993. Patented: Jan. 16, 1996.

- [1277] C. E. Millar et al. (GEC-Marconi, UK). Vibration control. GB 2 324 434 B. Filed: April 18, 1997. Patented: May 16, 2001. *Related*: DE, JP, WO.
- [1278] S. E. Shields (Raytheon, US). System and method for stabilizing multiple flatforms onboard a vessel. US 5,769,020. Filed: June 16, 1997. Patented: June 23, 1998.
- [1279] J. D. Leatherwood et al. (NASA, US). Active vibration isolator for flexible bodies. US 3,566,993. Filed: March 26, 1969. Patented: March 2, 1971.
- [1280] K. P. Geohegan Jr. et al. (Westinghouse, US). Active vibration damper with electrodynamic sensor and drive units. US 4,083,433. Filed: Nov. 16, 1976. Patented: April 11, 1978.
- [1281] K. D. Garnjost et al. (Moog, US). Method and apparatus for actively adjusting and controlling a resonant massspring system. US 5,456,341. Filed: April 23, 1993. Patented: Oct. 10, 1995. *Related:* DE, GB, JP.
- [1282] W. E. Schmidt et al. (Lord Corporation, US). Active systems and devices including active vibration absorbers (AVAS). US 5,906,254. First filed: Oct. 12, 1994. Patented: May 25, 1999. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [1283] W. S. Jensen (Lord Corp., US). Adaptive tuned vibration absorber, system utilizing same and method of controlling vibration therewith. US 5,954,169. Filed: Oct. 24, 1997. Patented: Sept. 21, 1999. *Related:* CA, EP, WO.
- [1284] D. A. Swanson et al. (Lord Corp., US). Fluid-filled active vibration absorber. US Patent US 5,947,457. Filed: April 8, 1997. Patented: Sept. 7, 1999.
- [1285] E. Breitbach and H. Breitbach (DLR and ERAS, DE). [Method and device for vibration damping using a dynamic mass]. DE 197 25 770 C2. Filed: June 18, 1997. Patented: April 22, 1999. *Related:* EP.
- [1286] D. E. Ivers (Lord Corp., US). Efficient multi-directional active vibration absorber assembly. US 6,009,985. Filed: Feb. 10, 1997. Patented: Jan. 4, 2000.
- [1287] D. E. Ivers (Lord Corp., US). Efficient multi-directional active vibration absorber assembly. WO 99/38153 A1. Filed: Jan. 23, 1998. Published: July 29, 1999. *Related:* EP.
- [1288] A. O. Andersson and L. L. Godo (Boeing, US). Method and apparatus for actively reducing multiple-source repetitive vibrations. US 5,245,552. Filed: Oct. 31, 1990. Patented: Sept. 14, 1993.
- [1289] E. Breitbach (DFVLR, DE). [Process and apparatus for suppressing external load carrying wing flutter for aircraft]. DE 31 36 320 C2. Filed: Sept. 12, 1981. Patented: Oct. 20, 1983. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1290] Y. Niwa et al. (Japan Defense Agency, and Kawasaki Heavy Industries, JP). [Active vibration control device for helicopter]. JP 7-081 693 A. Filed: Sept. 17, 1993. Patent JP 2 772 410 B2, issued: July 2, 1998.
- [1291] M. A. Swinbanks (MAS Research, UK). Vibration cancellation device. GB 2 281 369 A. Filed: June 10, 1993. Published: March 1, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1292] A. Muramatsu and Y. Hagino (Tokai Rubber Industries, JP). [Pneumatically oscillating active damper]. JP 11 230 245 A. Filed: Feb. 10, 1998. Published: Aug. 27, 1999. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1293] F. Khorrami and J. Rastegar (Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY, US). Apparatus for improving operational performance of a machine or device. US 5,604,413. Filed: Sept. 7, 1994. Patented: Feb. 18, 1997.
- [1294] F. Khorrami and J. Rastegar (Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY, US). Apparatus for reducing vibration inputs to a device and/or for positioning the device. US 5,742,145. First filed: Sept. 7, 1994. Patented: April 21, 1998.
- [1295] L. Gaul (DE). [Active control of joints in mechanical constructional elements and structures]. DE 197 02 518 C2. Filed: Jan. 24, 1997. Patented: March 9, 2000.
- [1296] L. L. Bethel (General Electric, US). Electronic compensation system for elimination or reduction of inter-channel interference in noise cancellation systems. US 5,237,618. Filed: May 11, 1990. Patented: Aug. 17, 1993. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [1297] M. C. Algrain et al. (University of Nebraska, US). Phase lock loop based system and method for decomposing and tracking decomposed frequency components of a signal, with application to vibration compensation system. US 5,710,720. First filed: April 30, 1996. Patented: Jan. 20, 1998.
- [1298] K H. Rew et al. (Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, KR). Adaptive shunt system for vibration control of structures and operating method of the same. US 6,538,401 B2. Filed: Jan. 22, 2002. Patented: March 25, 2003.
- [1299] E. B. Baker (Vibrastop, US). Active vibration suppressor. US 4,635,892. Filed: Aug. 19, 1985. Patented: Jan. 13, 1987.
- [1300] M.-L. Lai (3M Co., US). Tuned mass damper. US 5,558,191. First filed: April 18, 1994. Patented: Sept. 24, 1996. *Related:* AU, CA, CN, DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [1301] T. Kobori et al. (Kajima, JP). [Active type vibration control device]. JP 1 275 866 A2. Filed: April 26, 1988. Patent JP 5 004 515 B4, issued: Jan. 20, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [1302] N. Uno and K. Mutaguchi (Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries, JP). [Structure vibration suppressing device]. JP 2 102 945 A2. Filed: Oct. 6, 1988. Patent JP 2 668 990 B2, issued: Oct. 27, 1997. Related: CA, DE, FR, GB, KR, US.

- [1303] N. Uno and M. Mutaguchi (Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries, JP). Vibration damping system. US 5,239,789. Priority (JP): Oct. 6, 1988. Patented: Aug. 31, 1993.
- [1304] N. Uno and M. Mutaguchi (Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries, JP). Vibration damping system. US 5,233,797. Priority (JP): Oct. 6, 1988. Patented: Aug. 10, 1993.
- [1305] T. Ishimaru et al. (Takenaka, JP). [Vibration control structure]. JP 7113357 A2. Filed: May 30, 1991. Patent JP 3162421 B2, issued: April 25, 2001. *Related:* US.
- [1306] S. Ishimaru et al. (Takenaka, JP). Vibration suppressing structure. US 5,347,772. Priority (JP): May 30, 1991. Patented: Sept. 20, 1994. *Related:* JP.
- [1307] M. Sakamoto et al. (Kajima, JP). [Vibration control device for structure]. JP 5 202 637 A. Filed: Jan. 28, 1992. Patent JP 2 546 465 B2, issued: Oct. 23, 1996. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1308] N. Olgac (University of Connecticut, US). Delayed resonators as active dynamic absorbers. US 5,431,261. Filed: May 12, 1994. Patented: July 11, 1995.
- [1309] W. N. Patten (University of Oklahoma, US). Semi-active vibration mitigation assembly. US 5,595,372. First filed: March 11, 1993. Patented: Jan. 21, 1997.
- [1310] C. M. D'Annunzio and C. E. Chassaing (Radix Systems, US). Active controller for the attenuation of mechanical vibrations. US 5,592,791. Filed: May 24, 1995. Patented: Jan. 14, 1997.
- [1311] D.-S. Hsu (National Science Council, Taiwan). High pressure servo-mechanism control system for civil or architectural structure. US 5,758,455. Filed: Dec. 13, 1996. Patented: June 2, 1998.
- [1312] J.E. Bobrow and F. Jabbari (US). Method for controlling an active truss element for vibration suppression. US 5,984,062. First filed: Feb. 24, 1995. Patented: Nov. 16, 1999.
- [1313] T. Yamashita and H. Hiraguri (Taisei Electronic Industries, JP). [Floor shock sound eliminating device for multistored building]. JP 9044168 A2. Filed: Aug. 3, 1995. Published: Feb. 14, 1997. *Related:* DE, GB.
- [1314] D. W. Lewis et al. (US). Vibration limiting of rotating machinery through active control means. US 4,643,592. Filed: Nov. 9, 1984. Patented: Feb. 17, 1987.
- [1315] E. Acton et al. (Rolls-Royce, UK). Active control of unsteady motion phenomena in turbomachinery. GB 2 191 606 B. Filed: April 28, 1987. Patented: Jan. 23, 1991. *Related*: US.
- [1316] R. A. Nouvian and G. R. E. R. Vermont (SNECMA, FR). [Apparatus and method for balancing a rotating system, in particular the low-pressure rotors of a turbojet engine]. FR 2 597 203 B1. Filed: April 15, 1986. Patented: Sept. 8, 1989.
- [1317] A. O. Andersson (Boeing, US). Reducing engine noise by active oscillatory torque control. US 5,049,768. First filed: July 15, 1987. Patented: Sept. 17, 1991. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [1318] D. G. Smith et al. (NCT, US). Electronic cancellation of D.C. motor noise. WO 93/19458 A1. Filed: March 19, 1992. Published: Sept. 30, 1993. *Related*: DE, EP.
- [1319] C. W. Moulds III (Westinghouse, US). Adaptive vibration canceller. US 4,950,966. Filed: July 3, 1989. Patented: Aug. 21, 1990.
- [1320] T.L. Geiger (Westinghouse, US). Apparatus and method for reducing vibration of rotating machinery. US 4,963,804. Filed: July 10, 1989. Patented: Oct. 16, 1990.
- [1321] T. H. Putman and D. V. Wright (Westinghouse Electric Corp., US). Bidirectional variable reluctance actuator and system for active attenuation of vibration and structure borne noise utilizing same. US 5,126,641. Filed: March 8, 1991. Patented: June 30, 1992.
- [1322] K. E. Rouch et al. (University of Kentucky, US). Active vibration control device. US 5,170,103. Filed: May 20, 1991. Patented: Dec. 8, 1992. Related: AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, KR, WO.
- [1323] D. R. Browning and W. D. Wynn (Lucent Technologies, US). Vibration damping system using active negative capacitance shunt circuit with piezoelectric reaction mass actuator. US 5,558,477. Filed: Dec. 2, 1994. Patented: Sept. 24, 1996. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [1324] A. Aiken and J. A. Duggan (Spicer Driveshaft, US). Vehicle center bearing assembly including piezo-based device for vibration damping. US 6,520,678 B2. Filed: March 27, 2001. Patented: Feb. 18, 2003. *Related:* AU, DE, GB.
- [1325] G. Rößler and B. Wagensommer (Heidelberger Druckmaschinen, DE). [Device and method for damping mechanical vibrations of a printing press]. DE 42 34 928 A1. Filed: Oct. 16, 1992. Published: April 21, 1994. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1326] B. Bhattacharya et al. (Rolls-Royce, GB). A vibration damping system and a method of damping vibrations. GB 2 365 945 B. Filed: Aug. 16, 2000. Patented: Feb. 11, 2004. *Related:* US.
- [1327] H. Masuda et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Vibration control device, washing machine, compressor, piping system and air conditioner]. JP 7 012 167 A2. Filed: June 24, 1993. Published: Jan. 17, 1995. *Related:* CN, DE, EP, KR, US.

- [1328] K. D. Garnjost et al. (Moog, US). Method and apparatus for actively adjusting and controlling a resonant massspring system. US 5,620,068. First filed: April 23, 1993. Patented: April 15, 1997. Related: DE, GB, JP.
- [1329] M. K. Swann and R. R. Shultz (Glacier RPB, US). Axial vibration damping arrangement. US 5,578,881. Filed: Sept. 29, 1994. Patented: Nov. 26, 1996. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, WO.
- [1330] Anon. (Société d'exploitation des matériels Hispano-Suiza, FR). [Improvements of rotating machinery bearings, in particular for devices in air-tight enclosures]. FR 1 186 527. Filed: Nov. 18, 1957. Patented: Feb. 23, 1959.
- [1331] H. Habermann (Société de Mécanique Magnétique, FR). [Electromagnetic device for reducing vibration in a rotary machine fitted with fluid bearings]. FR 2 609 133 B1. Filed: Dec. 31, 1986. Published: Dec. 15, 1989. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1332] H. Hiyama and K. Watanabe (Ebara, JP). [Method for preventing and controlling unbalanced vibration and synchronous interfering vibration]. JP 63 285 321 A2. Filed: May 18, 1987. Published: Nov. 22, 1988. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1333] H. Hiyama et al. (National Aerospace Laboratory, JP). [Positively acting magnetic bearing]. JP 1116 318 A2. Filed: Oct. 28, 1987. Patent JP 5 059 282 B4, issued: Aug. 30, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1334] H. M. Chen (Mechanical Technology, US). Rotating force generator for magnetic bearings. US 5,202,824. First filed: June 21, 1990. Patented: April 13, 1993. *Related*:.
- [1335] M. Yoneyama et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Magnetic bearing control method and its device]. JP 5 052 219 A. Filed: May 31, 1991. Patent JP 3 090 977 B2, issued: Sept. 25, 2000. *Related:* FR, US.
- [1336] S. R. Beale (C. S. Draper Labs., US). Adaptive synchronous vibration suppression apparatus. US 5,313,399. Filed: Jan. 21, 1992. Patented: May 17, 1994.
- [1337] S. R. Beale and P. J. LaRocca (C.S. Draper Labs., US). Frequency tracking adaptive synchronous vibration suppression apparatus. US 5,400,256. First filed: Jan. 21, 1992. Patented: March 21, 1995.
- [1338] K. Kato (Shinko Electric, JP). [Vibration restraining device for rotor]. JP 6 249 286 A2. Filed: Feb. 24, 1993. Patent JP 3 259 404 B2, issued: Feb. 25, 2002. *Related:* CN, DE, EP, US.
- [1339] C. W. Moulds III (Westinghouse, US). Adaptive noise cancelling for magnetic bearing auto-balancing. US 4,912,387. Filed: Dec. 27, 1988. Patented: March 27, 1990. *Related:* FR, JP.
- [1340] Hsiang-Ming Chen (Mechanical Technology, US). Velocity-controlled magnetic bearings. US 5,666,014. Filed: July 20, 1994. Patented: Sept. 9, 1997.
- [1341] N. P. Andrianos (Contraves Goerz, US). Active vibration reduction in apparatus with cross coupling between control axes. US 4,999,534. Filed: Jan. 19, 1990. Patented: March 12, 1991.
- [1342] C. W. Moulds (Westinghouse, US). Multivariable adaptive vibration canceller. US 5,049,795. Filed: July 2, 1990. Patented: Sept. 17, 1991.
- [1343] A. Gennesseaux (Hutchinson, FR). [Device for attenuating the periodic vibrations of a mechanical structure]. FR 2 677 096 B1. Filed: May 31, 1991. Patented: Feb. 17, 1995. *Related*: DE, EP, ES, JP, US.
- [1344] A. R. D. Curtis and J. E. Joseph (NCT, US). Methods and apparatus for closed-loop control of magnetic bearings. US 5,471,106. First filed: March 8, 1993. Patented: Nov. 28, 1995. *Related:* AU, WO.
- [1345] H. Ueyama and M. Taniguchi (Koyo Seiko, JP). Magnetic bearing spindle device for machine tools. US 5,783,887. Priority (JP): Aug. 7, 1996. Patented: July 21, 1998. *Related:* JP.
- [1346] R. E. Diehl and A.H. Berger (Ford Motor Co., US). Engine vibration balancer. US 4,926,810. Filed: Oct. 5, 1988. Patented: May 22, 1990.
- [1347] H. Tashiro et al. (Nippondenso, JP). [Vibration control device for vehicle]. JP 7 091 306 A2. Priority (JP): Dec. 28, 1992. Patent JP 3 314 484 B2, issued: Aug. 12, 2002. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1348] R. Freymann et al. (BMW, DE). [Vibration absorber]. DE 38 43 676 A1. Filed: Dec. 23, 1988. Published: July 5, 1990. *Related:* EP, JP, US.
- [1349] V. Macchiarulo et al. (Dayco PTI, IT). Toothed belt transmission for driving dynamic balancing shafts in endothermic engines. US 5,624,337. Priority (IT): Nov. 26, 1993. Patented: April 29, 1997.
- [1350] S. E. Data et al. (Onan Corp., US). Auxiliary power unit for a hybrid electrical vehicle. US 5,469,820. First filed: July 15, 1993. Patented: Nov. 28, 1995. *Related:* WO.
- [1351] S.E. Data et al. (Onan Corp., US). Auxiliary power unit for a hybrid electric vehicle. US 5,606,946. First filed: July 15, 1993. Patented: March 4, 1997.
- [1352] S. E. Data (Onan Corp., US). Engine driven generator set system having substantially no roll torque. US 5,495,907. First filed: July 15, 1993. Patented: March 5, 1996. *Related:* WO.
- [1353] K. N. Majeed et al. (General Motors Corp., US). Active vibration control system for attenuating engine generated vibrations in a vehicle. US 5,332,061. Filed: March 12, 1993. Patented: July 26, 1994.

- [1354] J. Walkowc (Stahl International, US). Active torsional vibration damper. US 5,553,514. Filed: June 6, 1994. Patented: Sept. 10, 1996.
- [1355] J. Walkowc (Stahl International, US). Active torsional vibration damper. US 5,678,460. Filed: June 4, 1996. Patented: Oct. 21, 1997.
- [1356] J. Schnur and S. Tomaschko (DaimlerChrysler, DE). [Method and apparatus for influencing background noise of machines having rotating parts]. DE 198 26 170 C1. Filed: June 13, 1998. Patented: Oct. 14, 1999. Related: EP, US.
- [1357] Y. Maeda et al. (Hitachi and Nissan, JP). [Body vibration reducing method and device thereof]. JP 3 222 834 A2. Filed: Jan. 27, 1990. Patent JP 2 882 835 B2, issued: April 12, 1999. *Related:* DE, EP, KR, US.
- [1358] A. J. Langley (NCT, US). An improved adaptive resonator vibration control system. WO 93/21687 A1. Filed: April 15, 1992. Published: Oct. 28, 1993. *Related*: AT, CA, DE, EP, US.
- [1359] T. Ichihara et al. (IC90a). [Knocking detecting method and device of internal combustion engine and ignition timing control device of engine utilizing such method and device]. JP 4066753 A. Filed: July 6, 1990. Patent JP 2510767 B2, issued: June 26, 1996. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1360] K. Katougi (Hitachi, JP). [Knocking detecting means for internal combustion engine]. JP 4008850 A. Filed: April 27, 1990. Patent JP 2612365 B2, issued: May 21, 1997.
- [1361] K. Katougi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Detecting device for knocking]. JP 5026721 A. Filed: July 19, 1991. Patent JP 3117495 B2, issued: Dec. 11, 2000. *Related*: DE, US.
- [1362] R. P. Koziara (Onan Corp., US). Engine efficiency improvement system. US 5,368,000. Filed: July 15, 1993. Patented: Nov. 29, 1994. Related: WO.
- [1363] J.-M. Simon and D. Barat (Hutchinson, FR). [Device for attenuating the rolling noise of vehicles]. FR 2705 418 B1. Filed: May 14, 1993. Patented: Aug. 4, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1364] W. N. Patten (University of Oklahoma, US). Vehicle/bridge vibration mitigation assembly. US 6,053,269. Filed: Aug. 13, 1998. Patented: April 25, 2000. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, WO.
- [1365] F.H. Speckhart (US). System for absorbing torsional and/or bending vibrations. US 5,533,422. Filed: Nov. 28, 1994. Patented: July 9, 1996. Related: AU, WO.
- [1366] R. Wimmel et al. (ERAS, DE). [Method and device for active suppression of contact vibrations in roll arrangements]. DE 198 21 854 C1. Filed: May 15, 1998. Patented: July 29, 1999. *Related:* AT, EP.
- [1367] G. d'Espinassy de Venel (FR). [Improvements in stabilizing devices for vehicles]. FR 828 820. Filed: Feb. 4, 1937. Patented: Feb. 8, 1938. *Related:* GB, US.
- [1368] L. B. D'Avigdor (US). Electropneumatic sway control apparatus for land vehicles. US 2,993,705. First filed: June 10, 1960. Patented: July 25, 1961.
- [1369] E.S. Thall (US). Electrical shock absorbing system. US 2,973,969. Filed: May 11, 1955. Patented: March 7, 1961.
- [1370] C. R. Hanna (Westinghouse, US). Vehicle stabilizer, tilter and leveling means. US 2,976,052. Filed: Dec. 27, 1956. Patented: March 21, 1961. *Related:* DE, FR, GB.
- [1371] C. R. Hanna and L. B. Lynn (Westinghouse, US). Vehicle stabilizer, tilter, and leveling device. US 2,860,889. Filed: Dec. 27, 1956. Patented: Nov. 18, 1958. *Related:* DE, FR, GB.
- [1372] C. R. Hanna (Westinghouse, US). Sprung mass movement sensing means for vehicle stabilizer. US 3,013,810. First filed: Dec. 27, 1956. Patented: Dec. 19, 1961. *Related*: DE, FR, GB.
- [1373] L. B. Lynn (Westinghouse, US). Vehicle stabilizer, tilter leveling and pitch control means. US 2,965,390. Filed: Nov. 5, 1957. Patented: Dec. 20, 1960. *Related*: DE, FR, GB.
- [1374] H. P. T. Corley and L. G. Dorsett (Dorsett Electronics Laboratories, US). Electronic controlled vehicle suspension system. US 3,124,368. Filed: Nov. 14, 1960. Patented: March 10, 1964.
- [1375] N. Delchev (US). Electrically controlled shock absorber system. US 3,321,210. Filed: April 12, 1966. Patented: May 23, 1967.
- [1376] H. G. Busignies (ITT, US). Apparatus for vehicle suspension control. US 3,502,347. Filed: Nov. 6, 1967. Patented: March 24, 1970.
- [1377] T. D. Scharton and D. A. Bies (BBN, US). Vibration isolation system. US 3,606,233. Filed: April 22, 1968. Patented: Sept. 20, 1971.
- [1378] Anon. (Laser Engineering, UK). Improvements in or relating to means for damping resilient members. GB 1 259 802 A. Filed: Jan. 28, 1969. Patented: May 24, 1972.
- [1379] P. H. Murphy (US). Apparatus for offsetting centrifugal force affecting motor vehicles. US 3,608,925. Filed: May 7, 1969. Patented: Sept. 28, 1971.

- [1380] D. C. Karnopp and M. J. Crosby (Lord Corp., US). System for controlling the transmission of energy between spaced members. US 3,807,678. Filed: Sept. 19, 1972. Patented: April 30, 1974. *Related:* CA, DE, FR, GB, IT, JP.
- [1381] S.G. Glaze (Lucas Aerospace, UK). Land vehicle wheel suspension arrangements. GB 1 485 003 A. Filed: Aug. 3, 1973. Published: Sept. 8, 1977. *Related:* DE, FR, IT, JP, US.
- [1382] A. P. Yankowski and A. Klausner (US). Electromagnetic shock absorber. US 3,941,402. Filed: March 3, 1975. Patented: March 2, 1976. *Related:* CA.
- [1383] R. M. Malueg (McDonnell Douglas, US). Torque rejection soft mounted platform. US 4,033,541. Filed: May 30, 1975. Patented: July 5, 1977.
- [1384] S.G. Glaze (Lucas Industries, UK). Vehicle suspension systems. GB 1555124 A. Filed: June 7, 1975. Published: Nov. 7, 1979. *Related*: DE, FR, IT, JP, US.
- [1385] W. B. Lloyd (Westinghouse, US). Vibration isolation load support apparatus. US 4,101,102. Filed: April 26, 1976. Patented: July 18, 1978.
- [1386] F. G. Lowe and B. Bollons (UOP, US). Improvements in and relating to vehicle seats. GB 1 601 370 A. Filed: Nov. 29, 1977. Published: Oct. 28, 1978. *Related:* AR, AU, BR, CA, DE, EP, ES, IL, IT, JP, MX, US, YU, ZA.
- [1387] K. Terada et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Method and device for controlling vibration of vehicle]. JP 55 011 954 A2. Filed: July 14, 1978. Patent JP 62 034 580 B4, issued: July 28, 1987. JP 1 430 008 C, issued: March 9, 1988. *Related:* AU, FR, GB, ZA.
- [1388] Y. Kakehi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Vibration controller for vehicle]. JP 56 017 754 A2. Filed: July 20, 1979. Patent JP 62 033 110 B4, issued: July 18, 1987. JP 1 424 832 C, issued: Feb. 15, 1988. *Related*: AU, EP, GB, US, WO.
- [1389] Y. Kakehi et al. (Hitachi, JP). [Controller for vibration of car]. JP 57 011 163 A2. Filed: June 23, 1980. Patent JP 62 034 579 B4, issued: July 28, 1987. *Related:* GB, KR, US.
- [1390] L. K. Woods and J. M. Hamilton (US). Computer optimized adaptive suspension system having combined shock absorber/air spring unit. US 4,468,739. First filed: Nov. 17, 1981. Patented: Aug. 28, 1984. *Related*: EP, JP.
- [1391] A. Kimura et al. (Nissan, JP). [Mounting device for power unit]. JP 58 089 420 A2. Filed: Nov. 20, 1981. Patent JP 1 001 332 B4, issued: Jan. 11, 1989. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1392] G. B. B. Chaplin and R. A. Smith (Sound Attenuators, UK). Method and apparatus for active vibration isolation. GB 2 119 897 A. Priority (GB): April 19, 1982. Published: Nov. 23, 1983. *Related:* AU, EP, JP, NO, US, WO.
- [1393] A. D. White et al. (The Plessey Co., UK). Reducing noise or vibration. GB 2 122 052 B. Priority (GB): June 9, 1982. Patented: Jan. 29, 1986.
- [1394] T. Freudenberg (DE). [Electro-magnetic vibration-damping mount]. DE 3314335 C2. Filed: April 20, 1983. Patented: Nov. 25, 1986. *Related:* US.
- [1395] T. Freudenberg (DE). Electro-magnetic vibration-damping mount. US 4,699,348. Priority (DE): April 20, 1983. Patented: Oct. 13, 1987. *Related*: DE.
- [1396] B. W. Abrams and D. J. Karbo (Gould, US). Vibration isolation assembly. US 4,546,960. Filed: June 20, 1983. Patented: Oct. 15, 1985. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, DE, EP, ES, IT, JP, NO, WO.
- [1397] F. Sugasawa (Nissan, JP). [Road-surface state detector]. JP 60 151 111 A2. Filed: Jan. 20, 1984. Patent JP 5 034 166 B4, issued: May 21, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1398] F. Sugasawa et al. (Nissan, JP). Automotive suspension control system with road-condition-dependent damping characteristics. US 4,967,359. Priority (JP): Jan. 20, 1984. Patented: Oct. 30, 1990. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [1399] F. Sugasawa et al. (Nissan, JP). Automotive suspension control system with road-condition-dependent damping characteristics. US 5,034,890. Priority (JP): Jan. 20, 1984. Patented: July 23, 1991. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [1400] F. Sugasawa et al. (Nissan, JP). Automotive suspension control system with road-condition-dependent damping characteristics. US 5,075,855. Priority (JP): Jan. 20, 1984. Patented: Dec. 24, 1991. Related: DE, EP.
- [1401] W. Redman-White and P. A. Nelson (University of Southampton, UK). Method of reducing the transmission of vibrations. GB 2 165 667 B. Filed: July 20, 1984. Patented: April 20, 1988.
- [1402] W. Idigkeit et al. (Fa. Carl Freudenberg, DE). [Apparatus for resiliently mounting a vibrating body]. DE 34 33 255 C2. Filed: Sept. 11, 1984. Patented: Jan. 2, 1987. *Related:* FR, GB, US.
- [1403] J. R. Sandercock (RCA, US). Anti-vibration device. US 4,615,504. Filed: Nov. 15, 1984. Patented: Oct. 7, 1986. *Related:* DE, JP.
- [1404] J.R. Sandercock (RCA, US). Anti-vibration system. US 4,643,385. Filed: Nov. 15, 1984. Patented: Feb. 17, 1987.
- [1405] T. Fujishiro et al. (Nissan, JP). Automotive suspension system with variable damping characteristics. US RE 34,628. Priority (JP): Jan. 14, 1985. Patented: June 7, 1994. Reissue of US 4,696,489.

- [1406] R. Kurosawa (Toyota, JP). [Vehicle active suspension]. JP 61181712 A2. Filed: Feb. 6, 1985. Published: Aug. 14, 1986. *Related*: DE, EP, US.
- [1407] D. W. Schubert (Barry Wright Corp., US). Active vibration isolation system employing an electro-rheological fluid. US 4,742,998. First filed: March 26, 1985. Patented: May 10, 1988. *Related*: CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [1408] D. W. Schubert (Barry Wright Corp., US). Active vibration isolation system. US 4,796,873. First filed: March 26, 1985. Patented: Jan. 10, 1989.
- [1409] D. W. Schubert (Barry Wright Corp., US). Parametrically controlled active vibration isolation system. US 4,757,980. First filed: March 26, 1985. Patented: July 19, 1988. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [1410] D. W. Schubert (Barry Wright Corp., US). Active vibration isolation system. US RE 33,937. First filed: March 26, 1985. Patented: May 26, 1992.
- [1411] V. Härtel (Metzeler, DE). [Active two-chamber engine mount]. DE 3535906 C2. Filed: Oct. 8, 1985. Patented: Nov. 26, 1987. Related: EP, ES, JP, US.
- [1412] S. Doi et al. (Toyota, JP). [Vibration control device]. JP 62 108 319 A2. Filed: Nov. 7, 1985. Patent JP 2681 772 B2. Issued: Nov. 26, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1413] T.G. Duclos et al. (Lord Corp., US). Tunable electrorheological fluid mount. US 4,733,758. Filed: Aug. 18, 1986. Patented: March 29, 1988. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [1414] E. Yasuda et al. (Toyota, JP). [Oscillation controller]. JP 63 115 209 A2. Filed: Oct. 31, 1986. Patent JP 7 099 488 B4, issued: Oct. 25, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1415] T. Noguchi et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Fluid-contained suspension and its operation control device]. JP 63 265 715 A2. Priority (JP): Dec. 29, 1986. Patent JP 2 693 434 B2, issued: Dec. 24, 1997. Related: DE, US.
- [1416] C. F. Ross et al. (Topexpress, UK). Active control of vibration. WO 88/05506 A1. Priority (GB): Jan. 16, 1987. Published: July 28, 1988. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP.
- [1417] K. Takano and T. Noguchi (Bridgestone, JP). [Damping factor control device for vibration isolator]. JP 63 266 237 A2. Filed: April 17, 1987. Patent JP 2 617 715 B2, issued: June 4, 1997. Related: US.
- [1418] M. Doi et al. (Nissan, JP). [Control type antivibration device]. JP 1026043 A2. Filed: July 20, 1987. Patent JP 7018 470 B4. Issued: March 6, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1419] A. Best et al. (BTR, UK). Vehicle engine suspension systems. EP 0 290 181 B1. Priority (GB): May 8, 1987. Patented: Nov. 3, 1993. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1420] K. Mizuno et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Vibration controller]. JP 1 083 742 A2. Filed: Sept. 25, 1987. Patent JP 2 814 241 B2, issued: Oct. 22, 1998. *Related*: EP, HK, US.
- [1421] K. Mizuno et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Vibration-reducing apparatus]. JP 1119377 A2. Filed: Nov. 2, 1987. Patent JP 2599 602 B2, issued: April 9, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1422] H. Yourokoya et al. (Tokkyo Kiki, JP). [Displacement quantity detecting circuit and fluctuation quantity control circuit using said circuit]. JP 1 210 808 A2. Filed: Feb. 18, 1988. Patent JP 8 023 488 B4, issued: March 6, 1996. Related: US.
- [1423] H. Yourokoya et al. (Tokkyo Kiki, JP). [Fluctuation quantity control circuit with displacement quantity detection function]. JP 1 210 809 A2. Filed: Feb. 18, 1988. Patent JP 7 104 139 B4, issued: Nov. 13, 1995. *Related:* US.
- [1424] H. Yourokoya et al. (Tokkyo Kiki, JP). [Active control precision vibration controlling bed]. JP 1 210 634 A2. Filed: Feb. 18, 1988. Patent JP 2 857 154 B2, issued: Feb. 10, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [1425] H. Chojitani et al. (Tokkyo Kiki, JP). Active control precision damping table. US 5,060,519. Priority (JP): Feb. 18, 1988. Patented: Oct. 29, 1991.
- [1426] H. Chojitani et al. (Tokkyo Kiki, JP). Variation control circuit having a displacement detecting function. US 5,179,516. Priority (JP): Feb. 18, 1988. Patented: Jan. 12, 1993.
- [1427] H. Choshitani et al. (Tokkyo Kiki, JP). Active control precision damping table. US 5,180,958. Priority (JP): Feb. 18, 1988. Patented: Jan. 19, 1993.
- [1428] C. F. Ross et al. (Cambridge, UK). Active vibration control through sensing and controlling forces on an intermediate body. US 5,433,422. First filed: Sept. 2, 1988. Patented: July 18, 1995.
- [1429] S.G.C. Sutcliffe et al. (Topexpress, UK). Active control of vibration. GB 2 222 657 B. Priority (GB): Sept. 9, 1988. Patented: Oct. 7, 1989. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1430] K. D. Garnjost (Moog, US). Vibration-isolating machine mount. WO 89/05930 A1. Filed: Dec. 22, 1988. Published: June 29, 1989. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1431] D. E. Ivers et al. (Lord Corp., US). Vibration attenuating method utilizing continuously variable semiactive damper. US 4,887,699. Filed: Feb. 10, 1989. Patented: Dec. 19, 1989. *Related:* DE, EP, ES, JP.

- [1432] D. E. Ivers and C. M Nobles Jr. (Lord Corp., US). Semi-active damper valve means and method. US 5,004,079. Filed: Feb. 10, 1989. Patented: April 2, 1991.
- [1433] D. E. Ivers (Lord Corp., US). Semi-active damper valve means with electromagnetically movable discs in the piston. US 4,921,272. Filed: Feb. 10, 1989. Patented: May 1, 1990.
- [1434] I. Retat (ERNO Raumfahrttechnik, DE). [Micro-g neutral platform for space travel missions]. DE 39 08 376 C2. Filed: March 15, 1989. Patented: Feb. 7, 1991. *Related:* FR, JP, US.
- [1435] N. Kanamori (Toyota, JP). [Vehicle vibration controller]. JP 2 296 520 A2. Filed: May 12, 1989. Patent JP 2 748 546 B2, issued: May 6, 1998. Related: DE, US.
- [1436] K. Murakami et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Vibration-isolating support device]. JP 3 004 048 A2. Filed: June 1, 1989. Patent JP 2 893 659 B2, issued: May 24, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [1437] U. Freudenberg and T. Freudenberg (Fa. Carl Freudenberg, DE). [Hydraulically damped bearing for a piston engine having a relief valve for insulating engine idling vibrations]. DE 39 25 712 C1. Filed: Aug. 3, 1989. Patented: Dec. 20, 1990. *Related:* AT, BR, CA, DK, EP, ES, JP, MX, US.
- [1438] Hsiang-Ming Chen et al. (Mechanical Techology, US). Active mounts. US 5,011,108. Filed: Aug. 10, 1989. Patented: April 30, 1991.
- [1439] Hsiang-Ming Chen et al. (Mechanical Techology, US). Active mounts. US 5,133,527. Filed: April 29, 1991. Patented: July 28, 1992.
- [1440] J.R. Sandercock (Newport Corp., US). Active vibration isolation systems. US 5,000,415. Filed: Nov. 8, 1989. Patented: March 19, 1991.
- [1441] P. T. Wolfe and M. R. Jolly (Lord Corp., US). Velocity transducer for vehicle suspension system. US 4,949,573.
   Filed: Nov. 13, 1989. Patented: Aug. 21, 1990. *Related:* DE, EP, JP.
- [1442] W. E. Gossman (NCT, US). Hybrid type vibration isolation apparatus. US 5,052,510. Filed: Feb. 16, 1990. Patented: Oct. 1, 1991.
- [1443] M. Yokote et al. (Nissan, JP). [Damping force control for vehicle]. JP 4 087 815 A2. Filed: July 31, 1990. Patent JP 3 038 832 B2, issued: May 8, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1444] S. Osaki (Honda, JP). Active suspension system. US 5,489,115. Priority (JP): Aug. 6, 1990. Patented: Feb. 6, 1996.
- [1445] I. Yamazaki (Nissan, JP). [Vibration controlling device for vehicle]. JP 4113927 A2. Filed: Sept. 4, 1990. Patent JP 2 536 958 B2, issued: Sept. 25, 1996. *Related:* US.
- [1446] S. Kawamata et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Vibration damping device]. JP 4 219 536 A2. First filed: Sept. 25, 1990. Published: Aug. 10, 1992. Related: DE, EP, US.
- [1447] M. Gajarský and J. Stein (CS). [Pneumatic active vibration control system with leverage for the driver's seat of mobile machinery] (in Slovak). CS 276 564 B6. Filed: Oct. 2, 1990. Patented: June 17, 1992.
- [1448] M. Gajarský and J. Stein (CS). [Pneumatic active vibration control system for the driver's seat of mobile machinery] (in Slovak). CS 276 565 B6. Filed: Oct. 2, 1990. Patented: June 17, 1992.
- [1449] J. Stein and M. Gajarský (CS). [Electronic controller for a pneumatic active vibration control system] (in Slovak). CS 276 215 B6. Filed: Dec. 27, 1990. Patented: April 15, 1992.
- [1450] N. Kuriki et al. (Honda, JP). [Control method for active type suspension]. JP 4151316 A2. Filed: Oct. 15, 1990. Patent JP 2963183 B2, issued: Oct. 12, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [1451] F. Yamaoka and M. Sasaki (Atsugi Unisia, JP). [Electromagnetic suspension system]. JP 5 044 754 A2. Filed: Feb. 14, 1991. Patent JP 3 016 260 B2, issued: March 6, 2000. *Related:* DE, GB, US.
- [1452] A. E. Staple and B. A. MacDonald (Westland Helicopters, US). Active vibration control systems. EP 0 501 659 B1. Priority (GB): Feb. 28, 1991. Patented: May 10, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, JP, US.
- [1453] L. Girard et al. (Aérospatiale, FR). [Method and device for filtering the vibration excitations transmitted between two parts especially between the rotor and the fuselage of a helicopter]. FR 2680848 B1. Filed: Aug. 29, 1991. Patented: March 17, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, US.
- [1454] D. A. Hodgson et al. (Lord Corp., US). Fluid mount with active vibration control. US 5,174,552. Filed: Oct. 15, 1991. Patented: Dec. 29, 1992. *Related*: DE, EP, JP.
- [1455] T. Kobayashi and H. Ozawa (Honda, JP). [Vibration control device]. JP 5149378 A2. Filed: Nov. 26, 1991. Published: June 15, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1456] M. Gugsch et al. (Metzeler, DE). [Active elastic mount]. DE 41 41 637 A1. Filed: Dec. 17, 1991. Published: June 24, 1993. *Related:* EP, ES, US.
- [1457] M. Oishi et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Method and apparatus for damping control of vibration damping equipment]. JP 5 178 047 A2. Filed: Dec. 27, 1991. Patent JP 3 071 920 B2, issued: July 31, 2000. Related: DE, EP, US.

- [1458] S. Kawamata et al. (Bridgestone, JP). A process and an apparatus for controlling vibration damping force in a vibration damping device. EP 0 552 568 B1. Priority (JP): Dec. 27, 1991. Patented: July 16, 1997. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1459] S. E. Smith et al. (General Motors, US). Component mount assembly providing active control of vehicle vibration. US 5,219,037. Filed: Jan. 21, 1992. Patented: June 15, 1993.
- [1460] T. Kobayashi et al. (Honda, JP). [Vibration/noise control device for vehicle]. JP 6 074 293 A2. Filed: March 12, 1992. Published: March 15, 1994. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1461] W. E. Gossman and S. Hildebrand (NCT, US). Active force cancellation system. US 5,251,863. Filed: Aug. 12, 1992. Patented: Oct. 12, 1993. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [1462] T. Miyazaki et al. (Bridgestone, JP). [Vibration control method and device thereof]. JP 6 235 439 A2. Priority (JP): Dec. 16, 1992. Published: Aug. 23, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [1463] H. Schilling et al. (Fa. Carl Freudenberg, DE). [Active vibration damper]. EP 0 608 480 B1. Priority (DE): Jan. 23, 1993. Patented: Feb. 21, 1996. *Related*: DE, JP, US.
- [1464] T. Kobayashi and H. Ozawa (Honda, JP). [Vibration noise control device]. JP 6 282 273 A2. Filed: Feb. 2, 1993. Patent JP 3 418 216 B2, issued: June 16, 2003. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1465] D. A. Swanson and L. R. Miller (Lord Corp., US). Apparatus for controlling active mounts. US 5,427,347. Filed: April 8, 1993. Patented: June 27, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP.
- [1466] Y. Kurita and K. Murakishi (Shinko Electric, JP). [Automatic transfer vehicle]. JP 6 344 906 A2. Filed: June 4, 1993. Patent JP 3 036 297 B2, issued: April 24, 2000. *Related*: DE, EP, US.
- [1467] D. E. Ivers et al. (Lord Corp., US). Decouplers for active devices. US 5,730,429. Filed: Oct. 29, 1993. Patented: March 24, 1998.
- [1468] T. Kobayashi and H. Ozawa (Honda, JP). [Vibration noise controller]. JP 7 271 451 A2. Filed: March 25, 1994. Published: Oct. 20, 1995. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1469] G. Nagel and M. Winkler (Mercedes-Benz, DE). [Active suspension system]. DE 44 14 022 C2. Filed: April 22, 1994. Patented: Feb. 29, 1996. *Related:* FR, GB, IT, JP, US.
- [1470] D. J. Phillips et al. (Harris Corp., US). Vibration isolation system using plural signals for control. US 5,626,332. Filed: July 29, 1994. Patented: May 6, 1997.
- [1471] H. Inoue et al. (Toyota, JP). [Damping force control device for vehicle]. JP 8 104 120 A2. Priority (JP): Aug. 8, 1994. Patent JP 3 146 927 B2, issued: March 19, 2001. *Related:* US.
- [1472] D.A. Shal et al. (General Motors, US). Suspension system control responsive to ambient temperature. US 5,606,503. Filed: March 27, 1995. Patented: Feb. 25, 1997.
- [1473] P.K. Arnold et al. (General Motors, US). Suspension system control apparatus and method. EP 0734 890 B1. Priority (US): March 27, 1995. Patented: May 17, 2000.
- [1474] S. Sato (Nissan, JP). [Control type vibration control supporter]. JP 8270723 A2. Filed: March 31, 1995. Patent JP 3430699 B2, issued: July 28, 2003. *Related:* DE, US.
- [1475] E. Breitbach (DLR, DE). [Interface for vibration reduction in structural-dynamic systems]. DE 195 27 514 C2. Filed: July 27, 1995. Patented: April 22, 1999. *Related:* CA, EP, US.
- [1476] Y. Fujita et al. (Delta Tooling Co., JP). [Magnetic spring having damping characteristics and vibration mechanism having the magnetic spring]. JP 9 329 184 A. Priority: April 8, 1996. Published: Dec. 22, 1997. Related: AU, EP, US, WO.
- [1477] S.J. Elliott (University of Southampton, UK). Active vibration control system. WO 97/46813 A3. Priority (GB): June 6, 1996. Published: Feb. 12, 1998. *Related:* AU.
- [1478] J.-H. H. Su (US Navy). Passive-active mount. US 5,887,858. Filed: Oct. 22, 1996. Patented: March 30, 1999.
- [1479] J.-H. H. Su (US Navy). Passive-active vibration isolation. US 5,899,443. Filed: Oct. 22, 1996. Patented: May 4, 1999.
- [1480] J. D. Alexander and M. D. Kirk (Park Scientific Instruments, US). Single axis vibration reducing system. US 5,811,821. Filed: Aug. 9, 1996. Patented: Sept. 22, 1998. *Related*: WO.
- [1481] D. E. Ivers et al. (Lord Corp., US). Two-way magnetorheological fluid valve assembly and devices utilizing same. US 6,095,486. Filed: March 5, 1997. Patented: Aug. 1, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP, WO.
- [1482] E. Breitbach and T. Grützmacher (DLR and ERAS, DE). [Engine mount, particularly for a propeller-driven aircraft, comprising a tubular frame structure]. DE 197 13 365 C1. Filed: April 1, 1997. Patented: Oct. 22, 1998. Related: EP, US.
- [1483] P. J. Jones et al. (Lord Corp., US). Active fluid mounting. US 5,957,440. Filed: April 8, 1997. Patented: Sept. 28, 1999. *Related*: EP, WO.
- [1484] W. B. Houghton Jr. et al. (Newport Corp., US). Active isolation module. US 6,209,841 B1. Filed: July 14, 1998. Patented: April 3, 2001. *Related*: EP, JP.
- [1485] W. B. Houghton Jr. et al. (Newport Corp., US). Active isolation module. US 6,626,411 B2. First filed: July 14, 1998. Patented: Sept. 30, 2003.
- [1486] W. B. Houghton Jr. et al. (Newport Corp., US). Active isolation module. US 2004/0026596 A1. First filed: July 14, 1998. Published: Feb. 12, 2004.
- [1487] V. M. Ryaboy (Newport Corp., US). Passive vibration isolator with profiled supports. US 6,394,407 B1. First filed: July 14, 1998. Patented: May 28, 2002. *Related:* WO.
- [1488] J.-L. Gastineau et al. (Hutchinson, FR). Method of damping vibration, active hydraulic anti-vibration mount and vehicle including such a mount. US 6,523,816 B1. Filed: Nov. 7, 2000. Patented: Feb. 25, 2003.
- [1489] D. Delorenzis et al. (Liquidspring Technologies, , US). Single valve control of damping and stiffness in a liquid spring system. US 6,598,885 B2. Filed: Oct. 23, 2001. Patented: July 29, 2003. *Related:* WO.
- [1490] J. A. LaPlante and W. T. Larkins (Active Shock, Inc., US). Semi-active shock absorber control system. US 6,732,033
  B2. First filed: Jan. 11, 2002. Patented: May 4, 2004. *Related:* CA, WO.
- [1491] U. Borschert and T. Kutsche (Fichtel & Sachs, DE). [System to control a chassis vibration damping device]. DE 195 39 566 C1. Filed: Oct. 25, 1995. Patented: Feb. 13, 1997. Related: ES, FR, JP, US.
- [1492] A. H. von Flotow et al. (Applied Power, US). Adaptively tuned vibration absorber for reduction of aircraft cabin noise. US 5,873,559. Filed: April 17, 1997. Patented: Feb. 23, 1999. *Related:* CA, EP, JP.
- [1493] L. F. Campanile et al. (DLR and ERAS, DE). Active bearing for vibration isolating machine tool spindle mounts. DE 198 12 748 C1. Filed: March 24, 1998. Patented: Aug. 8, 1999. *Related:* EP.
- [1494] P. A. Studer (Silver Spring, MD, US). Spring neutralized magnetic vibration isolator. US 4,710,656. Filed: Dec. 3, 1986. Patented: Dec. 1, 1987.
- [1495] J. Tobias (US). Hydrostatic power transfer system with isolating accumulator. US 5,062,498. Filed: July 18, 1989. Patented: Nov. 5, 1991. *Related:* AU, EP, HU, JP, WO.
- [1496] J. Tobias (US). Active accumulator vibration absorbing support system. US 5,050,835. Filed: Sept. 29, 1989. Patented: Sept. 24, 1991. Related: AU, CA, EP, HU, JP, WO.
- [1497] J. Tobias (US). Continuously active pressure accumulator power transfer system. US 5,168,703. First filed: July 18, 1989. Patented: Dec. 8, 1992. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, HU, JP, WO.
- [1498] J. Tobias (US). Vibration isolation support mounting system. US 5,101,929. First filed: July 18, 1989. Patented: April 7, 1992. *Related*: AU, CA, EP, HU, JP, WO.
- [1499] J. Tobias (US). Motor vehicle vibration isolation support mounting system. US 5,018,698. First filed: July 18, 1989. Patented: May 28, 1991. *Related:* AU, WO.
- [1500] J. Tobias (US). Active accumulator vibration absorbing support system. US 5,141,202. First filed: Sept. 29, 1989. Patented: Aug. 25, 1992.
- [1501] J. Tobias (US). Vibration isolation support mounting system. US 5,310,017. First filed: July 18, 1989. Patented: May 10, 1994.
- [1502] S. C. Southward and D. E. Ivers (Lord Corp., US). Active mounts for aircraft engines. US 5,551,650. Filed: June 16, 1994. Patented: Sept. 3, 1996. *Related:* AU, CA, DE, EP, WO.
- [1503] A. M. Beard and A. H. von Flotow (Applied Power, US). Active vehicle suspension system. US 5,603,387. Filed: Sept. 6, 1995. Patented: Feb. 18, 1997. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, WO.
- [1504] A. M. Beard and A. H. von Flotow (Applied Power, US). Active tractor suspension system. US 5,725,066. Filed: Jan. 10, 1997. Patented: March 10, 1998.
- [1505] A. M. Beard (Applied Power, US). Active vehicle seat suspension system. US 5,975,508. First filed: Sept. 6, 1995. Patented: Nov. 2, 1999.
- [1506] B.S. Murray (BBN, US). Active pneumatic mount. US 5,615,868. Filed: Oct. 4, 1995. Patented: April 1, 1997. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, WO.
- [1507] T. Kobayashi (Honda, JP). [Vibration-noise control device]. JP 9258746 A2. Filed: March 21, 1996. Published: Oct. 3, 1997. Related: EP, US.
- [1508] K. Aoki et al. (Nissan, JP). [Positive type noise/vibration control device]. JP 9 303 477 A2. Filed: May 16, 1996. Published: Nov. 25, 1997. Related: DE, US.
- [1509] D. L. Margolis et al. (Lord Corp., US). Regenerative system including an energy transformer which requires no external power source to drive same. US 5,570,286. Filed: Dec. 23, 1993. Patented: Oct. 29, 1996. *Related:* CA, EP, WO.

- [1510] R. Gran and M. Proise (Northrop Grumman, US). Active vibration damping arrangement for transportation vehicles. US 5,560,589. Filed: July 12, 1995. Patented: Oct. 1, 1996.
- [1511] K. Iwasaki (Unisia Jecs, JP). [Cab suspension controller]. JP 9 202 271 A2. Filed: Jan. 29, 1996. Published: Aug. 5, 1997. Related: DE, US.
- [1512] K. Aoki et al. (Nissan, JP). [Active type vibration control device for vehicle]. JP 9 280 307 A2. Filed: April 10, 1996. Published: Oct. 28, 1997. Related: DE, US.
- [1513] E. H. Phillips and L. A. Wise (Optimetrix, US). Shock and vibration isolation system. US 4,328,941. Filed: Oct. 11, 1979. Patented: May 11, 1982. *Related:* CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [1514] E. H. Phillips and L. A. Wise (Optimetrix, US). Shock and vibration isolation system. US 4,336,917. Filed: Oct. 11, 1979. Patented: June 29, 1982. *Related:* CA.
- [1515] N. Nakajima and Y. Ishiguro (Nippondenso, JP). [Method of controlling shock absorbers]. JP 58 112 819 A2. Filed: Dec. 28, 1981. Patent JP 1 047 324 B4, issued: Oct. 13, 1989. *Related:* US.
- [1516] G. T. Pinson (Boeing, US). Active vibration isolator. US 4,531,699. Filed: July 15, 1982. Patented: July 30, 1985.
- [1517] G. T. Pinson (Boeing, US). Active vibration stabilizer and isolator. US 4,735,296. First filed: Sept. 30, 1982. Patented: April 5, 1988.
- [1518] H. J. Venema (Borg-Warner, US). Active shock absorbing system for a vehicle. US 4,637,621. Filed: July 1, 1985. Patented: Jan. 20, 1987.
- [1519] G. Herberg et al. (DE). [Vibration-absorber sensor for a semi-actively controlled chasis with a relative-velocity sensor and with electronics for processing their outputs]. DE 39 09 190 C1. Filed: March 21, 1989. Patented: Aug. 30, 1990. *Related:* EP, JP, US.
- [1520] J. Mund and W. Krämer (BOGE, DE). [Hydraulic vibration damper or shock absorber with electrical control connections and connector therefor]. DE 39 22 043 C1. Filed: July 5, 1989. Patented: Sept. 6, 1990. *Related:* IT, US.
- [1521] E. Matsunaga et al. (Nippondenso, JP). Piezo-actuator shock absorber damping force controlling system having abnormality detection function. US 5,097,171. Filed: Oct. 24, 1989. Patented: March 17, 1992.
- [1522] P. Marshall (M/RAD, US). Active vibration isolation system. US 5,199,690. First filed: July 26, 1990. Patented: April 6, 1993.
- [1523] I. Watanabe et al. (Bridgestone, US). Vibration damping device. US 5,180,145. Filed: May 30, 1991. Patented: Jan. 19, 1993. *Related:* AU, BR, CA, DE, EP, ES, JP, MX.
- [1524] S. Wakui (Canon, JP). [Control device for vertical vibration isolating stand]. JP 7 139 582 A2. Filed: June 24, 1993. Patent JP 2 954 815 B2, issued: Sept. 27, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [1525] D. W. Schubert et al. (Applied Power, US). Stiff actuator active vibration isolation system. US 5,660,255. Filed: April 4, 1994. Patented: Aug. 26, 1997. *Related:* BR, CA, DE, EP, IL, JP, KR.
- [1526] K. Watanabe et al. (Ebara, JP). [Vibration resistant device]. JP 9 100 869 A2. Filed: Oct. 4, 1995. Patent JP 3 042 763 B2, issued: May 22, 2000. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1527] D. E. Ivers et al. (Lord Corp., US). Isolation system for isolation tables and the like. US 6,213,442 B1. Filed: Oct. 8, 1998. Patented: April 10, 2001. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [1528] N. Haga et al. (Ebara, JP). [Vibration-free device, and control method thereof]. JP 2002 122 179 A. Filed: Oct. 10, 2000, Appl. No. 2000309330. Published: April 26, 2002. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1529] G.E. Whelpley and J.F. Thurston (Allied-Signal, US). Fluidic vibration cancellation mount and method. US 5,127,622. Filed: June 27, 1991. Patented: July 7, 1992.
- [1530] G. E. Whelpley and J. F. Thurston (Allied Signal, US). Fluidic vibration cancellation actuator and method. US 5,374,025. Filed: June 28, 1993. Patented: Dec. 20, 1994.
- [1531] E. T. Falangas (The Aerospace Corp., US). Active piezo-electric vibration isolation and directional systems. US 5,734,246. Filed: May 16, 1995. Patented: March 31, 1998.
- [1532] P. Durazzani and L. Valent (Electrolux Zanussi Elettrodomestici, IT). [Method for providing active damping of the vibrations generated by the washing assembly of washing machines and washing machine implementing said method]. Italian Patent IT 1 294 130 B. Filed: May 15, 1997. Patented: March 22, 1999. *Related:* DE, EP, JP, US.
- [1533] Y. Wakasa and S. Tajiri (Ishida, JP). [Measuring instrument]. JP 9 096 557 A2. Priority (JP): July 26, 1995. Published: April 8, 1997. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1534] S. Wakiyama and N. Fujino (Seiko, JP). [Surface analyzing device]. JP 10 288 618 A2. Filed: April 16, 1997. Published: Oct. 27, 1998. Related: DE.
- [1535] R. Wimmel et al. (ERAS, DE). [Active damping system for vehicles, especially ambulances]. DE 198 20 277 C1. Filed: May 7, 1998. Patented: July 22, 1999.

- [1536] T. P. L. Manfredotti (Eurocopter, FR). [Antivibration device intended to reduce the transmission of vibration between two bodies, and applications]. FR 2787 161 B1. Filed: Dec. 11, 1998. Patented: Feb. 16, 2001. Related: US.
- [1537] D. Ferullo and C. Bietenhader (Eurocopter, FR). [Anti-vibration suspension device having a torsion spring, for a helicopter]. FR 2787762 B1. Filed: Dec. 29, 1998. Patented: March 9, 2001. *Related:* US.
- [1538] K. Watanabe et al. (Ebara Corp. and Kajima Corp., JP). [Magnetic levitation vibration resistant device]. JP 9112 628 A. Priority (JP): Aug. 11, 1995. Patent JP 3 296 973 B2, issued: July 2, 2002. Related: DE, EP, US.
- [1539] B. Yuan et al. (Nikon, JP). Active vibration isolation system having pressure control. US 6,590,639 B1. Filed: Sept. 19, 2000. Patented: July 8, 2003.
- [1540] B. V. Tryggvason (Canadian Space Agency). Vibration control apparatus. US 6,501,203 B2. Filed: June 1, 2001. Patented: Dec. 31, 2002.
- [1541] M. Boella (IT). Improvements in or relating to a system and device for stabilizing ships. GB 447 259. Priority (IT): Aug. 12, 1933. Patented: May 13, 1936.
- [1542] Y. A. Rocard (Pollopas Patents, UK). Stabilizing equipment for vehicles, particularly ships. US 2,130,929. Priority (FR): April 18, 1934. Patented: Sept. 20, 1938.
- [1543] F.W. Thornhill (AU). Anti roll suspension. AU 501791 B2. Filed: Sept. 25, 1975. Patented: June 28, 1979. Related: GB, JP, US.
- [1544] F. W. Hopwood et al. (Westinghouse, US). Electromechanical vibration filter for radar master oscillators. US 4,096,445. Filed: April 21, 1977. Patented: June 20, 1978.
- [1545] M. G. Pollard and R. M. Goodall (British Railways Board). Active suspensions for vehicles. GB 1 600 390 A. Filed: Oct. 25, 1977. Published: Oct. 14, 1981. *Related:* CA, DE, ES, FR, IT, JP, US.
- [1546] K. McCormick and T.J. Tvedt (Shell Oil, US). Signal cancellation. US 3,757,235. Filed: June 7, 1972. Patented: Sept. 4, 1973. Related: CA.
- [1547] B. Widrow (US). Seismic exploration method and apparatus for cancelling interference from seismic vibration source. US 4,556,962. First filed: Aug. 20, 1974. Patented: Dec. 3, 1985.
- [1548] C. O. Berglund (Teledyne Exploration, US). Noise-cancelling streamer cable. US 4,821,241. Filed: May 23, 1988. Patented: April 11, 1989.
- [1549] F. Esfahani (Gas Research Institute, US). Apparatus and method for impulsive noise cancellation. US 5,479,440. Filed: April 15, 1994. Patented: Dec. 26, 1995. *Related*: AU, WO.
- [1550] J. Pabon (Schlumberger Technology, US). Active reduction of tool borne noise in a sonic logging tool. US 6,671,224 B1. Filed: Aug. 26, 2002. Patented: Dec. 30, 2003. *Related:* CA, GB.
- [1551] H. E. Lemont (Textron, US). Helicopter rotor thrust ring. US 4,506,849. First filed: March 28, 1980. Patented: March 26, 1985. *Related*: CA, DE, ES, FR, GB, IT, JP.
- [1552] G. W. Cornelius (US). Pitch control system for helicopter rotor blades. US 4,195,966. Filed: July 3, 1978. Patented: April 1, 1980. *Related:* BE, CA, FR, GB, NL.
- [1553] N. D. Ham (MIT, US). Helicopter individual blade control system. US 4,519,743. First filed: March 21, 1980. Patented: May 28, 1985.
- [1554] G. W. Carlock et al. (Textron, US). Individual blade control. US 4,379,678. Filed: Oct. 7, 1980. Patented: April 12, 1983.
- [1555] K. Watson (Westland Helicopters, GB). Helicopter rotors. EP 0 089 793 B1. Priority (GB): March 18, 1982. Patented: Nov. 5, 1986. Related: US.
- [1556] A. Curci (US). Bladed rotor system and pitch controls therefor. US 4,585,392. Filed: Dec. 15, 1983. Patented: April 29, 1986.
- [1557] H. A. Stearns Jr. (Phoenix, AZ, US). High speed helicopter. US 4,720,059. Filed: Dec. 31, 1986. Patented: Jan. 19, 1988.
- [1558] J. A. Aubry and M. Deguise (Aérospatiale Societé Nationale Industrielle, FR). [Hydraulic device for individual control of pitch of a rotor blade]. FR 2 624 473 B1. Filed: Dec. 15, 1987. Patented: May 18, 1990. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1559] Z. N. Khan (Kaman Aerospace Corp., US). Electro-hydraulic helicopter system having individual blade control. US 4,899,641. Filed: May 16, 1988. Patented: Feb. 13, 1990. *Related*: DE, EP.
- [1560] C. D. Griffith (Honeywell, US). Individual blade control system for helicopters. US 4,930,988. Filed: Jan. 2, 1989. Patented: June 5, 1990. *Related*: DE, EP, WO.
- [1561] A. Blaas et al. (ZF Luftfahrttechnik, DE). [Helicopter rotor blade control device]. DE 196 27 869 A1. Filed: July 11, 1996. Published: Jan. 15, 1998. *Related:* EP, US, WO.

- [1562] T. Goi et al. (Advanced Technology Institute of Commuter-Helicopter, JP). [Rotor control system]. JP 9 240 594 A. Filed: March 11, 1996. Patent JP 2 828 621 B2, issued: Nov. 25, 1998. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [1563] E. Yamakawa and S. Bando (Advanced Technology Institute of Commuter-Helicopter, JP). [Helicopter blade having flap, and rotor control device using it]. JP 9 254 894 A. Filed: March 26, 1996. Published: Sept. 30, 1997. *Related:* WO.
- [1564] N. P. G. Certain (Eurocopter, FR). [Simplified anti-vibration suspension device with top dampers for a helicopter]. FR 2777 860 B1. Filed: April 23, 1998. Patented: July 7, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1565] J.-J. Costes (ONERA, FR). [Device for the individual control of the rotor blades of the rotary wing structures of aircraft with multiple swashplates]. FR 2761 660 B1. Filed: April 8, 1997. Patented: June 11, 1999. *Related:* EP, JP, US.
- [1566] O. Kunze et al. (ZF Luftfahrttechnik, DE). [Individual blade control device for a helicopter main rotor makes use of the swash plate to transfer control movements]. DE 198 41 853 A1. Filed: Sept. 14, 1998. Published: March 23, 2000.
- [1567] O. Kunze et al. (ZF Luftfahrttechnik, DE). [Individual blade control device for a helicopter main rotor permits use of a smaller hydraulic pump]. DE 198 41 855 A1. Filed: Sept. 14, 1998. Published: March 23, 2000.
- [1568] T. Schreiber et al. (ZF Luftfahrttechnik, DE). [Helicopter]. DE 19910449 A1. Filed: March 10, 1999. Published: Sept. 14, 2000. Related: EP, US, WO.
- [1569] R. N. Jensen and J. A. Walker (US). Wind blade vibration eliminator for helicopters. US 4,518,313. Filed: Feb. 25, 1982. Patented: May 21, 1985.
- [1570] K. Ogawa and H. Machida (Sumitomo Precision Products Co., JP). [Active control mechanism of helicopter]. JP 63 192 697 A. Filed: Feb. 3, 1987. Patent JP 5 029 600 B4, issued: April 30, 1993. JP 1 821 221 C, issued: Jan. 27, 1994. *Related:* US.
- [1571] K. Ogawa and H. Machida (Sumitomo Precision Products Co., JP). [Active control mechanism of helicopter]. JP 63 192 697 A. Filed: Feb. 3, 1987. Patent JP 5 015 600 B4, issued: March 2, 1993. JP 1 810 131 C, issued: Dec. 27, 1993. *Related:* US.
- [1572] W. C. Fischer Jr. and K. C. Arifian (United Technologies Corp., US). Higher harmonic control system for X-Wing aircraft. US 4,953,098. Filed: Oct. 13, 1988. Patented: Aug. 28, 19902.
- [1573] W. C. Fischer Jr. (United Technologies Corp., US). X-wing fly-by-wire vehicle management system. US 4,965,879.
  Filed: Oct. 13, 1988. Patented: Oct. 23, 1990.
- [1574] W. H. Reed III (Dynamic Engineering, US). System for controlling higher harmonic vibrations in helicopter rotor blades. US 5,314,308. Filed: Dec. 11, 1992. Patented: May 24, 1994. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, ES, JP.
- [1575] A. E. Staple (Westland Helicopters, UK). Integrated vibration reducing and structural health and usage monitoring systems for a helicopter. EP 0 541 277 B1. Priority (GB): Nov. 2, 1991. Patented: March 12, 1997. *Related:* CA, DE, ES, JP, US.
- [1576] A. E. Staple et al. (Westland Helicopters, GB). Method and apparatus for in-flight shake testing of an aircraft fuselage. GB 2 267 470 B. Priority (GB): June 3, 1992. Patented: Dec. 13, 1995. *Related:* DE, FR, IT, JP, US.
- [1577] L. J. D Girard and T. P. L. Manfredotti (Eurocopter, FR). [Device for damping the vibrations of a structure subjected to dynamic stresses]. FR 2739670 B1. Filed: Oct. 9, 1995. Patented: May 14, 1999. *Related:* US.
- [1578] E. Yamakawa et al. (Advanced Technology Institute of Commuter-Helicopter, JP). [Higher harmonic wave control device of helicopter rotor]. JP 8 230 794 A. Filed: Feb. 28, 1995. Patent JP 2 758 374 B2, issued: May 28, 1998. Related: DE, EP, US.
- [1579] T. Krysinsky (Eurocopter France). [Method and device for reducing the vibration generated on the structure of a helicopter]. FR 2 737 181 B1. Filed: July 27, 1995. Patented: Sept. 19, 1997. *Related:* US.
- [1580] T. P. L. Manfredotti (Eurocopter, FR). [Device for reducing the vibration generated on the structure of a rotarywing aircraft]. FR 2 784 350 B1. Filed: Oct. 12, 1998. Patented: Dec. 18, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1581] L. Thevenot (Eurocopter, FR). [Device for reducing the vibration in the cabin of a rotary-wing aircraft, especially a helicopter]. FR 2770 825 B1. Filed: Nov. 13, 1997. Patented: Dec. 31, 1999. Related: US.
- [1582] T. Krysinsky and F. A. H. Beroul (Eurocopter France). [Method and device for reducing the effect of the vibration generated by the driveline of a helicopter]. FR 2747 099 B1. Filed: April 4, 1996. Patented: June 12, 1998. *Related:* US.
- [1583] T. Krysinsky and M. F. Anthoine (Eurocopter France). [Device for reducing the vibrations generated by a lift rotor of a rotary-wing aircraft]. FR 2749 901 B1. Filed: June 12, 1996. Patented: Dec. 8, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1584] A. E. Karem (Lake Forest, CA, US). Optimum speed rotor. US 6,007,298. First filed: Feb. 20, 1998. Patented: Dec. 28, 1999. *Related*: AU, EP, JP, WO.

- [1585] A. E. Karem (Lake Forest, CA, US). Optimum speed rotor. US 2001/0001033 A1. First filed: Feb. 20, 1998. Published: May 10, 2001.
- [1586] A. E. Karem (Lake Forest, CA, US). Optimum speed tilt rotor. US 2002/01549961 A1. First filed: Feb. 20, 1998. Patent US 6,641,365 B2, issued: Nov. 4, 2003. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [1587] T. Goi et al. (Advanced Technology Institute of Commuter-Helicopter, JP). [Power transmission device for helicopter]. JP 2000 272 593 A. Filed: March 24, 1999. Patent JP 3 280 633 B2, issued: May 13, 2002. Related: EP, US.
- [1588] T. Krysinsky et al. (Eurocopter France). [Convertible aircraft with tilting rotors]. FR 2798 359 B1. Filed: Sept. 14, 1999. Patented: Nov. 9, 2001. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1589] A. H. Vincent (GKN Westland Helicopters, GB). Helicopter and method for reducing vibration of a helicopter fuselage. EP 0774 411 B1. Priority (GB): Nov. 18, 1995. Patented: June 27, 2001. *Related:* DE, JP, US.
- [1590] C. N. West et al. (Westland Helicopters, GB). Strut assemblies. EP 0 501 658 B1. Priority (GB): Feb. 28, 1991. Patented: Jan. 11, 1995. *Related:* CA, DE, JP, US.
- [1591] W. A. Welsh and C. A. Yoerkie Jr. (Sikorsky Aircraft, US). Active noise control system for a defined volume. US 6,138,947. First filed: Aug. 22, 1997. Patented: Oct. 31, 2000. *Related:* EP, JP, WO.
- [1592] W. A. Welsh (Sikorsky Aircraft, US). Actuator for an active transmission mount isolation system. US 6,416,016 B1. First filed: Sept. 15, 2000. Patented: July 9, 2002.
- [1593] R. L. Forward (Hughes Aircraft, US). Apparatus and method for electronic damping of resonances. US 4,352,481. Filed: March 13, 1980. Patented: Oct. 5, 1982.
- [1594] I. Okamoto et al. (Japanese National Railways and Hitachi, JP). [Vibration control for car]. JP 61 275 053 A2. Filed: May 31, 1985. Patent JP 4 043 027 B4, issued: July 15, 1992. *Related:* GB, KR, US, ZA.
- [1595] I. Okamoto et al. (Japanese National Railways and Hitachi, JP). [Vibration controller for car]. JP 62 175 255 A2. Filed: Jan. 29, 1986. Patent JP 6 104 450 B4, issued: Dec. 21, 1994. *Related:* AU, FR, GB, KR, US, ZA.
- [1596] C. A. Skalski et al. (Otis Elevator, US). Active vibration control system for an elevator, which reduces horizontal and rotational forces acting on the car. US 5,294,757. First filed: July 18, 1990. Patented: March 15, 1994. *Related:* DE, HK.
- [1597] D. C. Nemir and R. A. Osegueda (US). Method and apparatus for damping vibrations. US 5,168,673. Filed: Jan. 17, 1991. Patented: Dec. 8, 1992.
- [1598] F. Orbeck (Orian Technology, UK). Ship's Hull Vibration Damper. GB 2 261 490 B. Filed: Nov. 7, 1991. Patented: Aug. 2, 1995. *Related:* CA, DK, DE, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1599] K. D. Garnjost and G. J. Rey (US). Method of controlling the application of counter-vibration to a structure. US 5,473,698. Filed: Nov. 23, 1993. Patented: Dec. 5, 1995. *Related:* DE, GB, JP.
- [1600] R. Schneckenburger (Mercedes-Benz, DE). [A method of reducing resonance noise in a device or a system]. DE 44 41 364 C1. Filed: Nov. 21, 1994. Patented: Dec. 7, 1995. *Related:* FR, GB, US.
- [1601] J. A. Vandergrift (K-2 Corp., US). Piezoelectric damper for a board such as a snow ski or snowboard. US 5,775,715. Filed: Aug. 1, 1995. Patented: July 7, 1998. *Related:* AT, AU, DE, EP, WO.
- [1602] Y. Nishizawa and H. Saka (Sumitomo Electric Industries, JP). [Vibration damping device for disc brake]. JP 8 320 038 A2. Filed: May 26, 1995. Published: Dec. 3, 1996. *Related*: DE, EP, US.
- [1603] H. Teitge et al. (ITT Automotive Europe, DE). [Partial lining brake disc for motor vehicles]. DE 196 01 111 A1. Filed: Jan. 13, 1996. Published: July 17, 1997.
- [1604] Y. Nishizawa (Sumitomo Electric Industries, JP). [Damping device for vehicle brake]. JP 10184747 A. Filed: Dec. 19, 1996. Published: July 14, 1998. *Related*: DE, EP, US.
- [1605] Y. Nishizawa and H. Saka (Sumitomo Electric Industries, JP). [Damping device for drum brake]. JP 9329168 A. Filed: June 7, 1996. Published: Dec. 22, 1997. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1606] P. Schrader et al. (ITT Mfg. Enterprises, US and ERAS, DE and DLR, DE). [Device for vibration reduction of a brake]. DE 197 36 275 C2. Filed: Aug. 21, 1997. Patented: July 1, 1999.
- [1607] N. Otsu (Unisia Jecs Corporation, JP). [Antiskid control device]. JP 2002 012 139 A. Filed: June 29, 2000. Published: Jan. 15, 2002. *Related:* US.
- [1608] J. L. Fincher (Ampex Systems, US). Motor driven damping arrangement and method. US 5,296,790. Filed: May 8, 1992. Patented: March 22, 1994. *Related:* EP, JP.
- [1609] W. S. Newman (North American Philips, US). Vibration control system. US 4,483,425. Filed: Sept. 9, 1982. Patented: Nov. 20, 1984. *Related:* DE, FR, GB, JP.
- [1610] Y. Furuishi et al. (Mitsubishi, JP). [Vibration reducing device for Stirling engine]. JP 63 263 250 A2. Filed: April 20, 1987. Published: Oct. 31, 1988. *Related:* US.

- B. F. Miller (US). Gas compressor with labyrinth sealing and active magnetic bearings. US 4,889,039. Filed: Oct. 17, 1988. Patented: Dec. 26, 1989.
- [1612] G. Chen and W. T. Beale (Sunpower, US). Variable gas spring for matching power output from FPSE to load of refrigerant compressor. [FPSE: Free Piston Stirling Engine]. US 4,912,929. Filed: Aug. 3, 1989. Patented: April 3, 1990. *Related:* WO.
- [1613] N. Fuji et al. (Mitsubishi, JP). Cryogenic refrigerator. US 5,113,662. Filed: March 5, 1991. Patented: May 19, 1992. *Related*: DE, EP.
- [1614] H. Kiyota (Mitsubishi Electric Corp., JP). [Refrigerator]. JP 5010617 A. Filed: July 1, 1991. Published: Jan. 19, 1993. *Related:* GB, US.
- [1615] Y.-W. A. Wu (Hughes Aircraft, US). Stirling-cycle cyrogenic cooler using adaptive feedforward vibration control. US 5,392,607. Filed: July 8, 1993. Patented: Feb. 28, 1995. *Related:* FR, GB.
- [1616] S. Kawahara et al. (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Linear compressor with vibration canceling spring arrangement]. JP 2003 035258 A. Filed: July 19, 2001. Published: Feb. 7, 2003. Related: US.
- [1617] C. M. Adkins et al. (Sperry Marine, US). Method and apparatus for countering vibrations of a platform. US 5,012,174. Filed: June 20, 1988. Patented: April 30, 1991. *Related:* EP, FI, JP, NO.
- [1618] J. V. Fedor (US Government). Active damping of spacecraft structural appendage vibrations. US 4,892,273. Filed: Dec. 30, 1988. Patented: Jan. 9, 1990.
- [1619] H. B. Hablani (US Air Force). Zero-residual-energy minimum-time slew of a flexible space structure with damping. US 5,257,802. Filed: June 25, 1992. Patented: Nov. 2, 1993.
- [1620] T. W. Nye et al. (TRW, US). Smart structures for vibration suppression. US 5,525,853. First filed: Jan. 21, 1993. Patented: June 11, 1996.
- [1621] E. M. Shtarkman et al. (TRW, US). Spacecraft antenna vibration control damper. US 6,082,719. Filed: May 12, 1998. Patented: July 4, 200. *Related*: EP.
- [1622] E. M. Shtarkman et al. (TRW, US). Spacecraft antenna vibration control damper. US 6,196,528 B1. First filed: May 12, 1998. Patented: March 6, 2001.
- [1623] E. M. Shtarkman et al. (TRW, US). Spacecraft antenna vibration control damper. US 6,196,529 B1. First filed: May 12, 1998. Patented: March 6, 2001.
- [1624] U. Weltin and H. Schilling (Fa. Carl Freudenberg, DE). [Vibration damper]. DE 41 38 405 C1. Filed: Nov. 22, 1991. Patented: Feb. 25, 1993. *Related*: BR, EP, ES, JP, US.
- [1625] M. Leibach and G. Feurer (Fa. Carl Freudenberg, DE). Vibration-compensating mount assembly. US 5,529,295. Priority (DE): Nov. 24, 1993. Patented: June 25, 1996. *Related:* BR, JP.
- [1626] R. A. Shoureshi (Cooper Tire & Rubber, US). Adaptive-passive vibration control system. US 5,564,537. Filed: April 4, 1994. Patented: Oct. 15, 1996. *Related:* AT, CA, DE, EP, JP.
- [1627] A. H. von Flotow and T. S. Mixon (Applied Power, US). Adaptively tuned vibration absorber. US 5,695,027. Filed: Nov. 15, 1995. Patented: Dec. 9, 1997.
- [1628] M. Mercadal et al. (Applied Power, US). Electronic controller for an adaptively tuned vibration absorber. US 5,710,714. Filed: Nov. 15, 1995. Patented: Jan. 20, 1998.
- [1629] D. J. Rossetti et al. (Lord Corp., US). Method and apparatus for non-model based decentralized adaptive feedforward active vibration control. US 5,713,438. Filed: March 25, 1996. Patented: Feb. 3, 1998. *Related:* CA, EP, WO.
- [1630] V. J. Rosati (US Government). Suppression of vibration effects on piezoelectric crystal resonators. US 4,453,141. Filed: Jan. 28, 1982. Patented: June 5, 1984.
- [1631] A.A. Hudimac (US). Vibration suppressing by electrical termination impedance. US 4,908,536. First filed: April 12, 1982. Patented: March 13, 1990.
- [1632] H. Choffat (Suisse Electronique Microtech, CH). [Apparatus for fine adjustment of unit for reference system and double lens microscope]. FR 2 619 932 B1. Filed: Aug. 25, 1987. Patented: Aug. 30, 1991. Related: AT, EP, JP.
- [1633] W. V. Vogen and M. D. Mannion (Amray, US). Vibration cancellation system for scanning electron microscopes. US 4,948,971. Filed: Nov. 14, 1988. Patented: Aug. 14, 1990. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, WO.
- [1634] W. V. Vogen and M. D. Mannion (Amray, US). Phase-compensating vibration cancellation system for scanning electron microscopes. US 5,049,745. Filed: Aug. 13, 1990. Patented: Sept. 17, 1991. *Related:* WO.
- [1635] E. Ito (Asahi Optical Co., JP). [Vibration-proof microscope]. JP 2002 090 650 A. Priority (JP): July 11, 2000. Published: March 27, 2002. *Related*: DE, US.
- [1636] A. Shibayama (Nikon, JP). [Vibration proof optical device]. JP 6281988 A2. Filed: March 30, 1993. Published: Oct. 7, 1994. Related: EP, US.

- [1637] S. Imada (Canon, JP). [Image blur correcting device]. JP 2002 214 657 A. Filed: Jan. 23, 2001,. Published: July 31, 2002. *Related*: EP, US.
- [1638] K. Scribner and D. Hodgson (NCT, US). Noise attenuation system for vibratory feeder bowl. US 5,414,775. Filed: May 26, 1993. Patented: May 9, 1995. *Related:* WO.
- [1639] K. Kato and H. Kondo (Shinko Electric Co., JP). [Vibrating part feeder device]. JP 7 206 126 A. Filed: April 21, 1994. Patent JP 3 496 271 B2, issued: Feb. 9, 2004.
- [1640] H. Kondo and K. Kato (Shinko Electric Co., JP). Vibratory parts-feeder apparatus. EP 0642115 B1. Priority (JP): Aug. 6, 1993. Patented: May 31, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1641] H. Kondo (Shinko Electric Co., JP). [Vibration parts feeder device]. JP 8108916 A. Priority (JP): Dec. 2, 1993. Published: April 30, 1996.
- [1642] W.C. Maciejewski (US Government). Vibration dampener. US 5,449,053. Filed: Nov. 22, 1993. Patented: Sept. 12, 1995.
- [1643] V.R. Iyengar (Delphi Technologies, US). Durable magnetorheological fluid compositions. US 6,547,983 B2. First filed: Dec. 14, 1999. Patented: April 15, 2003.
- [1644] V.R. Iyengar and R.T. Foister (Delphi Technologies, US). Durable magnetorheological fluid compositions. US 6,599,439 B2. First filed: Dec. 14, 1999. Patented: July 29, 2003. *Related:*.
- [1645] R. F. Guardiani and T. L. Geiger (Westinghouse, US). Vibration cancellation apparatus with line frequency components. US 5,397,949. Filed: June 10, 1993. Patented: March 14, 1995.
- [1646] T. Honda and K. Okada (Fuji Photo Optical, JP). [Anti-vibration interferometer]. JP 8110 204 A2. Filed: Oct. 12, 1994. Patent JP 3 065 489 B2, issued: July 17, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1647] H. Takagi (Deutsche Thomson-Brandt, DE). [Reproducing or recording device and method for an optical storage medium with a howling suppression circuit]. DE 196 39 216 A1. Filed: Sept. 25, 1996. Published: March 26, 1998. *Related:* EP, JP, SG, US.
- [1648] Wei-Yi Loh et al. (Ford Global Technologies, US). Method and apparatus for suppressing vibration in a vehicle steering system. US 6,681,883 B2. Filed: March 12, 2002. Patented: Jan. 27, 2004. *Related:* DE.
- [1649] J.E. York (Universal Instruments Corp., US). Electronic damping system. US 5,432,423. Filed: April 29, 1993. Patented: July 11, 1995.
- [1650] T. Osaki (Nikon Corp., JP). [Vibration suppressor and aligner]. JP 9 326 362 A. Priority (JP): April 5, 1996. Published: Dec. 16, 1997. Related: US.
- [1651] H. Ito (Canon, JP). [Vibration control apparatus, vibration control method, exposure apparatus, and device manufacturing method]. JP 2003 256 050 A. Filed: Feb. 26, 2002. Published: Sept. 10, 2003. *Related:* US.
- [1652] J. Bille (Heidelberger Instruments, DE). [Device for displaying sectional views of the human eye]. EP 0 167 877 B1. Priority (DE): June 14, 1984. Patented: Dec. 27, 1991.
- [1653] K. Bär and B. Freisleben (Diehl, DE). [Deformable mirror]. DE 43 08 315 A1. Filed: March 16, 1993. Published: Sept. 22, 1994. *Related:* CH, IT.
- [1654] R. Grub et al. (Diehl, DE). [A mechanism for influencing the beam when processing a workpiece with a highenergy laser beam]. DE 41 08 419 C2. Filed: March 15, 1991. Patented: March 16, 1995. *Related:* FR, GB.
- [1655] R. Schmiedl et al. (Diehl, DE). [Deformable mirror]. DE 41 38 557 C2. Filed: Nov. 23, 1991. Patented: Sept. 2, 1993. *Related:* FR.
- [1656] B.G. MacDonald et al. (Litel Instruments, US). Adaptive optic wafer stepper illumination system. US 5,142,132. Filed: Nov. 5, 1990. Patented: Aug. 25, 1992. *Related:* WO.
- [1657] A. Faulstich et al. (Carl Zeiss Jena, DE). [Microscope with adaptive optics]. DE 197 33 193 A1. Filed: Aug. 1, 1997. Published: Feb. 4, 1999. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, US, WO.
- [1658] R. F. Mallina (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Pneumatic sound reproducer. US 2,048,508. Filed: May 31, 1934. Patented: July 21, 1936.
- [1659] H. N. Rowe and G. H. Eash (Toledo Trust, US). Electropneumatic loud-speaker. US 2,798,121. Filed: May 12, 1954. Patented: July 2, 1957.
- [1660] P.-A. Wiberg et al. (SAAB, SE). Pneumatically driven loudspeaker and its use. WO 99/053720 A1. Priority (SE): April 9, 1998. Published: Oct. 21, 1999. *Related:* AU.
- [1661] G. M. Giannini and A. C. Ducati (Giannini Scientific, US). Apparatus for producing sound. US 3,185,778. Filed: May 1, 1962. Patented: May 25, 1965.
- [1662] A. E. Hill (Albuquerque, NM, US). Sound production using large volume plasmas. US 4,219,705. Filed: April 5, 1978. Patented: Aug. 26, 1980. *Related:* CA, DE, FR, GB, JP.

- [1663] O. Bschorr (MBB, DE). [Anti-sound source]. DE 2814093 C2. Filed: April 1, 1978. Patented: May 14, 1980. Related: FR, GB.
- [1664] O. Bschorr et al. (Daimler-Benz, DE). [Anti-sound source]. DE 196 14 935 C1. Filed: April 16, 1996. Patented: Oct. 16, 1997.
- [1665] S. L. Witzel (Sandy, UT, US). Binary system for generating sound. US 5,054,086. Filed: May 16, 1989. Patented: Oct. 1, 1991.
- [1666] D.C. Norris (Microsoft, US). Conversionless digital sound production. US 5,369,729. Filed: March 9, 1992. Patented: Nov. 29, 1994.
- [1667] Y. Yasuno and Y. Riko (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., JP). [Digital electro-acoustic transducer]. JP 11 298 987 A. Priority (JP): Feb. 16, 1998. Patent JP 3 377 173 B2, issued: Feb. 17, 2003. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1668] F. T. van Namen (Harman International Industries, US). Vibratory acoustic compressor. US 5,231,337. First filed: Jan. 3, 1992. Patented: July 27, 1993. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, WO.
- [1669] H.-J. Raida and O. Bschorr (DE). [Directed acoustic stick radiator]. DE 196 48 986 C1. Filed: Nov. 26, 1996. Patented: April 9, 1998. Related: AU, EP, US, WO.
- [1670] M. Gustavsson (A2 Acoustics Aktiebolag, SE). [A method and a device for generating low frequency sound and use of the device]. SE 518 168 C. Filed: March 16, 2000. Patented: Sept. 3, 2002. *Related*: EP, WO.
- [1671] T. J. Danley and C. A. Rey (Intersonics, US). Servo valve loudspeaker. US 5,140,641. Filed: April 22, 1991. Patented: Aug. 18, 1992.
- [1672] S. D. Goodman and R. A. Hoffmann (Digisonix, US). Dry acoustic system preventing condensation. US 5,446,249. Filed: July 13, 1993. Patented: Aug. 29, 1995.
- [1673] M. J. Parrella et al. (NCT, US). Piezo speaker for improved passenger cabin audio systems. US 5,901,231. Filed: Sept. 25, 1995. Patented: May 4, 1999. *Related:* EP, WO.
- [1674] G. P. Eatwell et al. (NCT, US). Piezo speaker for improved passenger cabin audio systems. WO 97/17818 A1. Priority (US): Sept. 25, 1995. Published: May 15, 1997. *Related:* BR, CA, EP, JP, US.
- [1675] G. P. Eatwell et al. (NCT, US). Piezo speaker for improved passenger cabin audio systems. EP 0936 842 A1. First filed: Sept. 25, 1995. Published: Aug. 18, 1999.
- [1676] G. Ruspa (Centro Ricerche Fiat Societa' Consortile per Azioni, IT). [Sound reproduction system for vehicles]. IT 1281 314 B1. Filed: Oct. 20, 1995. Patented: Feb. 17, 1998. *Related:* DE, EP, WO.
- [1677] G. Ruspa (Centro Ricerche Fiat Societa' Consortile per Azioni, IT). [Sound reproduction system for vehicles]. IT 1284 361 B1. Filed: Feb. 1, 1996. Patented: May 18, 1998.
- [1678] G. Ruspa (Centro Ricerche Fiat Societa' Consortile per Azioni, IT). [Sound reproduction system for vehicles]. IT 1 286 724 B1. Filed: April 17, 1996. Patented: July 17, 1998.
- [1679] M. A. Daniels (Carrier Corp., US). Loudspeaker phase distortion control using velocity feedback. US 5,771,300. Filed: Sept. 25, 1996. Patented: June 23, 1998. *Related:* AU, BR, EP, JP, SG.
- [1680] C. Carme et al. (Technofirst, FR). [Linear loudspeaker]. FR 2766650 A1. Filed: July 23, 1997. Published: Jan. 29, 1999. *Related:* AT, AU, WO.
- [1681] O. Bschorr and R. Walcher (DASA, DE). [Cavity resonator for noise reduction]. DE 42 28 356 C2. Filed: Aug. 26, 1992. Patented: Oct. 19, 1995. *Related*: FR, GB.
- [1682] K. Schaaf et al. (Volkswagen, DE). [Helmholtz resonator with variable resonance frequency]. DE 100 04 991 A1. Filed: Feb. 4, 2000. Published: Aug. 9, 2001.
- [1683] A.S. Hersh (US). Piezoelectric sound sources. US 5,386,479. Filed: Nov. 23, 1992. Patented: Jan. 31, 1995.
- [1684] J. M. Kates (Teledyne, US). Loudspeaker equalization. US 4,130,727. Filed: June 29, 1977. Patented: Dec. 19, 1978.
- [1685] S. T. Meyers (US). Sound reproducing system utilizing motional feedback and an improved integrated magnetic structure. US 4,550,430. Filed: Feb. 20, 1981. Patented: Oct. 29, 1985.
- [1686] K. Tokura et al. (Sony, JP). [Speaker unit]. JP 7 059 188 A2. Filed: Aug. 16, 1993. Patent JP 3 136 853 B2, issued: Feb. 19, 2001. *Related*: GB, US.
- [1687] K. M. Al-Ali et al. (University of California, US). Loudspeaker system with feedback control for improved bandwidth and distortion reduction. US 6,584,204 B1. First filed: Dec. 11, 1997. Patented: June 24, 2003. *Related:* WO.
- [1688] R. S. Robinson et al. (Texas, US). Spider-less loudspeaker with active restoring apparatus. US 6,694,037 B1. Filed: Dec. 10, 1999. Patented: Feb. 17, 2004.
- [1689] M. Noro (Yamaha, JP). [Variable sounding body]. JP 1 309 498 A2. Filed: June 7, 1988. Published: Dec. 13, 1989. *Related*: EP, US.

- [1690] M. Hobelsberger (CH). [Device for active simulation of an acoustical impedance]. CH 685 657 A5. Filed: March 24, 1992. Patented: Aug. 31, 1995. *Related:* AT, DE, GB, US.
- [1691] D.R. Gasner (US). Actively controlled sound transducer. US 6,353,670 B1. First filed: July 2, 1996. Patented: March 5, 2002.
- [1692] M. H. Hobelsberger (CH). Loudspeaker system with simulated baffle for improved base reproduction. US 6,088,459. Filed: Oct. 30, 1997. Patented: July 11, 2000.
- [1693] W. Klippel (DE). [Arrangement to correct the linear and nonlinear transfer behavior of electro-acoustical transducers]. DE 41 11 884 C2. Filed: April 9, 1991. Patented: Sept. 2, 1993. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1694] W. Klippel (DE). [Adaptive filter for correcting the transfer characteristic of electroacoustic transducer]. DE 43 32 804 C2. Filed: Sept. 27, 1993. Patented: June 5, 1997. *Related:* US.
- [1695] M. Reiffin (US). Smart amplifier for loudspeaker motional feedback derived from linearization of a nonlinear motion responsive signal. US 5,542,001. Filed: Dec. 6, 1994. Patented: July 30, 1996.
- [1696] K. W. Cowans and M. O. Bennett (US). Systems and methods for signal compensation. US 4,622,660. First filed: Oct. 21, 1982. Patented: Nov. 11, 1986.
- [1697] W. J. Klippel (Dresden, DE). Adaptive controller for actuator systems. US 6,058,195. Filed: March 30, 1998. Patented: May 2, 2000.
- [1698] M. Unbehaun and G. Zintel (Faurecia Abgastechnik, DE). Electrodynamic loudspeaker, particularly for an active automobile exhaust muffler. EP 1 059 830 A3. Priority (DE): May 19, 1999. Published: Dec. 17, 2003.
- [1699] W. P. Mason (Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Vibration damping circuit. US 2,416,337. Filed: June 10, 1943. Patented: Feb. 25, 1947.
- [1700] H. Habermann and J. Jolivet (Société de Mécanique Magnétique, FR). [Large-amplitude low frequency vibrator]. FR 2 662 099 B1. Filed: May 18, 1990. Patented: Aug. 5, 1994. *Related:* CA, EP, JP, US.
- [1701] F. T. van Namen (Harman International Industries, US). Actuator for active vibration control. US 5,231,336. Filed: Jan. 3, 1992. Patented: July 27, 1993. *Related:* AT, AU, CA, DE, EP, JP, NO, NZ, WO.
- [1702] B. E. Paden (Tritium Technologies, US). Sloped facet electromagnetic actuator adapted for vibration compensation. US 5,631,506. Filed: Dec. 14, 1994. Patented: May 20, 1997.
- [1703] J. Rastegar and F. Khorrami (Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY, US). Apparatus for providing a controlled deflection and/or actuator apparatus. US 6,154,000. First filed: Sept. 7, 1994. Patented: Nov. 28, 2000.
- [1704] J. Rastegar and F. Khorrami (Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY, US). Apparatus for reducing vibration inputs to a device and/or for micropositioning. US 2002/0046564 A1. First filed: Sept. 7, 1994. Patented: June 18, 2002.
- [1705] J. Rastegar and F. Khorrami (Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY, US). **Apparatus for reducing vibration inputs** to a device and/or for micropositioning. US 6,316,899 B1. First filed: Sept. 7, 1994. Patented: Nov. 13, 2001.
- [1706] R. L. Clark Jr. et al. (Duke University, US). Adaptive piezoelectric sensoriactuator. US 5,578,761. First filed: July 31, 1995. Patented: Nov. 26, 1996.
- [1707] K. J. Kirjavainen (Tampere, FI). [Electromechanic film and acoustic element]. FI 108 204 B. Filed: Nov. 25, 1999. Patented: Nov. 30, 2001. *Related:* AU, CA, EP, JP, NO, US, WO.
- [1708] S. Yoshida and H. Higashi (Pioneer, JP). Microphone with vibration cancellation. US 4,442,323. Priority (JP): July 19, 1980. Patented: April 10, 1984.
- [1709] J. L. Flanagan (AT&T Bell Telephone Laboratories, US). Directable microphone system. US 4,485,484. Filed: Oct. 28, 1982. Patented: Nov. 27, 1984. *Related:* CA, JP.
- [1710] C. R. Anderson (Shure Brothers, US). Microphone for use in a vibrating environment. US 5,363,452. Filed: May 19, 1992. Patented: Nov. 8, 1994.
- [1711] L. J. Eriksson et al. (Nelson Industries, US). Microphone probe for acoustic measurement in turbulent flow. US 4,811,309. Filed: Sept. 4, 1987. Patented: March 7, 1989. *Related:* CA.
- [1712] R. H. Hoops et al. (Nelson Industries, US). Rigid foraminous microphone probe for acoustic measurement in turbulent flow. US 4,903,249. Filed: March 24, 1988. Patented: Feb. 20, 1990. *Related:* CA.
- [1713] D. Föller (Battelle, DE). [Acoustic sensor device with noise suppression]. DE 39 21 307 C2. Filed: June 29, 1989. Patented: Feb. 13, 1992. *Related*: EP, JP, US.
- [1714] T. Sasaki and K. Gyotoku (Sony, JP). [Microphone device]. JP 5 316 587 A2. Filed: May 8, 1992. Published: Nov. 26, 1993. *Related:* DE, EP, US.
- [1715] Fa-Long Luo et al. (GN ReSound, DK). FFT-based technique for adaptive directionality of dual microphones. US 6,668,062 B1. Filed: May 9, 2000. Patented: Dec. 23, 2003. *Related:* EP, WO.

- [1716] J. J. Lazzeroni and M. K. Carevich (US). Noise cancelling microphone. US 5,329,593. Filed: May 10, 1993. Patented: July 12, 1994.
- [1717] O. Bschorr and R. Pongratz (DASA, DE). [Anti-sound source]. DE 4011658 C2. Filed: April 11, 1990. Patented: Feb. 11, 1993.
- [1718] J.W. Fay(US Navy). Optimum flow noise cancelling hydrophone module. US 4,388,711. Filed: July 28, 1981. Patented: June 14, 1983.
- [1719] S. D. Massie (Roke Manor Research, UK). Noise cancellation apparatus. GB 2 308 521 B. Priority (GB): Dec. 22, 1995. Patented: Oct. 13, 1999.
- [1720] C. S. Bartlett and M. A. Zuniga (AT&T, US). Noise-canceling differential microphone assembly. US 5,473,684. Filed: April 21, 1994. Patented: Dec. 5, 1995. *Related:* CA, CN, EP, FI, JP, SG.
- [1721] G. W. Elko (Lucent Technologies, US). Steerable and variable first-order differential microphone array. US 6,041,127. Filed: April 3, 1997. Patented: March 21, 2000. *Related:* DE, EP, JP.
- [1722] G. W. Elko and H. Teutsch (Agere Systems, US). Second-order adaptive differential microphone array. US 6,584,203 B2. First filed: July 18, 2001. Patented: June 24, 2003. *Related:* EP.
- [1723] J. A. Rex and S. J. Elliott (University of Southampton, UK). Distributed sensors for active vibration control. GB 2 256 111 B. Filed: April 13, 1992. Patented: Feb. 1, 1995.
- [1724] E. J. Breitbach and A. Büter (DFVLR, DE). [Pivot drive]. DE 19 539 201 C1. Filed: Oct. 20, 1995. Patented: Nov. 21, 1996. *Related*: CA, EP, US.
- [1725] K. Kirjavainen et al. (VTT, FI). [Sensor for measuring acceleration and sound pressure]. FI 102 114 B. Filed: Nov. 20, 1996. Patented: Oct. 15, 1998. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, US, WO.
- [1726] T. Sakurai et al. (Toyoda, JP). [Capacitive type acceleration sensor]. JP 4 299 267 A. Filed: March 27, 1991. Patent JP 3 063 209 B2, issued: July 12, 2000. *Related:* US.
- [1727] A. C. Curtis (Plessey Overseas, UK). Fibre optic sensor. GB 2 208 711 A. Filed: Aug. 16, 1988. Published: April 12, 1989. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1728] J. B. Dooley et al. (Martin Marietta Energy Systems, US). Fiber optic vibration sensor. US 5,381,492. Filed: Feb. 15, 1994. Patented: Jan. 10, 1995.
- [1729] G. Conforti et al. (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, IT). [Fiber-optic vibration sensor]. IT 1 230 814 B. Filed: Aug. 12, 1988. Published: Nov. 7, 1991. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, ES, JP, US.
- [1730] G. Conforti et al. (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, IT). [Fiber-optic vibration sensor]. IT 1 233 795 A. Filed: March 1, 1989. Published: April 17, 1992. *Related:* AT, DE, EP, ES, JP, US.
- [1731] J. T. Veligdan (Brookhaven Science Associates, US). Optical microphone. US 6,014,239. Filed: Dec. 12, 1997. Rexamination: US 6,014,239 C1, issued: April 9, 2002.
- [1732] Y. Ohira and K. Kobayashi (CCI, JP). [Damping composite]. JP 4288231 A2. Filed: March 18, 1991. Patent JP 2799912 B2, issued: Sept. 21, 1998.
- [1733] K. Tanaka (Omron, JP). Electromagnetic relay assembly with test actuator. US 5,194,839. Priority (JP): May 21, 1991. Patented: March 16, 1993. *Related:* AT, CN, DE, EP.
- [1734] K. Kobayashi et al. (CCI, JP). [Vibration damping complex and manufacture thereof]. JP 5004312 A2. Filed: March 1, 1991. Patent JP 2799 910 B2, issued: Sept. 21, 1998. *Related:* EP, US.
- [1735] R. D. Corsaro (US Navy). Active acoustic impedance modification arrangement for controlling sound interaction. US 5,452,265. Filed: July 1, 1991. Patented: Sept. 19, 1995.
- [1736] E. Breitbach and T. Bein (DLR, DE). [Actuator with a triggerable element of variable length made of a multifunctional material]. DE 196 14 044 C1. Filed: April 10, 1996. Patented: Oct. 23, 1997. *Related:* CA, FR, GB, US.
- [1737] R. Busch (STN Atlas Elektronik, DE). [Sound absorber]. DE 196 23 127 C1. Filed: June 10, 1996. Patented: June 19, 1997.
- [1738] A. E. Clark (US Navy). Composite vibration damping system. US 6,533,257 B1. Filed: June 21, 2001. Patented: March 18, 2003. *Related:*.
- [1739] F. Schmider (Papst-Motoren, DE). Low-noise miniature electric motor. US 5,109,171. First filed: Oct. 11, 1989. Patented: April 28. 1992.